# Basic combinatorics in Isabelle/HOL (and the Archive of Formal Proofs)

## December 17, 2025

## Contents

1	Trai	asposition function	1
2	Stir: 2.1 2.2	Stirling numbers of first and second kind Stirling numbers of the second kind	<b>5</b> 5 6 9
3	Peri	mutations, both general and specifically on finite sets.	11
	3.1	Auxiliary	12
	3.2	Basic definition and consequences	$\frac{12}{12}$
	3.3	Group properties	17
	3.4	Restricting a permutation to a subset	18
	3.5	Mapping a permutation	19
	3.6	The number of permutations on a finite set	25
	3.7	Permutations of index set for iterated operations	28
	3.8	Permutations as transposition sequences	28
	3.9	Some closure properties of the set of permutations, with lengths	28
	3.10	Various combinations of transpositions with 2, 1 and 0 com-	
		mon elements	30
	3.11	The identity map only has even transposition sequences	30
	3.12	Therefore we have a welldefined notion of parity	32
	3.13	And it has the expected composition properties	33
		A more abstract characterization of permutations	33
	3.15	Relation to permutes	35
	3.16	Sign of a permutation	36
		An induction principle in terms of transpositions	36
		More on the sign of permutations	40
		Transpositions of adjacent elements	41
	3.20	Transferring properties of permutations along bijections	43

	3.21	Permuting a list			
	3.22	More lemmas about permutations			
		Sum over a set of permutations (could generalize to iteration) 5			
	3.24	Constructing permutations from association lists 5			
4	Per	muted Lists 6			
	4.1	An existing notion			
	4.2	Nontrivial conclusions			
	4.3	Trivial conclusions:			
5	Permutations of a Multiset 6				
	5.1	Permutations of a multiset			
	5.2	Cardinality of permutations			
	5.3	Permutations of a set			
	5.4	Code generation			
6	Cyc	eles 7			
	6.1	Definitions			
	6.2	Basic Properties			
	6.3	Conjugation of cycles			
	6.4	When Cycles Commute			
	6.5	Cycles from Permutations			
		6.5.1 Exponentiation of permutations			
		6.5.2 Extraction of cycles from permutations			
	6.6	Decomposition on Cycles			
		6.6.1 Preliminaries			
		6.6.2 Decomposition			
7	Per	mutations as abstract type 8			
	7.1	Abstract type of permutations			
	7.2	Identity, composition and inversion			
	7.3	Orbit and order of elements			
	7.4	Swaps			
	7.5	Permutations specified by cycles			
	7.6	Syntax			
8	Permutation orbits 10				
	8.1	Orbits and cyclic permutations			
	8.2	Decomposition of arbitrary permutations			
	8.3	Function-power distance between values			
9	Bas	ic combinatorics in Isabelle/HOL (and the Archive of			
		mal Proofs)			

#### 1 Transposition function

```
theory Transposition
  imports Main
begin
definition transpose :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle
  where \langle transpose \ a \ b \ c = (if \ c = a \ then \ b \ else \ if \ c = b \ then \ a \ else \ c) \rangle
lemma transpose_apply_first [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ a = b \rangle
  by (simp add: transpose_def)
lemma transpose_apply_second [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ b = a \rangle
  by (simp add: transpose_def)
lemma transpose_apply_other [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ c = c \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle c \neq a \rangle \ \langle c \neq b \rangle
  using that by (simp add: transpose_def)
lemma transpose_same [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ a = id \rangle
  by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_def)
lemma transpose_eq_iff:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ c = d \longleftrightarrow (c \neq a \land c \neq b \land d = c) \lor (c = a \land d = b) \lor (c = b) 
\wedge d = a \rangle
  by (auto simp add: transpose_def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ transpose\_eq\_imp\_eq:
  \langle c = d \rangle if \langle transpose \ a \ b \ c = transpose \ a \ b \ d \rangle
  using that by (auto simp add: transpose_eq_iff)
lemma transpose_commute [ac_simps]:
  \langle transpose \ b \ a = transpose \ a \ b \rangle
  by (auto simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_eq_iff)
lemma transpose involutory [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ (transpose \ a \ b \ c) = c \rangle
  by (auto simp add: transpose_eq_iff)
lemma transpose_comp_involutory [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ a \ b = id \rangle
  by (rule ext) simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ transpose\_eq\_id\_iff \colon \mathit{Transposition.transpose} \ x \ y = id \longleftrightarrow x = y
  by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff Transposition.transpose_def)
```

```
lemma transpose_triple:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ (transpose \ b \ c \ (transpose \ a \ b \ d)) = transpose \ a \ c \ d \rangle
  if \langle a \neq c \rangle and \langle b \neq c \rangle
  using that by (simp add: transpose_def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ transpose\_comp\_triple :
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ b \ c \circ transpose \ a \ b = transpose \ a \ c \rangle
  if \langle a \neq c \rangle and \langle b \neq c \rangle
  using that by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_triple)
lemma transpose_image_eq [simp]:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ `A = A \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle a \in A \longleftrightarrow b \in A \rangle
  using that by (auto simp add: transpose_def [abs_def])
lemma inj_on_transpose [simp]:
  \langle inj \ on \ (transpose \ a \ b) \ A \rangle
  by rule (drule transpose_eq_imp_eq)
lemma inj_transpose:
  \langle inj \ (transpose \ a \ b) \rangle
  by (fact inj_on_transpose)
lemma surj_transpose:
  \langle surj \ (transpose \ a \ b) \rangle
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma bij_betw_transpose_iff [simp]:
  \langle bij\_betw \ (transpose \ a \ b) \ A \ A \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle a \in A \longleftrightarrow b \in A \rangle
  using that by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
lemma bij_transpose [simp]:
  \langle bij (transpose \ a \ b) \rangle
  by (rule bij_betw_transpose_iff) simp
lemma bijection_transpose:
  \langle bijection (transpose \ a \ b) \rangle
  by standard (fact bij_transpose)
lemma inv_transpose_eq [simp]:
  \langle inv \ (transpose \ a \ b) = transpose \ a \ b \rangle
  by (rule inv_unique_comp) simp_all
lemma transpose apply commute:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \ (f \ c) = f \ (transpose \ (inv \ f \ a) \ (inv \ f \ b) \ c) \rangle
  if \langle bij f \rangle
proof -
  from that have \langle surj f \rangle
    by (rule bij_is_surj)
  with that show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: transpose_def bij_inv_eq_iff surj_f_inv_f)
qed
lemma transpose_comp_eq:
  \langle transpose \ a \ b \circ f = f \circ transpose \ (inv \ f \ a) \ (inv \ f \ b) \rangle
 using that by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_apply_commute)
lemma in_transpose_image_iff:
  \langle x \in transpose \ a \ b \ `S \longleftrightarrow transpose \ a \ b \ x \in S \rangle
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{intro!} \colon \mathit{image\_eqI})
Legacy input alias
setup (Context.theory map (Name Space.map naming (Name Space.qualified path
true \ binding \langle Fun \rangle)) \rangle
abbreviation (input) swap :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle
  where \langle swap \ a \ b \ f \equiv f \circ transpose \ a \ b \rangle
lemma swap\_def:
  \langle Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f = f \ (a := f \ b, \ b := f \ a) \rangle
  by (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
setup \land Context.theory\_map\ (Name\_Space.map\_naming\ (Name\_Space.parent\_path)) \gt
lemma swap_apply:
  Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f \ a = f \ b
  Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f \ b = f \ a
  c \neq a \Longrightarrow c \neq b \Longrightarrow \mathit{Fun.swap} \ a \ b \ f \ c = f \ c
  by simp_all
lemma swap\_self: Fun.swap\ a\ a\ f=f
 by simp
lemma swap commute: Fun.swap a b f = Fun.swap b a f
  by (simp add: ac_simps)
lemma swap\_nilpotent: Fun.swap \ a \ b \ (Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f) = f
  by (simp add: comp_assoc)
lemma swap\_comp\_involutory: Fun.swap \ a \ b \circ Fun.swap \ a \ b = id
 by (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ swap\_triple :
 assumes a \neq c and b \neq c
  shows Fun.swap a b (Fun.swap b c (Fun.swap a b f)) = Fun.swap a c f
  using assms transpose_comp_triple [of a c b]
  by (simp add: comp_assoc)
```

```
lemma comp_swap: f \circ Fun.swap \ a \ b \ g = Fun.swap \ a \ b \ (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: comp_assoc)
lemma swap_image_eq:
 assumes a \in A b \in A
 shows Fun.swap a b f ' A = f ' A
 using assms by (metis image_comp transpose_image_eq)
\mathbf{lemma} \ inj\_on\_imp\_inj\_on\_swap: \ inj\_on \ f \ A \Longrightarrow a \in A \Longrightarrow b \in A \Longrightarrow inj\_on
(Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f) \ A
 by (simp add: comp_inj_on)
lemma inj_on_swap_iff:
 assumes A: a \in A \ b \in A
 shows inj\_on (Fun.swap a b f) A \longleftrightarrow inj\_on f A
 using assms by (metis inj_on_imageI inj_on_imp_inj_on_swap transpose_image_eq)
lemma surj\_imp\_surj\_swap: surj f \Longrightarrow surj (Fun.swap a b f)
 by (meson comp_surj_surj_transpose)
lemma surj\_swap\_iff: surj (Fun.swap \ a \ b \ f) \longleftrightarrow surj \ f
 by (metis fun.set_map surj_transpose)
lemma bij\_betw\_swap\_iff: x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow bij\_betw (Fun.swap x y f) A B
\longleftrightarrow bij\_betw\ f\ A\ B
 by (meson bij_betw_comp_iff bij_betw_transpose_iff)
lemma bij swap iff: bij (Fun.swap a b f) \longleftrightarrow bij f
 by (simp add: bij_betw_swap_iff)
lemma swap_image:
  \forall Fun.swap \ i \ j \ f \ `A = f \ `(A - \{i, j\})
   \cup (if i \in A then \{j\} else \{\}) \cup (if j \in A then \{i\} else \{\}\}))
 by (auto simp add: Fun.swap_def)
lemma inv swap id: inv (Fun.swap a b id) = Fun.swap a b id
 by simp
lemma bij swap comp:
 assumes bij p
 shows Fun.swap a \ b \ id \circ p = Fun.swap \ (inv \ p \ a) \ (inv \ p \ b) \ p
 using assms by (simp add: transpose_comp_eq)
lemma swap\_id\_eq: Fun.swap a b id x = (if x = a then b else if x = b then a else
 by (simp add: Fun.swap_def)
lemma swap_unfold:
  \langle Fun.swap \ a \ b \ p = p \circ Fun.swap \ a \ b \ id \rangle
```

#### 2 Stirling numbers of first and second kind

```
theory Stirling
imports Main
begin
```

end

#### 2.1 Stirling numbers of the second kind

```
fun Stirling :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
  where
   Stirling 0 \ 0 = 1
   Stirling \theta (Suc k) = \theta
   Stirling (Suc n) \theta = \theta
  | Stirling (Suc n) (Suc k) = Suc k * Stirling n (Suc k) + Stirling n k
lemma Stirling_1 [simp]: Stirling (Suc n) (Suc \theta) = 1
 by (induct n) simp_all
lemma Stirling\_less [simp]: n < k \Longrightarrow Stirling n k = 0
 by (induct n k rule: Stirling.induct) simp_all
lemma Stirling\_same [simp]: Stirling n n = 1
 by (induct n) simp_all
lemma Stirling_22: Stirling (Suc (Suc n)) (Suc (Suc 0)) = 2 ^Suc n - 1
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 have Stirling (Suc (Suc (Suc n))) (Suc (Suc \theta)) =
     2 * Stirling (Suc (Suc n)) (Suc (Suc 0)) + Stirling (Suc (Suc n)) (Suc 0)
   by simp
 also have ... = 2 * (2 ^Suc n - 1) + 1
   by (simp only: Suc Stirling_1)
 also have \dots = 2 \ \widehat{} \ Suc \ (Suc \ n) - 1
 proof -
   have (2::nat) \hat{\ } Suc n-1>0
```

```
by (induct n) simp_all
   then have 2 * ((2::nat) \cap Suc \ n-1) > 0
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
   then have 2 \le 2 * ((2::nat) \cap Suc n)
     by simp
   with add_diff_assoc2 [of 2 2 * 2 ^ Suc n 1]
   have 2 * 2 ^{\sim} Suc \ n - 2 + (1::nat) = 2 * 2 ^{\sim} Suc \ n + 1 - 2.
   then show ?thesis
     by (simp add: nat_distrib)
 \mathbf{qed}
 finally show ?case by simp
lemma Stirling_2: Stirling (Suc n) (Suc (Suc 0)) = 2 \hat{n} - 1
 using Stirling_2_2 by (cases n) simp_all
       Stirling numbers of the first kind
2.2
fun stirling :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
 where
   stirling 0 \ 0 = 1
  | stirling \ \theta \ (Suc \ k) = \theta
   stirling (Suc n) \theta = \theta
 | stirling (Suc n) (Suc k) = n * stirling n (Suc k) + stirling n k
lemma stirling\_0 [simp]: n > 0 \Longrightarrow stirling n 0 = 0
 by (cases n) simp_all
lemma stirling_less [simp]: n < k \Longrightarrow stirling \ n \ k = 0
 by (induct n k rule: stirling.induct) simp_all
lemma stirling\_same [simp]: stirling n n = 1
 by (induct\ n)\ simp\_all
lemma stirling\_Suc\_n\_1: stirling (Suc n) (Suc 0) = fact n
 by (induct n) auto
lemma stirling Suc n n: stirling (Suc n) n = Suc n choose 2
 by (induct \ n) (auto \ simp \ add: \ numerals(2))
\mathbf{lemma} \ stirling\_Suc\_n\_2 \colon
 assumes n \geq Suc \ \theta
 shows stirling (Suc n) 2 = (\sum k=1..n. fact n div k)
 using assms
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
```

 $\mathbf{next}$ 

case  $(Suc \ n)$ 

```
show ?case
 proof (cases n)
   case \theta
   then show ?thesis
     by (simp \ add: numerals(2))
  next
   case Suc
   then have geq1: Suc \ 0 \le n
     by simp
   have stirling (Suc (Suc n)) 2 = Suc \ n * stirling (Suc \ n) \ 2 + stirling (Suc \ n)
(Suc \ \theta)
     by (simp\ only:\ stirling.simps(4)[of\ Suc\ n]\ numerals(2))
   also have \dots = Suc \ n * (\sum k=1..n. \ fact \ n \ div \ k) + fact \ n
     using Suc.hyps[OF geq1]
     by (simp only: stirling_Suc_n_1 of_nat_fact of_nat_add of_nat_mult)
   also have ... = Suc \ n * (\sum k=1..n. \ fact \ n \ div \ k) + Suc \ n * fact \ n \ div \ Suc \ n
     by (metis nat.distinct(1) nonzero_mult_div_cancel_left)
   also have ... = (\sum k=1..n. fact (Suc n) div k) + fact (Suc n) div Suc n
     by (simp add: sum_distrib_left div_mult_swap dvd_fact)
   also have \dots = (\sum k=1..Suc \ n. \ fact \ (Suc \ n) \ div \ k)
     by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
qed
lemma of_nat_stirling_Suc_n_2:
 assumes n \geq Suc \ \theta
 shows (of\_nat (stirling (Suc n) 2)::'a::field\_char\_0) = fact n * (<math>\sum k=1..n. (1)
/ of_nat k)
 using assms
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc\ n)
 show ?case
 proof (cases n)
   \mathbf{case}\ \theta
   then show ?thesis
     by (auto simp add: numerals(2))
 next
   case Suc
   then have geq1: Suc \ 0 \le n
     by simp
   have (of_nat (stirling (Suc (Suc n)) 2)::'a) =
       of_nat (Suc n * stirling (Suc n) 2 + stirling (Suc n) (Suc 0))
     by (simp\ only:\ stirling.simps(4)[of\ Suc\ n]\ numerals(2))
   also have ... = of_nat (Suc \ n) * (fact \ n * (\sum k = 1..n. \ 1 \ / \ of_nat \ k)) + fact
n
```

```
using Suc.hyps[OF geq1]
               \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ only:\ stirling\_Suc\_n\_1\ of\_nat\_fact\ of\_nat\_add\ of\_nat\_mult)
           also have ... = fact (Suc \ n) * (\sum k = 1..n. \ 1 \ / \ of\_nat \ k) + fact (Suc \ n) *
(1 / of_nat (Suc n))
               using of nat neq 0 by auto
          also have \dots = fact (Suc \ n) * (\sum k = 1..Suc \ n. \ 1 \ / \ of\_nat \ k)
               by (simp add: distrib_left)
          finally show ?thesis.
     qed
qed
lemma sum_stirling: (\sum k \le n. \text{ stirling } n \ k) = \text{fact } n
proof (induct n)
     case \theta
     then show ?case by simp
next
     case (Suc \ n)
     have (\sum k \le Suc \ n. \ stirling \ (Suc \ n) \ k) = stirling \ (Suc \ n) \ 0 + (\sum k \le n. \ stirling)
(Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ k))
          by (simp only: sum.atMost_Suc_shift)
     also have ... = (\sum k \le n. stirling (Suc n) (Suc k))
     also have ... = (\sum k \le n. \ n * stirling \ n \ (Suc \ k) + stirling \ n \ k)
          by simp
     also have ... = n * (\sum k \le n. stirling \ n \ (Suc \ k)) + (\sum k \le n. stirling \ n \ k)
         \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ sum.distrib\ sum\_distrib\_left)
     also have \dots = n * fact n + fact n
     proof -
            have n * (\sum k \le n. \text{ stirling } n \text{ (Suc } k)) = n * ((\sum k \le Suc \text{ } n. \text{ stirling } n \text{ } k) - n. \text{ } k \le n. \text{ } k \le
stirling \ n \ \theta)
              by (metis add_diff_cancel_left' sum.atMost_Suc_shift)
          also have \dots = n * (\sum k \le n. stirling \ n \ k)
              by (cases n) simp_all
          also have \dots = n * fact n
               using Suc.hyps by simp
         finally have n*(\sum k \le n. stirling n (Suc k)) = n* fact n . moreover have (\sum k \le n. stirling n k) = fact n
               using Suc.hyps.
          ultimately show ?thesis by simp
     qed
     also have \dots = fact (Suc \ n) by simp
     finally show ?case.
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ stirling\_pochhammer:
   (\sum k \le n. \ of\_nat \ (stirling \ n \ k) * x ^k) = (pochhammer \ x \ n :: 'a::comm\_semiring\_1)
proof (induct n)
     case \theta
     then show ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case (Suc \ n)
 have of_nat (n * stirling n \theta) = (\theta :: 'a) by (cases n) simp_all
  then have (\sum k \leq Suc \ n. \ of \ nat \ (stirling \ (Suc \ n) \ k) * x \ \hat{k}) =
     (of_nat (n * stirling n \theta) * x \cap \theta +
     (\sum_{i \leq n.} i \leq n. of\_nat (n * stirling n (Suc i)) * (x ^Suc i))) + (\sum_{i \leq n.} of\_nat (stirling n i) * (x ^Suc i))
   by (subst sum.atMost_Suc_shift) (simp add: sum.distrib ring_distribs)
 also have \dots = pochhammer \ x \ (Suc \ n)
   by (subst sum.atMost_Suc_shift [symmetric])
     (simp add: algebra_simps sum.distrib sum_distrib_left pochhammer_Suc flip:
Suc)
 finally show ?case.
qed
A row of the Stirling number triangle
definition stirling\_row :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ list
  where stirling_row n = [stirling \ n \ k. \ k \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ n]]
lemma nth\_stirling\_row: k \le n \Longrightarrow stirling\_row n ! k = stirling n k
 by (simp add: stirling_row_def del: upt_Suc)
lemma length_stirling_row [simp]: length (stirling_row n) = Suc n
 by (simp add: stirling_row_def)
lemma stirling_row_nonempty [simp]: stirling_row n \neq [
  using length stirling row[of n] by (auto simp del: length stirling row)
```

#### 2.2.1 Efficient code

Naively using the defining equations of the Stirling numbers of the first kind to compute them leads to exponential run time due to repeated computations. We can use memoisation to compute them row by row without repeating computations, at the cost of computing a few unneeded values.

As a bonus, this is very efficient for applications where an entire row of Stirling numbers is needed.

```
definition zip\_with\_prev :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list where zip\_with\_prev \ f \ x \ xs = map2 \ f \ (x \# xs) \ xs

lemma zip\_with\_prev\_altdef:

zip\_with\_prev \ f \ x \ xs =

(if \ xs = [] \ then \ [] \ else \ f \ x \ (hd \ xs) \ \# \ [f \ (xs!i) \ (xs!(i+1)). \ i \leftarrow [0..< length \ xs - 1]])

proof (cases \ xs)

case Nil

then show ?thesis

by (simp \ add: \ zip\_with\_prev\_def)

next
```

```
case (Cons \ y \ ys)
  then have zip\_with\_prev\ f\ x\ xs = f\ x\ (hd\ xs)\ \#\ zip\_with\_prev\ f\ y\ ys
   by (simp add: zip_with_prev_def)
 also have zip\_with\_prev\ f\ y\ ys = map\ (\lambda i.\ f\ (xs!\ i)\ (xs!\ (i+1)))\ [\theta... < length
xs - 1
   unfolding Cons
   by (induct ys arbitrary: y)
      (simp all add: zip with prev def upt conv Cons flip: map Suc upt del:
upt\_Suc)
 finally show ?thesis
   using Cons by simp
qed
primrec stirling_row_aux
  where
   stirling\_row\_aux \ n \ y \ [] = [1]
 \mid stirling\_row\_aux \ n \ y \ (x\#xs) = (y + n * x) \ \# \ stirling\_row\_aux \ n \ x \ xs
lemma stirling row aux correct:
  stirling\_row\_aux \ n \ y \ xs = zip\_with\_prev \ (\lambda a \ b. \ a + n * b) \ y \ xs \ @ [1]
 by (induct xs arbitrary: y) (simp_all add: zip_with_prev_def)
lemma stirling_row_code [code]:
  stirling\_row \ \theta = [1]
  stirling\_row (Suc n) = stirling\_row\_aux n \ 0 \ (stirling\_row \ n)
proof goal_cases
 case 1
 show ?case by (simp add: stirling_row_def)
next
 case 2
 have stirling\_row (Suc \ n) =
   0 \# [stirling\_row \ n \ ! \ i + stirling\_row \ n \ ! \ (i+1) * n. \ i \leftarrow [0..< n]] @ [1]
  proof (rule nth_equalityI, goal_cases length nth)
   case (nth \ i)
   from nth have i \leq Suc n
     by simp
   then consider i = 0 \lor i = Suc \ n \mid i > 0 \ i \le n
     by linarith
   then show ?case
   proof cases
     case 1
     then show ?thesis
       by (auto simp: nth_stirling_row nth_append)
   \mathbf{next}
     case 2
     then show ?thesis
       by (cases i) (simp_all add: nth_append nth_stirling_row)
   qed
```

```
\mathbf{next}
   case length
   then show ?case by simp
 also have 0 \# [stirling \ row \ n \ ! \ i + stirling \ row \ n \ ! \ (i+1) * n. \ i \leftarrow [0..< n]]
[1] =
     zip\_with\_prev (\lambda a \ b. \ a + n * b) \ 0 \ (stirling\_row \ n) @ [1]
    by (cases n) (auto simp add: zip_with_prev_altdef stirling_row_def hd_map
simp del: upt_Suc)
 also have \dots = stirling\_row\_aux \ n \ \theta \ (stirling\_row \ n)
   by (simp add: stirling_row_aux_correct)
 finally show ?case.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma stirling_code [code]:
  stirling \ n \ k =
   (if k = 0 then (if n = 0 then 1 else 0)
    else if k > n then 0
    else if k = n then 1
    else\ stirling\_row\ n\ !\ k)
 by (simp add: nth_stirling_row)
end
3
      Permutations, both general and specifically on
      finite sets.
theory Permutations
 imports
   HOL-Library.Multiset
   HOL-Library.Disjoint\_Sets
    Transposition \\
begin
       Auxiliary
3.1
abbreviation (input) fixpoints :: \langle ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \rangle
 where \langle fixpoints f \equiv \{x. f x = x\} \rangle
lemma inj_on_fixpoints:
  \langle inj\_on \ f \ (fixpoints \ f) \rangle
```

**by** (rule inj\_onI) simp

lemma bij\_betw\_fixpoints:

 $\langle bij\_betw\ f\ (fixpoints\ f)\ (fixpoints\ f) \rangle$ 

using inj on fixpoints by (auto simp add: bij betw def)

#### 3.2 Basic definition and consequences

```
definition permutes :: \langle ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool \rangle (infixr \langle permutes \rangle \not= 1)
  where \langle p \ permutes \ S \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ x. \ x \notin S \longrightarrow p \ x = x) \land (\forall \ y. \ \exists \ !x. \ p \ x = y) \rangle
lemma bij_imp_permutes:
  \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle bij\_betw \ p \ S \ S \rangle \ \mathbf{and} \ stable: \langle \bigwedge x. \ x \notin S \Longrightarrow p \ x = x \rangle
proof -
  note \langle bij\_betw \ p \ S \ S \rangle
  moreover have \langle bij\_betw\ p\ (-S)\ (-S) \rangle
    by (auto simp add: stable intro!: bij_betw_imageI inj_onI)
  ultimately have \langle bij \mid betw \mid p \mid (S \cup -S) \mid (S \cup -S) \rangle
    by (rule bij betw combine) simp
  then have \langle \exists ! x. \ p \ x = y \rangle for y
    by (simp add: bij_iff)
  with stable show ?thesis
    by (simp add: permutes_def)
qed
lemma inj_imp_permutes:
  assumes i: inj\_on f S and fin: finite S
  and fS: \bigwedge x. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow f \ x \in S
  and f: \ \bigwedge i. \ i \notin S \Longrightarrow f \ i = i
  shows f permutes S
  unfolding permutes_def
proof (intro conjI allI impI, rule f)
  from endo\_inj\_surj[OF fin\_i] fS have fs: f `S = S by auto
  show \exists !x. f x = y
  proof (cases y \in S)
    case False
    thus ?thesis by (intro ex1I[of_y], insert fSf) force+
  next
    case True
    with fs obtain x where x: x \in S and fx: f x = y by force
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule ex11, rule fx)
      fix x'
      assume fx': fx' = y
      with True f[of x'] have x' \in S by metis
      from inj\_onD[OF \ i \ fx[folded \ fx'] \ x \ this]
      show x' = x by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
context
  fixes p :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle and S :: \langle 'a \ set \rangle
  assumes perm: \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle
begin
```

```
lemma permutes_inj:
  \langle inj p \rangle
  using perm by (auto simp: permutes_def inj_on_def)
lemma permutes_image:
  \langle p : S = S \rangle
proof (rule set_eqI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
 \mathbf{show} \ \langle x \in p \ `S \longleftrightarrow x \in S \rangle
 proof
    assume \langle x \in p : S \rangle
    then obtain y where \langle y \in S \rangle \langle p | y = x \rangle
      by blast
   with perm \text{ show } \langle x \in S \rangle
      by (cases \langle y = x \rangle) (auto simp add: permutes\_def)
   \mathbf{assume} \ \langle x \in S \rangle
    with perm obtain y where \langle y \in S \rangle \langle p | y = x \rangle
      by (metis permutes_def)
    then show \langle x \in p : S \rangle
      by blast
  qed
qed
lemma permutes_not_in:
  \langle x \notin S \Longrightarrow p \ x = x \rangle
 using perm by (auto simp: permutes_def)
{\bf lemma}\ permutes\_image\_complement:
  \langle p ' (-S) = -S \rangle
  by (auto simp add: permutes_not_in)
lemma permutes_in_image:
  \langle p | x \in S \longleftrightarrow x \in S \rangle
 using permutes_image permutes_inj by (auto dest: inj_image_mem_iff)
lemma permutes_surj:
  \langle surj p \rangle
proof -
 have \langle p ' (S \cup -S) = p ' S \cup p ' (-S) \rangle
    by (rule image_Un)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: permutes_image permutes_image_complement)
qed
lemma permutes inv_o:
 shows p \circ inv p = id
    and inv p \circ p = id
```

```
using permutes_inj permutes_surj
  unfolding inj_iff [symmetric] surj_iff [symmetric] by auto
lemma permutes_inverses:
  shows p(inv p x) = x
   and inv \ p \ (p \ x) = x
  using permutes_inv_o [unfolded fun_eq_iff o_def] by auto
lemma permutes_inv_eq:
  \langle inv \ p \ y = x \longleftrightarrow p \ x = y \rangle
  by (auto simp add: permutes_inverses)
lemma permutes_inj_on:
  \langle inj\_on \ p \ A \rangle
 by (rule inj_on_subset [of _ UNIV]) (auto intro: permutes_inj)
lemma permutes_bij:
  \langle bij | p \rangle
  unfolding bij_def by (metis permutes_inj permutes_surj)
lemma permutes_imp_bij:
  \langle bij\_betw \ p \ S \ S \rangle
 by (simp add: bij_betw_def permutes_image permutes_inj_on)
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutes\_subset:
  \langle p \ permutes \ T \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle S \subseteq T \rangle
proof (rule bij_imp_permutes)
  define R where \langle R = T - S \rangle
  with that have \langle T = R \cup S \rangle \langle R \cap S = \{\} \rangle
   by auto
  then have \langle p | x = x \rangle if \langle x \in R \rangle for x
   using that by (auto intro: permutes_not_in)
  then have \langle p \mid R = R \rangle
   by simp
  with \langle T = R \cup S \rangle show \langle bij\_betw \ p \ T \ T \rangle
   by (simp add: bij_betw_def permutes_inj_on image_Un permutes_image)
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume \langle x \notin T \rangle
  with \langle T = R \cup S \rangle show \langle p | x = x \rangle
   by (simp add: permutes_not_in)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma permutes_imp_permutes_insert:
  \langle p | permutes | insert | x | S \rangle
 by (rule permutes_subset) auto
end
lemma permutes_id [simp]:
```

```
\langle id \ permutes \ S \rangle
  by (auto intro: bij_imp_permutes)
lemma permutes_empty [simp]:
  \langle p \ permutes \ \{\} \longleftrightarrow p = id \rangle
proof
  assume (p permutes {})
  then show \langle p = id \rangle
    by (auto simp add: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in)
\mathbf{next}
  assume \langle p = id \rangle
  then show \langle p | permutes | \{ \} \rangle
    by simp
qed
lemma permutes sing [simp]:
  \langle p \ permutes \ \{a\} \longleftrightarrow p = id \rangle
proof
  assume perm: \langle p | permutes \{a\} \rangle
  show \langle p = id \rangle
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    from perm have \langle p \mid \{a\} = \{a\} \rangle
      by (rule permutes_image)
    with perm show \langle p | x = id | x \rangle
      by (cases \langle x = a \rangle) (auto simp add: permutes\_not\_in)
  qed
next
  assume \langle p = id \rangle
  then show \langle p | permutes \{a\} \rangle
    by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ permutes\_univ: \ p \ permutes \ UNIV \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ y. \ \exists \ !x. \ p \ x = \ y)
  by (simp add: permutes_def)
lemma permutes_swap_id: a \in S \Longrightarrow b \in S \Longrightarrow transpose \ a \ b permutes S
  by (rule bij_imp_permutes) (auto intro: transpose_apply_other)
lemma permutes_altdef: p permutes A \longleftrightarrow bij\_betw \ p \ A \ A \land \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\} \subseteq A
  using permutes_not_in[of p A]
  by (auto simp: permutes_imp_bij intro!: bij_imp_permutes)
lemma permutes_superset:
  \langle p \ permutes \ T \rangle \ \textbf{if} \ \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle \ \langle \bigwedge x. \ x \in S - \ T \Longrightarrow p \ x = x \rangle
proof -
  define R U where \langle R = T \cap S \rangle and \langle U = S - T \rangle
  then have \langle T = R \cup (T - S) \rangle \langle S = R \cup U \rangle \langle R \cap U = \{\} \rangle
    by auto
```

```
from that \langle U = S - T \rangle have \langle p ' U = U \rangle
    by simp
  from \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle have \langle bij\_betw \ p \ (R \cup U) \ (R \cup U) \rangle
    by (simp add: permutes_imp_bij \langle S = R \cup U \rangle)
  moreover have \langle bij \mid betw \mid p \mid U \mid U \rangle
    using that \langle U = S - T \rangle by (simp \ add: bij\_betw\_def \ permutes\_inj\_on)
  ultimately have <br/>
<br/>
bij_betw p R R>
    using \langle R \cap U = \{\}\rangle \langle R \cap U = \{\}\rangle by (rule bij_betw_partition)
  then have \langle p | permutes | R \rangle
  proof (rule bij_imp_permutes)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume \langle x \notin R \rangle
    with \langle R = T \cap S \rangle \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle show \langle p \ x = x \rangle
      by (cases \langle x \in S \rangle) (auto simp add: permutes_not_in that(2))
  qed
  then have \langle p | permutes | R \cup (T - S) \rangle
    by (rule permutes_subset) simp
  with \langle T = R \cup (T - S) \rangle show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma permutes_bij_inv_into:
  fixes A :: 'a \ set
    and B :: 'b \ set
  assumes p permutes A
    and bij\_betw\ f\ A\ B
  shows (\lambda x. \ if \ x \in B \ then \ f \ (p \ (inv_into \ A \ f \ x)) \ else \ x) \ permutes \ B
proof (rule bij_imp_permutes)
  from assms have bij_betw p A A bij_betw f A B bij_betw (inv_into A f) B A
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{permutes}\_\mathit{imp}\_\mathit{bij}\ \mathit{bij}\_\mathit{betw}\_\mathit{inv}\_\mathit{into})
  then have bij\_betw (f \circ p \circ inv\_into A f) B B
    by (simp add: bij_betw_trans)
  then show bij_betw (\lambda x. if x \in B then f (p (inv_into A f x)) else x) B B
    by (subst\ bij\_betw\_cong[\mathbf{where}\ g=f\circ p\circ inv\_into\ A\ f])\ auto
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \notin B
  then show (if x \in B then f(p(inv\_into A f x)) else x) = x by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutes\_image\_mset:
  assumes p permutes A
  shows image\_mset\ p\ (mset\_set\ A) = mset\_set\ A
 using assms by (metis image_mset_mset_set bij_betw_imp_inj_on permutes_imp_bij
permutes_image)
lemma permutes implies image mset eq:
 assumes p permutes A \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f x = f'(p x)
  shows image\_mset\ f'\ (mset\_set\ A) = image\_mset\ f\ (mset\_set\ A)
```

```
proof -
 have f x = f'(p x) if x \in \# mset\_set A for x
   using assms(2)[of x] that by (cases finite A) auto
 with assms have image_mset f (mset_set A) = image_mset (f' \circ p) (mset_set
A)
   by (auto intro!: image_mset_cong)
 also have \dots = image\_mset \ f' \ (image\_mset \ p \ (mset\_set \ A))
   by (simp add: image_mset.compositionality)
 also have \dots = image\_mset f' (mset\_set A)
 proof -
  from assms permutes image\_mset have image\_mset p (mset\_set A) = mset\_set
    by blast
   then show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
3.3
      Group properties
lemma permutes_compose: p permutes S \Longrightarrow q permutes S \Longrightarrow q \circ p permutes S
 unfolding permutes_def o_def by metis
lemma permutes_inv:
 assumes p permutes S
 shows inv p permutes S
 using assms unfolding permutes def permutes inv eq[OF assms] by metis
lemma permutes_inv_inv:
 assumes p permutes S
 shows inv (inv p) = p
  mutes\_inv[OF\ assms]]
 by blast
lemma permutes_invI:
 assumes perm: p permutes S
   and inv: \bigwedge x. x \in S \Longrightarrow p'(p x) = x
   and outside: \bigwedge x. \ x \notin S \Longrightarrow p' \ x = x
 shows inv p = p'
proof
 show inv p x = p' x for x
 proof (cases \ x \in S)
   case True
   from assms have p' x = p' (p (inv p x))
    by (simp add: permutes_inverses)
   also from permutes\_inv[OF\ perm]\ True\ {\bf have}\ \ldots = inv\ p\ x
    by (subst inv) (simp_all add: permutes_in_image)
   finally show ?thesis ..
```

```
\mathbf{next}
   {f case} False
   with permutes_inv[OF perm] show ?thesis
     by (simp_all add: outside permutes_not_in)
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma permutes_vimage: f permutes A \Longrightarrow f - A = A
 by (simp add: bij_vimage_eq_inv_image permutes_bij permutes_image[OF per-
mutes\_inv])
3.4
       Restricting a permutation to a subset
definition restrict\_id :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  where restrict_id f A = (\lambda x. \ if \ x \in A \ then \ f \ x \ else \ x)
lemma restrict_id_cong [cong]:
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow f \ x = q \ x \ A = B
 shows restrict\_id\ f\ A = restrict\_id\ g\ B
 using assms unfolding restrict_id_def by auto
lemma restrict_id_cong':
 assumes x \in A \Longrightarrow f x = g x A = B
 shows restrict\_id\ f\ A\ x = restrict\_id\ g\ B\ x
 using assms unfolding restrict_id_def by auto
lemma restrict_id_simps [simp]:
 x \in A \Longrightarrow restrict\_id f A x = f x
 x \notin A \Longrightarrow restrict\_id f A x = x
 by (auto simp: restrict_id_def)
lemma bij\_betw\_restrict\_id:
 assumes bij\_betw\ f\ A\ A\ A\subseteq B
 shows bij\_betw (restrict\_id f A) B B
proof -
 have bij\_betw (restrict\_id f A) (A \cup (B - A)) (A \cup (B - A))
   unfolding restrict_id_def
   by (rule bij_betw_disjoint_Un) (use assms in \(\lambda auto intro: bij_betwI\))
 also have A \cup (B - A) = B
   using assms(2) by blast
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma permutes_restrict_id:
 assumes bij\_betw f A A
 shows restrict\_id\ f\ A\ permutes\ A
 by (intro bij_imp_permutes bij_betw_restrict_id assms) auto
```

#### 3.5 Mapping a permutation

```
definition map\_permutation :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b where
  map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p = restrict\_id \ (f \circ p \circ inv\_into \ A \ f) \ (f ` A)
lemma map_permutation_cong_strong:
  assumes A = B \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f x = g x \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow p x = q x
 assumes p' A \subseteq A inj_on f A
  shows map\_permutation A f p = map\_permutation B g q
proof -
  have fg: f x = g y \text{ if } x \in A x = y \text{ for } x y
   using assms(2) that by simp
 have pq: p \ x = q \ y \ \text{if} \ x \in A \ x = y \ \text{for} \ x \ y
   using assms(3) that by simp
  have p: p \ x \in A \ \text{if} \ x \in A \ \text{for} \ x
   using assms(4) that by blast
  have inv: inv\_into\ A\ f\ x = inv\_into\ B\ g\ y\ if\ x \in f\ `A\ x = y\ for\ x\ y
  proof -
   from that obtain u where u: u \in A x = fu
     by blast
   have inv\_into \ A \ f \ (f \ u) = inv\_into \ A \ g \ (f \ u)
     using \langle inj\_on\ f\ A\rangle\ u(1) by (metis\ assms(2)\ inj\_on\_cong\ inv\_into\_f\_f)
   thus ?thesis
     using u \langle x = y \rangle \langle A = B \rangle by simp
  qed
  show ?thesis
   unfolding map_permutation_def o_def
   by (intro restrict_id_cong image_cong fg pq inv_into_into p inv) (auto simp:
\langle A = B \rangle
qed
lemma map_permutation_cong:
  assumes inj_on f A p permutes A
 assumes A = B \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f \ x = g \ x \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow p \ x = g \ x
 shows map\_permutation A f p = map\_permutation B g q
proof (intro map_permutation_cong_strong assms)
  show p ' A \subseteq A
   using \langle p | permutes | A \rangle by (simp | add: permutes\_image)
qed auto
lemma inv\_into\_id [simp]: x \in A \Longrightarrow inv\_into A id x = x
 by (metis f_inv_into_f id_apply image_eqI)
lemma inv\_into\_ident [simp]: x \in A \Longrightarrow inv\_into A (\lambda x. x) x = x
  by (metis f_inv_into_f image_eqI)
lemma map\_permutation\_id [simp]: p permutes A \Longrightarrow map\_permutation A id p
 by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff map_permutation_def restrict_id_def permutes_not_in)
```

```
lemma map\_permutation\_ident [simp]: p permutes A <math>\implies map\_permutation A
(\lambda x. \ x) \ p = p
 by (auto simp: fun eq iff map permutation def restrict id def permutes not in)
lemma map\_permutation\_id': inj\_on\ f\ A \Longrightarrow map\_permutation\ A\ f\ id = id
  unfolding map permutation def by (auto simp: restrict id def fun eq iff)
lemma map permutation ident': inj on f A \Longrightarrow map permutation A f (\lambda x. x)
= (\lambda x. x)
 unfolding map_permutation_def by (auto simp: restrict_id_def fun_eq_iff)
lemma map\_permutation\_permutes:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{bij\_betw}\ f\ A\ B\ p\ \mathit{permutes}\ A
 shows map\_permutation A f p permutes B
proof (rule bij imp permutes)
  have f_A: f'A = B
   using assms(1) by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
  from assms(2) have bij\_betw p A A
   by (simp add: permutes_imp_bij)
 show bij\_betw (map\_permutation A f p) B B
   unfolding map_permutation_def f_A
   by (rule bij_betw_restrict_id bij_betw_trans bij_betw_inv_into assms(1)
           permutes\_imp\_bij[OF\ assms(2)]\ order.refl)+
 show map_permutation A f p x = x \text{ if } x \notin B \text{ for } x
   using that unfolding map_permutation_def f_A by simp
qed
lemma map_permutation_compose:
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b and g :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c
 assumes bij_betw f A B inj_on g B
  shows map\_permutation \ B \ g \ (map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p) = map\_permutation
A (g \circ f) p
proof
 fix c :: 'c
 have bij\_g: bij\_betw\ g\ B\ (g\ 'B)
   using \langle inj\_on \ g \ B \rangle unfolding bij\_betw\_def by blast
 have [simp]: f x = f y \longleftrightarrow x = y \text{ if } x \in A y \in A \text{ for } x y
   using assms(1) that by (auto simp: bij_betw_def inj_on_def)
 have [simp]: g \ x = g \ y \longleftrightarrow x = y \ \text{if} \ x \in B \ y \in B \ \text{for} \ x \ y
   using assms(2) that by (auto simp: bij\_betw\_def inj\_on\_def)
 show map\_permutation B g (map\_permutation A f p) <math>c = map\_permutation A
(g \circ f) p c
 proof (cases \ c \in g \ `B)
   \mathbf{case}\ c\hbox{:}\ \mathit{True}
   then obtain a where a: a \in A \ c = g \ (f \ a)
     using assms(1,2) unfolding bij_betw_def by auto
   have map\_permutation \ B \ g \ (map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p) \ c = g \ (f \ (p \ a))
   using a assms by (auto simp: map_permutation_def restrict_id_def bij_betw_def)
```

```
also have \dots = map\_permutation \ A \ (g \circ f) \ p \ c
     \mathbf{using} \ a \ bij\_betw\_inv\_into\_left[OF \ bij\_betw\_trans[OF \ assms(1) \ bij\_g]]
     by (auto simp: map_permutation_def restrict_id_def bij_betw_def)
   finally show ?thesis.
  next
   \mathbf{case}\ c\hbox{:}\ \mathit{False}
   thus ?thesis using assms
     by (auto simp: map_permutation_def bij_betw_def restrict_id_def)
 qed
qed
lemma map_permutation_compose_inv:
 assumes bij_betw f A B p permutes A \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow g(fx) = x
 shows map\_permutation \ B \ g \ (map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p) = p
proof -
 have inj on q B
 proof
   fix x y assume x \in B y \in B g x = g y
   then obtain x' y' where *: x' \in A y': A x = f x' y = f y'
     using assms(1) unfolding bij_betw_def by blast
   thus x = y
     using assms(3)[of x'] assms(3)[of y'] \langle g x = g y \rangle by simp
 have map\_permutation\ B\ g\ (map\_permutation\ A\ f\ p) = map\_permutation\ A\ (g
\circ f) p
   by (rule map_permutation_compose) (use assms \langle inj \text{ on } g B \rangle in auto)
 also have \dots = map\_permutation A id p
   by (intro map_permutation_cong assms comp_inj_on)
      (use \langle inj\_on \ g \ B \rangle \ assms(1,3) \ \mathbf{in} \langle auto \ simp: \ bij\_betw\_def \rangle)
 also have \dots = p
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{map\_permutation\_id})\ \mathit{fact}
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma map permutation apply:
 assumes inj\_on\ f\ A\ x\in A
 shows map\_permutation A f h (f x) = f (h x)
 using assms by (auto simp: map_permutation_def inj_on_def)
lemma map_permutation_compose':
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
 assumes inj_on f A q permutes A
 shows map\_permutation \ A \ f \ (p \circ q) = map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p \circ map\_permutation
A f q
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ y :: 'b
 show map\_permutation A f (p \circ q) y = (map\_permutation A f p \circ map\_permutation
A f q) y
```

```
proof (cases y \in f 'A)
   case True
   then obtain x where x: x \in A y = f x
     by blast
   have map_permutation A f (p \circ q) y = f (p (q x))
     unfolding x(2) by (subst map_permutation_apply) (use assms x in auto)
   also have \dots = (map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p \circ map\_permutation \ A \ f \ q) \ y
     unfolding x \ o\_apply \ \mathbf{using} \ x(1) \ assms
     by (simp add: map_permutation_apply permutes_in_image)
   finally show ?thesis.
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis
     using False by (simp add: map_permutation_def)
qed
lemma map_permutation_transpose:
 assumes inj\_on\ f\ A\ a\in A\ b\in A
 shows map\_permutation\ A\ f\ (Transposition.transpose\ a\ b) = Transposition.transpose
(f a) (f b)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ y :: 'b
 show map\_permutation A f (Transposition.transpose a b) y = Transposition.transpose
(f a) (f b) y
 proof (cases y \in f 'A)
   case False
   hence map_permutation A f (Transposition.transpose a b) y = y
     unfolding map_permutation_def by (intro restrict_id_simps)
   moreover have Transposition.transpose (f \ a) \ (f \ b) \ y = y
     using False assms by (intro transpose_apply_other) auto
   ultimately show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
 next
   case True
   then obtain x where x: x \in A y = f x
     by blast
   have map_permutation A f (Transposition.transpose a b) y =
          f (Transposition.transpose a b x)
     unfolding x by (subst map_permutation_apply) (use x assms in auto)
   also have \dots = Transposition.transpose (f a) (f b) y
     using assms(2,3) x
     by (auto simp: Transposition.transpose_def inj_on_eq_iff[OF assms(1)])
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma map_permutation_permutes_iff:
 assumes bij\_betw\ f\ A\ B\ p\ `A\subseteq A\ \bigwedge x.\ x\notin A\Longrightarrow p\ x=x
```

```
map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p \ permutes \ B \longleftrightarrow p \ permutes \ A
 shows
proof
 assume p permutes A
  thus map_permutation A f p permutes B
   by (intro map_permutation_permutes assms)
  assume *: map_permutation A f p permutes B
  hence map permutation B (inv into A f) (map permutation A f p) permutes
A
   by (rule map_permutation_permutes[OF bij_betw_inv_into[OF assms(1)]])
 also have map\_permutation\ B\ (inv\_into\ A\ f)\ (map\_permutation\ A\ f\ p) =
           map\_permutation \ A \ (inv\_into \ A \ f \circ f) \ p
   by (rule map_permutation_compose[OF _ inj_on_inv_into])
      (use assms in \(\cap auto \) simp: \(bij_betw_def \))
  also have \dots = map permutation A id p
   unfolding o def id def
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{sym},\ \mathit{intro}\ \mathit{map\_permutation\_cong\_strong}\ \mathit{inv\_into\_f\_f}[\mathit{symmetric}]
         assms(2) \ bij\_betw\_imp\_inj\_on[OF \ assms(1)]) \ auto
  also have \dots = p
   unfolding map_permutation_def using assms(3)
   by (auto simp: restrict_id_def fun_eq_iff split: if_splits)
  finally show p permutes A.
qed
lemma \ bij\_betw\_permutations:
 assumes bij\_betw\ f\ A\ B
 shows bij betw (\lambda \pi \ x. \ if \ x \in B \ then \ f \ (\pi \ (inv \ into \ A \ f \ x)) \ else \ x)
            \{\pi. \ \pi \ permutes \ A\} \ \{\pi. \ \pi \ permutes \ B\} \ (is \ bij\_betw \ ?f \_ \_)
proof -
 let ?g = (\lambda \pi \ x. \ if \ x \in A \ then \ inv\_into \ A \ f \ (\pi \ (f \ x)) \ else \ x)
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule bij_betw_by Witness [of _ ?g], goal_cases)
   case 3
   show ?case using permutes_bij_inv_into[OF _ assms] by auto
  next
   case 4
   have bij_inv: bij_betw (inv_into A f) B A by (intro bij_betw_inv_into assms)
     fix \pi assume \pi permutes B
     from permutes bij inv into[OF this bij inv] and assms
      have (\lambda x. \ if \ x \in A \ then \ inv\_into \ A \ f \ (\pi \ (f \ x)) \ else \ x) permutes A
       by (simp add: inv_into_inv_into_eq cong: if_cong)
   from this show ?case by (auto simp: permutes_inv)
  next
   case 1
   thus ?case using assms
   by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in permutes_in_image bij_betw_inv_into_left
             dest: bij_betwE)
```

```
next
   case 2
   moreover have bij_betw (inv_into A f) B A
     by (intro bij_betw_inv_into assms)
   ultimately show ?case using assms
   by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in permutes_in_image bij_betw_inv_into_right
             dest: bij\_betwE)
 qed
qed
lemma bij_betw_derangements:
 assumes bij\_betw\ f\ A\ B
 shows bij\_betw (\lambda \pi \ x. \ if \ x \in B \ then \ f \ (\pi \ (inv\_into \ A \ f \ x)) \ else \ x)
           \{\pi. \ \pi \ permutes \ A \land (\forall x \in A. \ \pi \ x \neq x)\} \ \{\pi. \ \pi \ permutes \ B \land (\forall x \in B. \ \pi \ x \neq x)\} \}
\neq x)
         (is bij_betw ?f _ _)
proof -
 let ?g = (\lambda \pi \ x. \ if \ x \in A \ then \ inv\_into \ A \ f \ (\pi \ (f \ x)) \ else \ x)
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule bij_betw_byWitness [of _ ?g], goal_cases)
   case 3
   have ?f \pi x \neq x \text{ if } \pi \text{ permutes } A \land x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow \pi \ x \neq x \ x \in B \text{ for } \pi \ x
   using that and assms by (metis bij_betwE bij_betw_imp_inj_on bij_betw_imp_surj_on
                                 inv_into_f_f inv_into_into permutes_imp_bij)
   with permutes_bij_inv_into[OF _ assms] show ?case by auto
  next
   case 4
   have bij_inv: bij_betw (inv_into A f) B A by (intro bij_betw_inv_into assms)
   have ?g \pi permutes A if \pi permutes B for \pi
     using permutes_bij_inv_into[OF that bij_inv] and assms
     by (simp add: inv_into_inv_into_eq cong: if_cong)
   moreover have ?g \pi x \neq x \text{ if } \pi \text{ permutes } B \land x. x \in B \Longrightarrow \pi x \neq x x \in A
for \pi x
   using that and assms by (metis bij_betwE bij_betw_imp_surj_on f_inv_into_f
permutes imp bij)
   ultimately show ?case by auto
  \mathbf{next}
   case 1
   thus ?case using assms
   by (force simp: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in permutes_in_image bij_betw_inv_into_left
              dest: bij\_betwE)
  next
   case 2
   moreover have bij_betw (inv_into A f) B A
     by (intro bij_betw_inv_into assms)
   ultimately show ?case using assms
   by (force simp: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in permutes_in_image bij_betw_inv_into_right
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{dest: bij\_betwE}) \\ \mathbf{qed} \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}
```

#### 3.6 The number of permutations on a finite set

```
lemma permutes insert lemma:
 assumes p permutes (insert a S)
 shows transpose \ a \ (p \ a) \circ p \ permutes \ S
proof (rule permutes_superset[where S = insert \ a \ S])
 show Transposition.transpose a(p a) \circ p permutes insert a S
  by (meson assms insertI1 permutes_compose permutes_in_image permutes_swap_id)
qed auto
lemma\ permutes\_insert: \{p.\ p\ permutes\ (insert\ a\ S)\} =
 (\lambda(b, p). transpose \ a \ b \circ p) \ `\{(b, p). \ b \in insert \ a \ S \land p \in \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}\}\
proof -
 have p permutes insert a S \longleftrightarrow
   (\exists b \ q. \ p = transpose \ a \ b \circ q \land b \in insert \ a \ S \land q \ permutes \ S) for p
 proof -
   have \exists b \ q. \ p = transpose \ a \ b \circ q \land b \in insert \ a \ S \land q \ permutes \ S
     if p: p permutes insert a S
   proof -
     let ?b = p \ a
     let ?q = transpose \ a \ (p \ a) \circ p
     have *: p = transpose \ a \ ?b \circ ?q
       by (simp add: fun_eq_iff o_assoc)
     have **: ?b \in insert \ a \ S
       unfolding permutes_in_image[OF p] by simp
     from permutes insert lemma[OF p] * ** show ?thesis
       by blast
   \mathbf{qed}
   moreover have p permutes insert a S
     if bq: p = transpose \ a \ b \circ q \ b \in insert \ a \ S \ q \ permutes \ S \ \textbf{for} \ b \ q
   proof -
     from permutes\_subset[OF\ bq(3),\ of\ insert\ a\ S] have q:\ q\ permutes\ insert\ a\ S
       by auto
     have a: a \in insert \ a \ S
       by simp
     from bq(1) permutes compose [OF q permutes swap id[OF \ a \ bq(2)]] show
? the sis
       by simp
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma card permutations:
```

```
assumes card S = n
   and finite S
 shows card \{p. p permutes S\} = fact n
 using assms(2,1)
proof (induct arbitrary: n)
 case empty
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (insert x F)
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ n
   assume card\_insert: card (insert x F) = n
   let ?xF = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ insert \ x \ F\}
   let ?pF = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ F\}
   let ?pF' = \{(b, p).\ b \in insert\ x\ F \land p \in ?pF\}
   let ?q = (\lambda(b, p), transpose x b \circ p)
   have xfgpF': ?xF = ?g `?pF'
     by (rule\ permutes\_insert[of\ x\ F])
   from \langle x \notin F \rangle \langle finite F \rangle card\_insert have Fs: card F = n - 1
     by auto
   from \langle finite \ F \rangle insert.hyps Fs have pFs: card ?pF = fact (n-1)
     by auto
   then have finite ?pF
     by (auto intro: card_ge_0_finite)
   with \langle finite \ F \rangle card.insert_remove have pF'f: finite ?pF'
     by simp
   have ginj: inj_on ?g ?pF'
   proof -
     {
       \mathbf{fix} \ b \ p \ c \ q
       assume bp: (b, p) \in ?pF'
       assume cq:(c, q) \in ?pF'
       assume eq: ?g(b, p) = ?g(c, q)
       from bp cq have pF: p permutes F and qF: q permutes F
         by auto
       from pF \langle x \notin F \rangle eq have b = ?g(b, p) x
         by (auto simp: permutes_def fun_upd_def fun_eq_iff)
       also from qF \langle x \notin F \rangle eq have ... = ?g(c, q) x
         by (auto simp: fun_upd_def fun_eq_iff)
       also from qF \langle x \notin F \rangle have \ldots = c
         by (auto simp: permutes_def fun_upd_def fun_eq_iff)
       finally have b = c.
       then have transpose \ x \ b = transpose \ x \ c
         by simp
       with eq have transpose x \ b \circ p = transpose \ x \ b \circ q
       then have transpose x\ b\circ (transpose\ x\ b\circ p)=transpose\ x\ b\circ (transpose
x \ b \circ q)
         \mathbf{by} \ simp
```

```
then have p = q
        by (simp add: o_assoc)
      with \langle b = c \rangle have (b, p) = (c, q)
        by simp
     then show ?thesis
      unfolding inj\_on\_def by blast
   from \langle x \notin F \rangle \langle finite \ F \rangle \ card\_insert \ \mathbf{have} \ n \neq 0
     by auto
   then have \exists m. \ n = Suc \ m
     by presburger
   then obtain m where n: n = Suc m
     by blast
   from pFs card insert have *: card ?xF = fact n
     unfolding xfgpF' card_image[OF ginj]
     \mathbf{using} \ \langle \mathit{finite} \ F \rangle \ \langle \mathit{finite} \ ?pF \rangle
    by (simp only: Collect_case_prod Collect_mem_eq card_cartesian_product)
(simp \ add: \ n)
   from finite_imageI[OF pF'f, of ?g] have xFf: finite ?xF
     by (simp\ add:\ xfqpF'\ n)
   from * have card ?xF = fact n
     unfolding xFf by blast
 with insert show ?case by simp
qed
lemma finite_permutations:
 assumes finite S
 shows finite \{p. p \text{ permutes } S\}
 using card_permutations[OF refl assms] by (auto intro: card_ge_0_finite)
tion.transpose \ a \ b
proof (cases \ a = b)
 case False
 have \{id, Transposition.transpose \ a \ b\} \subseteq \{f. \ f \ permutes \ \{a, \ b\}\}
   by (auto simp: permutes_id permutes_swap_id)
 moreover have id \neq Transposition.transpose a b
   using False by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff Transposition.transpose_def)
 hence card \{id, Transposition.transpose a b\} = card \{f. f permutes \{a, b\}\}
   using False by (simp add: card_permutations)
 ultimately have \{id, Transposition.transpose \ a \ b\} = \{f. \ f \ permutes \ \{a, \ b\}\}
   by (intro card_subset_eq finite_permutations) auto
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed auto
```

#### 3.7 Permutations of index set for iterated operations

```
lemma (in comm\_monoid\_set) permute:
  assumes p permutes <math>S
  shows F g S = F (g \circ p) S

proof —
  from \langle p permutes S \rangle have inj p
  by (rule\ permutes\_inj)
  then have inj\_on\ p S
  by (auto\ intro:\ inj\_on\_subset)
  then have F g (p ' S) = F (g \circ p) S
  by (rule\ reindex)
  moreover from \langle p permutes S \rangle have p ' S = S
  by (rule\ permutes\_image)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp

qed
```

#### 3.8 Permutations as transposition sequences

```
inductive swapidseq :: nat \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool
where
id[simp]: swapidseq 0 id
|comp\_Suc: swapidseq n \ p \Longrightarrow a \neq b \Longrightarrow swapidseq (Suc \ n) (transpose \ a \ b \circ p)
declare id[unfolded \ id\_def, \ simp]
definition permutation \ p \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ n. \ swapidseq \ n \ p)
```

# 3.9 Some closure properties of the set of permutations, with lengths

```
lemma permutation_id[simp]: permutation id
  unfolding permutation_def by (rule exI[where x=0]) simp

declare permutation_id[unfolded id_def, simp]

lemma swapidseq_swap: swapidseq (if a = b then 0 else 1) (transpose a b)
  using swapidseq.simps by fastforce

lemma permutation_swap_id: permutation (transpose a b)
  by (meson permutation_def swapidseq_swap)

lemma swapidseq_comp_add: swapidseq n p ⇒ swapidseq m q ⇒ swapidseq (n
+ m) (p ∘ q)
  proof (induct n p arbitrary: m q rule: swapidseq.induct)
    case (id m q)
    then show ?case by simp
  next
```

```
case (comp\_Suc \ n \ p \ a \ b \ m \ q)
     then show ?case
          by (metis add_Suc comp_assoc swapidseq.comp_Suc)
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutation\_compose:\ permutation\ p \Longrightarrow permutation\ q \Longrightarrow permutation
(p \circ q)
     unfolding permutation\_def using swapidseq\_comp\_add[of\_p\_q] by metis
lemma swapidseq_endswap: swapidseq n \ p \Longrightarrow a \neq b \Longrightarrow swapidseq (Suc n) (p \circ
transpose \ a \ b)
     by (induct n p rule: swapidseq.induct)
              (use\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \land auto\ simp\ add:\ comp\_assoc\ intro:\ swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ \mathbf{in}\ \ \mathbf{in}\ \mathbf{in}\
seq.comp\_Suc >)
lemma swapidseq inverse exists: swapidseq n p \Longrightarrow \exists q. swapidseq n q \land p \circ q =
id \wedge q \circ p = id
proof (induct n p rule: swapidseq.induct)
     case id
     then show ?case
          by (rule exI[\mathbf{where}\ x=id]) simp
next
     case (comp\_Suc \ n \ p \ a \ b)
     from comp\_Suc.hyps obtain q where q: swapidseq n q p \circ q = id q \circ p = id
          by blast
     let ?q = q \circ transpose \ a \ b
     note H = comp\_Suc.hyps
     from swapidseq\_swap[of\ a\ b]\ H(3) have *: swapidseq\ 1\ (transpose\ a\ b)
          by simp
     from swapidseq\_comp\_add[OF\ q(1)\ *] have **: swapidseq\ (Suc\ n)\ ?q
     have transpose a\ b\circ p\circ ?q= transpose a\ b\circ (p\circ q)\circ transpose a\ b
          by (simp add: o_assoc)
     also have \dots = id
          by (simp\ add:\ q(2))
     finally have ***: transpose \ a \ b \circ p \circ ?q = id.
     have ?q \circ (transpose \ a \ b \circ p) = q \circ (transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ a \ b) \circ p
          by (simp only: o_assoc)
     then have ?q \circ (transpose \ a \ b \circ p) = id
          by (simp\ add:\ q(3))
     with ** *** show ?case
          by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ swapidseq\_inverse:
     assumes swapidseq n p
     shows swapidseq n (inv p)
     \mathbf{using}\ swapidseq\_inverse\_exists[\mathit{OF}\ assms]\ inv\_unique\_comp[\mathit{of}\ p]\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
```

```
lemma permutation\_inverse: permutation p \Longrightarrow permutation (inv p) using <math>permutation\_def swapidseq\_inverse by blast
```

 $transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ a \ c = transpose \ b \ c \circ transpose \ a \ b$ 

lemma  $swap\_id\_common: a \neq c \Longrightarrow b \neq c \Longrightarrow$ 

## 3.10 Various combinations of transpositions with 2, 1 and 0 common elements

```
by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_def)
lemma swap\_id\_common': a \neq b \Longrightarrow a \neq c \Longrightarrow
       transpose \ a \ c \circ transpose \ b \ c = transpose \ b \ c \circ transpose \ a \ b
      by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_def)
lemma swap_id_independent: a \neq c \Longrightarrow a \neq d \Longrightarrow b \neq c \Longrightarrow b \neq d \Longrightarrow
       transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ c \ d = transpose \ c \ d \circ transpose \ a \ b
      by (simp add: fun_eq_iff transpose_def)
3.11
                                  The identity map only has even transposition sequences
lemma symmetry_lemma:
      assumes \bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d. P \ a \ b \ c \ d \Longrightarrow P \ a \ b \ d \ c
            and \bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d. a \neq b \Longrightarrow c \neq d \Longrightarrow
                   a = c \land b = d \lor a = c \land b \neq d \lor a \neq c \land b = d \lor a \neq c \land a \neq d \land b \neq c
\land b \neq d \Longrightarrow
                   P \ a \ b \ c \ d
      shows \bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d. a \neq b \longrightarrow c \neq d \longrightarrow P \ a \ b \ c \ d
      using assms by metis
lemma swap_general:
      assumes a \neq b c \neq d
      shows transpose a \ b \circ transpose \ c \ d = id \lor
       (\exists x \ y \ z. \ x \neq a \land y \neq a \land z \neq a \land x \neq y \land x \neq 
            transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ c \ d = transpose \ x \ y \circ transpose \ a \ z)
       by (metis assms swap_id_common' swap_id_independent transpose_commute
transpose\_comp\_involutory)
lemma swapidseq\_id\_iff[simp]: swapidseq\ 0\ p\longleftrightarrow p=id
      using swapidseq.cases[of 0 p p = id] by auto
lemma swapidseq cases: swapidseq n p \longleftrightarrow
            n = 0 \land p = id \lor (\exists a \ b \ q \ m. \ n = Suc \ m \land p = transpose \ a \ b \circ q \land swapidseq
m \ q \wedge a \neq b
      by (meson comp_Suc id swapidseq.cases)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fixing\_swapidseq\_decrease} :
       assumes swapidseq n p
            and a \neq b
```

and  $(transpose \ a \ b \circ p) \ a = a$ 

```
shows n \neq 0 \land swapidseq (n-1) (transpose a b \circ p)
  using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: p a b)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{fun}\_\mathit{upd}\_\mathit{def})
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc \ n \ p \ a \ b)
  from Suc.prems(1) swapidseq\_cases[of Suc n p]
  obtain c d q m where
    cdqm: Suc \ n = Suc \ m \ p = transpose \ c \ d \circ q \ swapidseq \ m \ q \ c \neq d \ n = m
   by auto
  consider transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ c \ d = id
   | x y z  where x \neq a y \neq a z \neq a x \neq y
     transpose \ a \ b \circ transpose \ c \ d = transpose \ x \ y \circ transpose \ a \ z
   using swap\_general[OF\ Suc.prems(2)\ cdqm(4)] by metis
  then show ?case
  proof cases
   case 1
   then show ?thesis
     by (simp only: cdqm o_assoc) (simp add: cdqm)
  next
   case 2
   then have az: a \neq z
     by simp
   from 2 have *: (transpose \ x \ y \circ h) \ a = a \longleftrightarrow h \ a = a \ \text{for} \ h
     by (simp add: transpose_def)
   from cdqm(2) have transpose a\ b\circ p= transpose a\ b\circ (transpose\ c\ d\circ q)
     by simp
   then have \S: transpose a\ b\circ p = transpose\ x\ y\circ (transpose\ a\ z\circ q)
     by (simp add: o_assoc 2)
   obtain **: swapidseq (n-1) (transpose a z \circ q) and n \neq 0
     by (metis * \S Suc.hyps Suc.prems(3) az cdqm(3,5))
   then have Suc \ n-1=Suc \ (n-1)
     by auto
   with 2 show ?thesis
     using ** § swapidseq.simps by blast
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ swapidseq\_identity\_even:
  assumes swapidseq n \ (id :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a)
  shows even n
  using \langle swapidseq \ n \ id \rangle
proof (induct n rule: nat_less_induct)
  case H: (1 n)
  consider n = 0
    \mid a \mid b :: 'a \text{ and } q \mid m \text{ where } n = Suc \mid m \mid id = transpose \mid a \mid b \circ q \mid swapidseq \mid m \mid q \mid a
\neq b
```

```
using H(2)[unfolded\ swapidseq\_cases[of\ n\ id]] by auto
 then show ?case
 proof cases
   case 1
   then show ?thesis by presburger
 next
   case h: 2
   from fixing\_swapidseq\_decrease[OF\ h(3,4),\ unfolded\ h(2)[symmetric]]
   have m: m \neq 0 swapidseq (m-1) (id :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a)
   from h m have mn: m-1 < n
    by arith
   from H(1)[rule\_format, OF mn m(2)] h(1) m(1) show ?thesis
    by presburger
 qed
qed
3.12
        Therefore we have a welldefined notion of parity
definition even perm p = even (SOME \ n. \ swapidseq \ n \ p)
lemma swapidseq_even_even:
 assumes m: swapidseq m p
   and n: swapidseq n p
 shows even m \longleftrightarrow even n
proof -
 from swapidseq\_inverse\_exists[OF\ n] obtain q where q: swapidseq\ n\ q\ p\ \circ\ q
= id \ q \circ p = id
   by blast
 from swapidseq identity even [OF \ swapidseq \ comp \ add \ OF \ m \ q(1), \ unfolded
q]] show ?thesis
   by arith
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ evenperm\_unique:
 assumes swapidseq n p and even n = b
 shows even perm p = b
 by (metis evenperm_def assms someI swapidseq_even_even)
3.13
        And it has the expected composition properties
lemma evenperm\_id[simp]: evenperm\ id = True
 by (rule\ evenperm\_unique[\mathbf{where}\ n=\theta])\ simp\_all
lemma evenperm_identity [simp]:
 \langle evenperm (\lambda x. x) \rangle
 using evenperm_id by (simp add: id_def [abs_def])
```

lemma evenperm\_swap: evenperm (transpose a b) = (a = b)

```
by (rule even perm_unique [where n=if\ a=b\ then\ 0\ else\ 1]) (simp_all add:
swapidseq\_swap)
lemma evenperm_comp:
 assumes permutation p permutation q
 shows evenperm (p \circ q) \longleftrightarrow evenperm p = evenperm q
proof -
 from assms obtain n m where n: swapidseq n p and m: swapidseq m q
   unfolding permutation_def by blast
 have even (n + m) \longleftrightarrow (even n \longleftrightarrow even m)
   by arith
 from evenperm_unique[OF n refl] evenperm_unique[OF m refl]
   {\bf and}\ evenperm\_unique[OF\ swapidseq\_comp\_add[OF\ n\ m]\ this]\ {\bf show}\ ?thesis
   by blast
qed
lemma evenperm_inv:
 assumes permutation p
 shows even perm (inv p) = even perm p
proof -
 from assms obtain n where n: swapidseq n p
   unfolding permutation_def by blast
 show ?thesis
   by (rule\ evenperm\_unique[OF\ swapidseq\_inverse[OF\ n]\ evenperm\_unique[OF\ n])
n refl, symmetric]])
qed
3.14
        A more abstract characterization of permutations
lemma permutation bijective:
 assumes permutation p
 shows bij p
 by (meson assms o_bij permutation_def swapidseq_inverse_exists)
lemma permutation_finite_support:
 assumes permutation p
 shows finite \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\}
proof -
 from assms obtain n where swapidseq n p
   unfolding permutation_def by blast
 then show ?thesis
 proof (induct n p rule: swapidseq.induct)
   case id
   then show ?case by simp
   case (comp\_Suc \ n \ p \ a \ b)
   let ?S = insert \ a \ (insert \ b \ \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\})
   from comp\_Suc.hyps(2) have *: finite ?S
    by simp
```

```
from \langle a \neq b \rangle have **: \{x. (transpose \ a \ b \circ p) \ x \neq x\} \subseteq ?S
     by auto
   show ?case
     by (rule finite_subset[OF ** *])
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma permutation_lemma:
  assumes finite S
   and bij p
   and \forall x. \ x \notin S \longrightarrow p \ x = x
  shows permutation p
 using assms
proof (induct S arbitrary: p rule: finite_induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (insert a F p)
 let ?r = transpose \ a \ (p \ a) \circ p
 let ?q = transpose \ a \ (p \ a) \circ ?r
 have *: ?r a = a
   by simp
  from insert * \mathbf{have} **: \forall x. x \notin F \longrightarrow ?r x = x
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{bij\_pointE}\ \mathit{comp\_apply}\ \mathit{id\_apply}\ \mathit{insert\_iff}\ \mathit{swap\_apply}(3))
  have bij ?r
   using insert by (simp add: bij_comp)
  have permutation ?r
   by (rule\ insert(3)[OF \ \langle bij\ ?r\rangle \ **])
  then have permutation ?q
   by (simp add: permutation_compose permutation_swap_id)
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: o_assoc)
lemma permutation: permutation p \longleftrightarrow bij \ p \land finite \ \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\}
 using permutation_bijective permutation_finite_support permutation_lemma by
auto
lemma permutation_inverse_works:
  assumes permutation p
 shows inv p \circ p = id
   and p \circ inv p = id
 using permutation_bijective [OF assms] by (auto simp: bij_def inj_iff surj_iff)
lemma permutation_inverse_compose:
  assumes p: permutation p
   and q: permutation q
  shows inv (p \circ q) = inv q \circ inv p
```

#### 3.15 Relation to permutes

```
lemma permutes imp_permutation:
  \langle permutation \ p \rangle \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle finite \ S \rangle \ \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle
proof -
  from \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle have \langle \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\} \subseteq S \rangle
    by (auto dest: permutes_not_in)
  then have \langle finite \{x. \ p \ x \neq x \} \rangle
    using \langle finite S \rangle by (rule finite\_subset)
  moreover from \langle p | permutes | S \rangle have \langle bij | p \rangle
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{permutes\_\mathit{bij}})
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: permutation)
qed
lemma permutation_permutesE:
  assumes (permutation p)
  obtains S where \langle finite S \rangle \langle p | permutes S \rangle
proof -
  from assms have fin: \langle finite \{x. \ p \ x \neq x \} \rangle
    by (simp add: permutation)
  from assms have \langle bij p \rangle
    by (simp add: permutation)
  also have \langle UNIV = \{x. \ p \ x \neq x\} \cup \{x. \ p \ x = x\} \rangle
  finally have \langle bij\_betw\ p\ \{x.\ p\ x \neq x\}\ \{x.\ p\ x \neq x\}\rangle
    by (rule bij_betw_partition) (auto simp add: bij_betw_fixpoints)
  then have \langle p | permutes \{x. | p | x \neq x \} \rangle
    by (auto intro: bij_imp_permutes)
  with fin show thesis ..
qed
lemma permutation_permutes: permutation p \longleftrightarrow (\exists S. finite S \land p permutes S)
  by (auto elim: permutation_permutesE intro: permutes_imp_permutation)
3.16
           Sign of a permutation
definition sign :: \langle ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow int \rangle — TODO: prefer less generic name
  where \langle sign \ p = (if \ evenperm \ p \ then \ 1 \ else - 1) \rangle
lemma sign_cases [case_names even odd]:
  obtains \langle sign \ p = 1 \rangle \mid \langle sign \ p = -1 \rangle
  by (cases \(\left(evenperm \, p\right)\) (simp_all add: sign_def)
lemma sign_nz [simp]: sign p \neq 0
  by (cases p rule: sign_cases) simp_all
lemma sign\_id [simp]: sign id = 1
```

```
by (simp add: sign_def)
lemma sign_identity [simp]:
 \langle sign(\lambda x. x) = 1 \rangle
 by (simp add: sign_def)
lemma sign\_inverse: permutation p \Longrightarrow sign (inv p) = sign p
 by (simp add: sign_def evenperm_inv)
lemma sign\_compose: permutation p \Longrightarrow permutation q \Longrightarrow sign <math>(p \circ q) = sign
p * sign q
 by (simp add: sign_def evenperm_comp)
lemma sign\_swap\_id: sign (transpose a b) = (if a = b then 1 else - 1)
 by (simp add: sign_def evenperm_swap)
lemma sign\_idempotent [simp]: sign p * sign p = 1
 by (simp add: sign_def)
lemma sign_left_idempotent [simp]:
 \langle sign \ p * (sign \ p * sign \ q) = sign \ q \rangle
 by (simp add: sign_def)
lemma abs\_sign [simp]: |sign p| = 1
 by (simp add: sign_def)
3.17
         An induction principle in terms of transpositions
definition apply\_transps :: ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{where}
 apply\_transps\ xs = foldr\ (\circ)\ (map\ (\lambda(a,b).\ Transposition.transpose\ a\ b)\ xs)\ id
lemma apply\_transps\_Nil [simp]: apply\_transps [] = id
 by (simp add: apply_transps_def)
lemma apply_transps_Cons [simp]:
 apply\_transps\ (x \# xs) = Transposition.transpose\ (fst\ x)\ (snd\ x) \circ apply\_transps
 by (simp add: apply_transps_def case_prod_unfold)
lemma apply_transps_append [simp]:
 apply\_transps\ (xs @ ys) = apply\_transps\ xs \circ apply\_transps\ ys
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma permutation_apply_transps [simp, intro]: permutation (apply_transps xs)
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 thus ?case
  unfolding apply_transps_Cons by (intro permutation_compose permutation_swap_id)
ged auto
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ permutes\_apply\_transps:
 assumes \forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \in A \land b \in A
 shows apply transps xs permutes A
 using assms
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 from Cons.prems show ?case
   unfolding apply_transps_Cons
   by (intro permutes_compose permutes_swap_id Cons) auto
qed (auto simp: permutes_id)
lemma permutes_induct [consumes 2, case_names id swap]:
 assumes p permutes S finite S
 assumes P id
 assumes \bigwedge a\ b\ p.\ a\in S \Longrightarrow b\in S \Longrightarrow a\neq b\Longrightarrow P\ p\Longrightarrow p\ permutes\ S
           \implies P (Transposition.transpose a \ b \circ p)
 shows P p
  using assms(2,1,4)
proof (induct S arbitrary: p rule: finite_induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case using assms by (auto simp: id_def)
next
  case (insert x F p)
 let ?r = Transposition.transpose x (p x) \circ p
 let ?q = Transposition.transpose x (p x) \circ ?r
 have qp: ?q = p
   by (simp add: o_assoc)
 have ?r permutes F
   using permutes\_insert\_lemma[OF\ insert.prems(1)].
 have P ? r
     by (rule\ insert(3)[OF \ \ ?r\ permutes\ F)],\ rule\ insert(5))\ (auto\ intro:\ per-
mutes\_subset)
 show ?case
 proof (cases x = p x)
   case False
   have p \ x \in F
     \mathbf{using}\ permutes\_in\_image[OF \ \langle p\ permutes\_ \rangle,\ of\ x]\ False\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   have P ?q
     by (rule\ insert(5))
        (use \langle P ? r \rangle \langle p x \in F \rangle \langle r permutes F \rangle False in \langle auto simp: o\_def intro:
permutes\_subset)
   thus P p
     by (simp \ add: \ qp)
 qed (use \langle P ? r \rangle in simp)
lemma permutes_rev_induct[consumes 2, case_names id swap]:
```

```
assumes finite S p permutes S
   assumes P id
   assumes \bigwedge a\ b\ p.\ a\in S \Longrightarrow b\in S \Longrightarrow a\neq b \Longrightarrow P\ p\Longrightarrow p\ permutes\ S
                      \implies P \ (p \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b)
   shows P p
proof -
    have inv_into UNIV p permutes S
       using assms by (intro permutes_inv)
    from this and assms(1,2) show ?thesis
   proof (induction inv_into UNIV p arbitrary: p rule: permutes_induct)
       case id
       hence p = id
          by (metis inv_id permutes_inv_inv)
       thus ?case using \langle P id \rangle by (auto simp: id\_def)
   next
       case (swap \ a \ b \ p \ p')
       have p = Transposition.transpose a <math>b \circ (Transposition.transpose a b \circ p)
          by (simp add: o_assoc)
       also have \dots = Transposition.transpose \ a \ b \circ inv\_into \ UNIV \ p'
          by (subst swap.hyps) auto
    also have Transposition.transpose\ a\ b=inv\ into\ UNIV\ (Transposition.transpose
(a \ b)
          by (simp add: inv_swap_id)
    also have ... \circ inv_into UNIV p' = inv_into UNIV (p' \circ Transposition.transpose)
a b
          using swap \langle finite S \rangle
           by (intro permutation inverse compose [symmetric] permutation swap id
permutation_inverse)
                (auto simp: permutation_permutes)
       finally have p = inv (p' \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b).
       moreover have p' \circ Transposition.transpose a b permutes S
          by (intro permutes_compose permutes_swap_id swap)
       ultimately have *: P(p' \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b)
          by (rule\ swap(4))
       have P(p' \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b)
          by (rule assms; intro * swap permutes compose permutes swap id)
       also have p' \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b \circ Transposition.transpose \ a \ b = p'
          by (simp flip: o_assoc)
       finally show ?case.
   qed
qed
lemma map permutation apply transps:
   assumes f: inj\_on\ f\ A and set\ ts\subseteq A\times A
  \mathbf{shows} \quad map\_permutation \ A \ f \ (apply\_transps \ ts) = apply\_transps \ (map \ (map\_prod \ prod \ pro
ff) ts
   using assms(2)
proof (induction ts)
   case (Cons\ t\ ts)
```

```
obtain a b where [simp]: t = (a, b)
   by (cases t)
 have map\_permutation \ A \ f \ (apply\_transps \ (t \ \# \ ts)) =
        map\_permutation\ A\ f\ (Transposition.transpose\ a\ b\ \circ\ apply\_transps\ ts)
   by simp
 also have \dots = map\_permutation \ A \ f \ (Transposition.transpose \ a \ b) \circ
                map_permutation A f (apply_transps ts)
   by (subst map_permutation_compose')
      (use f Cons.prems in \( auto intro!: permutes_apply_transps \)
  also have map\_permutation\ A\ f\ (Transposition.transpose\ a\ b) =
           Transposition.transpose (f a) (f b)
   by (intro map_permutation_transpose f) (use Cons.prems in auto)
  also have map\_permutation\ A\ f\ (apply\_transps\ ts) = apply\_transps\ (map
(map\_prod f f) ts
   by (intro Cons.IH) (use Cons.prems in auto)
  also have Transposition.transpose\ (f\ a)\ (f\ b)\circ apply\ transps\ (map\ (map\ prod
ff) ts) =
           apply\_transps (map (map\_prod f f) (t \# ts))
   by simp
 finally show ?case.
\mathbf{qed} \ (use \ f \ \mathbf{in} \ \langle auto \ simp: \ map\_permutation\_id' \rangle)
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutes\_from\_transpositions:
 assumes p permutes A finite A
 shows \exists xs. (\forall (a,b) \in set xs. a \neq b \land a \in A \land b \in A) \land apply\_transps xs = p
 using assms
proof (induction rule: permutes_induct)
  case id
 thus ?case by (intro exI[of _ []]) auto
next
 case (swap \ a \ b \ p)
 from swap.IH obtain xs where
   xs: (\forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \neq b \land a \in A \land b \in A) \ apply\_transps \ xs = p
   by blast
 thus ?case
   using swap.hyps by (intro\ exI[of\_(a,b) \# xs]) auto
qed
3.18
         More on the sign of permutations
lemma evenperm_apply_transps_iff:
 assumes \forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \neq b
 shows evenperm (apply\_transps xs) \longleftrightarrow even (length xs)
 using assms
 by (induction xs)
   (simp\_all\ add:\ case\_prod\_unfold\ evenperm\_comp\ permutation\_swap\_id\ even-
perm\_swap)
```

```
lemma evenperm_map_permutation:
 assumes f: inj\_on\ f\ A and p\ permutes\ A\ finite\ A
 shows evenperm (map\_permutation \ A \ f \ p) \longleftrightarrow evenperm \ p
proof -
 note [simp] = inj\_on\_eq\_iff[OF f]
 obtain ts where ts: \forall (a, b) \in set ts. a \neq b \land a \in A \land b \in A apply_transps ts =
   using permutes_from_transpositions[OF assms(2,3)] by blast
 have even perm p \longleftrightarrow even (length ts)
   by (subst\ ts(2)\ [symmetric],\ subst\ evenperm\_apply\_transps\_iff)\ (use\ ts(1)\ in
auto)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow even (length (map (map_prod f f) ts))
   by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow evenperm (apply\_transps\ (map\ (map\_prod\ f\ f)\ ts))
   by (subst evenperm_apply_transps_iff) (use ts(1) in auto)
 also have apply\_transps\ (map\ (map\_prod\ f\ f)\ ts) = map\_permutation\ A\ f\ p
   unfolding ts(2)[symmetric]
   by (rule map_permutation_apply_transps [symmetric]) (use f ts(1) in auto)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma sign_map_permutation:
  assumes inj\_on\ f\ A\ p\ permutes\ A\ finite\ A
 shows sign (map\_permutation A f p) = sign p
 unfolding sign_def by (subst evenperm_map_permutation) (use assms in auto)
Sometimes it can be useful to consider the sign of a function that is not
a permutation in the Isabelle/HOL sense, but its restriction to some finite
subset is.
definition sign\_on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow int
  where sign\_on\ A\ f = sign\ (restrict\_id\ f\ A)
lemma sign_on_cong [cong]:
 assumes A = B \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f x = g x
 shows sign\_on \ A \ f = sign\_on \ B \ g
 unfolding sign_on_def using assms
 \mathbf{by} \ (intro \ arg\_cong[of\_\_ sign] \ restrict\_id\_cong)
\mathbf{lemma}\ sign\_on\_permutes:
 assumes f permutes A A \subseteq B
 shows sign on B f = sign f
proof -
 have f: f permutes B
   using assms permutes_subset by blast
 have sign\_on\ B\ f = sign\ (restrict\_id\ f\ B)
   by (simp add: sign_on_def)
 also have restrict\_id\ f\ B = f
   using f by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff permutes_not_in restrict_id_def)
 finally show ?thesis.
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma sign\_on\_id [simp]: sign\_on A id = 1
 by (subst\ sign\_on\_permutes[of\_A]) auto
lemma sign\_on\_ident [simp]: sign\_on A (\lambda x. x) = 1
 using sign_on_id[of A] unfolding id_def by simp
lemma sign_on_transpose:
 assumes a \in A \ b \in A \ a \neq b
 shows sign\_on\ A\ (Transposition.transpose\ a\ b) = -1
 by (subst\ sign\_on\_permutes[of\_A])
    (use assms in \(\auto\) simp: permutes_swap_id sign_swap_id\)
lemma sign on compose:
 assumes bij betw f A A bij betw q A A finite A
 shows sign\_on\ A\ (f\circ g) = sign\_on\ A\ f*sign\_on\ A\ g
proof -
 define restr where restr = (\lambda f. restrict\_id f A)
 have sign\_on\ A\ (f\circ g)=sign\ (restr\ (f\circ g))
   by (simp add: sign_on_def restr_def)
 also have restr(f \circ g) = restr(f \circ restr(g))
  using assms(2) by (auto\ simp:\ restr\_def\ fun\_eq\_iff\ bij\_betw\_def\ restrict\_id\_def)
 also have sign ... = sign (restr f) * sign (restr g) unfolding restr_def
    by (rule sign_compose) (auto intro!: permutes_imp_permutation[of A] per-
mutes restrict id assms)
 also have \dots = sign\_on \ A \ f * sign\_on \ A \ g
   by (simp add: sign_on_def restr_def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

## 3.19 Transpositions of adjacent elements

We have shown above that every permutation can be written as a product of transpositions. We will now furthermore show that any transposition of successive natural numbers  $\{m, \ldots, n\}$  can be written as a product of transpositions of adjacent elements, i.e. transpositions of the form  $i \leftrightarrow i + 1$ .

```
function adj\_transp\_seq :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \ where \ adj\_transp\_seq \ a \ b = \ (if \ a \geq b \ then \ [] \ else \ if \ b = \ a + 1 \ then \ [a] \ else \ a \ \# \ adj\_transp\_seq \ (a+1) \ b \ @ \ [a]) by auto termination by (relation \ measure \ (\lambda(a,b).\ b - a)) auto lemmas [simp \ del] = adj\_transp\_seq.simps lemma length\_adj\_transp\_seq:
```

```
a < b \Longrightarrow length (adj\_transp\_seq \ a \ b) = 2 * (b - a) - 1
 by (induction a b rule: adj_transp_seq.induct; subst adj_transp_seq.simps) auto
definition apply adj transps :: nat list <math>\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
 where apply adj transps xs = foldl (\circ) id (map (\lambda x. Transposition.transpose x
(x+1)) xs)
lemma apply_adj_transps_aux:
 f \circ foldl \ (\circ) \ g \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ Transposition.transpose \ x \ (Suc \ x)) \ xs) =
  foldl\ (\circ)\ (f\circ g)\ (map\ (\lambda x.\ Transposition.transpose\ x\ (Suc\ x))\ xs)
 by (induction xs arbitrary: f g) (auto simp: o_assoc)
lemma apply\_adj\_transps\_Nil\ [simp]:\ apply\_adj\_transps\ []=id
 and apply_adj_transps_Cons [simp]:
         apply adj transps (x \# xs) = Transposition.transpose x (x+1) \circ ap
ply_adj_transps xs
 and apply_adj_transps_snoc [simp]:
     apply\_adj\_transps\ (xs\ @\ [x]) = apply\_adj\_transps\ xs \circ Transposition.transpose
x(x+1)
  by (simp_all add: apply_adj_transps_def apply_adj_transps_aux)
lemma adj_transp_seq_correct:
 assumes a < b
 shows apply\_adj\_transps (adj\_transp\_seq\ a\ b) = Transposition.transpose\ a\ b
 using assms
proof (induction a b rule: adj_transp_seq.induct)
 case (1 a b)
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ b = a + 1)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   thus ?thesis
   \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ adj\_transp\_seq.simps)\ (auto\ simp:\ o\_def\ Transposition.transpose\_def
apply\_adj\_transps\_def)
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   hence apply\_adj\_transps (adj\_transp\_seq\ a\ b) =
           Transposition.transpose\ a\ (Suc\ a)\circ Transposition.transpose\ (Suc\ a)\ b\circ
Transposition.transpose \ a \ (Suc \ a)
     using 1 by (subst adj_transp_seq.simps)
                 (simp add: o_assoc swap_id_common swap_id_common' id_def
o_def
   also have \dots = Transposition.transpose \ a \ b
     using False 1 by (simp add: Transposition.transpose_def fun_eq_iff)
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
```

**lemma** permutation\_apply\_adj\_transps: permutation (apply\_adj\_transps xs)

```
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 have permutation (Transposition.transpose x (Suc x) \circ apply_adj_transps xs)
   by (intro permutation_compose permutation_swap_id Cons)
 thus ?case by (simp add: o_def)
qed auto
lemma permutes_apply_adj_transps:
 assumes \forall x \in set \ xs. \ x \in A \land Suc \ x \in A
 shows apply_adj_transps xs permutes A
 using assms
 by (induction xs) (auto intro!: permutes_compose permutes_swap_id permutes_id)
lemma set_adj_transp_seq:
  a < b \Longrightarrow set (adj\_transp\_seq \ a \ b) = \{a.. < b\}
 by (induction a b rule: adj transp seq.induct, substadj transp seq.simps) auto
3.20
         Transferring properties of permutations along bijections
locale permutes\_bij =
 fixes p :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a and A :: 'a \ set and B :: 'b \ set
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b and f' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a
 fixes p' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b
 defines p' \equiv (\lambda x. \ if \ x \in B \ then \ f \ (p \ (f' \ x)) \ else \ x)
 assumes permutes_p: p permutes A
 assumes bij\_f: bij\_betw f A B
 assumes f'_f: x \in A \Longrightarrow f'(fx) = x
begin
lemma bij f': bij betw f' B A
  using bij_f f'_f by (auto simp: bij_betw_def) (auto simp: inj_on_def im-
age\_image)
lemma f_f': x \in B \Longrightarrow f(f'x) = x
 using f'_f bij_f by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
lemma f_in_B: x \in A \Longrightarrow f x \in B
 using bij_f by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
lemma f' in A: x \in B \Longrightarrow f' x \in A
  using bij f' by (auto simp: bij betw def)
lemma permutes\_p': p' permutes B
proof -
 have p': p' x = x if x \notin B for x
   using that by (simp \ add: p'\_def)
 have bij_p: bij_betw p A A
   using permutes_p by (simp add: permutes_imp_bij)
 have bij betw (f \circ p \circ f') B B
```

```
by (rule bij_betw_trans bij_f bij_f' bij_p)+
 also have ?this \longleftrightarrow bij\_betw p' B B
   by (intro bij_betw_cong) (auto simp: p'_def)
 finally show ?thesis
   using p' by (rule bij_imp_permutes)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma f eq iff [simp]: f x = f y \longleftrightarrow x = y if x \in A y \in A for x y
 using that bij_f by (auto simp: bij_betw_def inj_on_def)
lemma apply_transps_map_f_aux:
 assumes \forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \in A \land b \in A \ y \in B
 shows apply\_transps\ (map\ (map\_prod\ ff)\ xs)\ y = f\ (apply\_transps\ xs\ (f'\ y))
 using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: y)
 case Nil
 thus ?case by (auto simp: f_f')
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs \ y)
 from Cons.prems have apply_transps xs permutes A
   by (intro permutes_apply_transps) auto
 hence [simp]: apply_transps xs \ z \in A \longleftrightarrow z \in A for z
   by (simp add: permutes_in_image)
 from Cons show ?case
  by (auto simp: Transposition.transpose\_deff\_f'f'\_fcase\_prod\_unfoldf'\_in\_A)
qed
lemma apply_transps_map_f:
 assumes \forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \in A \land b \in A
          apply\_transps (map (map\_prod f f) xs) =
           (\lambda y. if y \in B then f (apply\_transps xs (f'y)) else y)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ y
 show apply\_transps (map\_prod f f) xs) y =
        (if y \in B then f (apply\_transps xs (f' y)) else y)
 proof (cases y \in B)
   case True
   thus ?thesis
     using apply transps_map_f_aux[OF assms] by simp
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   have apply\_transps (map\_prod f f) xs) permutes B
   using assms by (intro permutes_apply_transps) (auto simp: case_prod_unfold
f_in_B
   with False have apply_transps (map (map prod f f) xs) y = y
     by (intro permutes_not_in)
   with False show ?thesis
     by simp
 qed
```

```
qed
end
locale permutes_bij_finite = permutes_bij +
 assumes finite_A: finite A
begin
lemma evenperm_p'_iff: evenperm p' \longleftrightarrow evenperm p
proof -
 obtain xs where xs: \forall (a,b) \in set \ xs. \ a \in A \land b \in A \land a \neq b \ apply\_transps \ xs =
   using permutes_from_transpositions[OF permutes_p finite_A] by blast
 have evenperm p \longleftrightarrow evenperm (apply\_transps xs)
   using xs by simp
 also have \dots \longleftrightarrow even (length xs)
   using xs by (intro evenperm_apply_transps_iff) auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow even (length (map (map_prod f f) xs))
   by simp
 also have \dots \longleftrightarrow evenperm (apply\_transps (map (map\_prod f f) xs)) using xs
  by (intro evenperm_apply_transps_iff [symmetric]) (auto simp: case_prod_unfold)
 also have apply\_transps\ (map\ (map\_prod\ ff)\ xs) = p'
   using xs unfolding p'_def by (subst apply_transps_map_f) auto
 finally show ?thesis ...
qed
lemma sign_p': sign_p' = sign_p
 by (auto simp: sign_def evenperm_p'_iff)
end
3.21
         Permuting a list
This function permutes a list by applying a permutation to the indices.
definition permute list :: (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list
 where permute\_list\ f\ xs = map\ (\lambda i.\ xs\ !\ (f\ i))\ [0..< length\ xs]
lemma permute list map:
 assumes f permutes {..<length xs}
 shows permute\_list f (map g xs) = map g (permute\_list f xs)
 using permutes_in_image[OF assms] by (auto simp: permute_list_def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{permute\_list\_nth} :
 assumes f permutes \{... < length \ xs\} i < length \ xs
 shows permute\_list\ f\ xs\ !\ i = xs\ !\ f\ i
```

using  $permutes\_in\_image[OF\ assms(1)]\ assms(2)$ 

**by** (simp add: permute\_list\_def)

```
lemma permute\_list\_Nil [simp]: permute\_list f [] = []
 by (simp add: permute_list_def)
lemma length permute list [simp]: length (permute list f xs) = length xs
 by (simp add: permute_list_def)
lemma permute_list_compose:
 assumes g permutes \{... < length \ xs\}
 shows permute_list (f \circ g) xs = permute_list g (permute_list f(xs))
 using assms[THEN permutes_in_image] by (auto simp add: permute_list_def)
lemma permute_list_ident [simp]: permute_list (\lambda x. x) xs = xs
 by (simp add: permute_list_def map_nth)
\mathbf{lemma}\ permute\_list\_id\ [simp]:\ permute\_list\ id\ xs = xs
 by (simp add: id def)
lemma mset_permute_list [simp]:
 fixes xs :: 'a list
 assumes f permutes \{... < length \ xs\}
 shows mset (permute\_list f xs) = mset xs
proof (rule multiset_eqI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ y :: \ 'a
 from assms have [simp]: f x < length xs \longleftrightarrow x < length xs for x
   using permutes_in_image[OF assms] by auto
 have count (mset (permute_list f xs)) y = card ((\lambda i. xs! f i) - '\{y\} \cap {..< length
   by (simp add: permute_list_def count_image_mset_atLeast0LessThan)
 also have (\lambda i. \ xs \ ! \ fi) - `\{y\} \cap \{..< length \ xs\} = f - `\{i. \ i < length \ xs \land y = \}
xs \mid i
   by auto
 also from assms have card ... = card \{i. i < length \ xs \land y = xs \mid i\}
   by (intro card_vimage_inj) (auto simp: permutes_inj permutes_surj)
 also have \dots = count (mset xs) y
  by (simp add: count_mset count_list_eq_length_filter length_filter_conv_card)
 finally show count (mset (permute list f(xs)) y = count (mset xs) y
   by simp
qed
lemma set_permute_list [simp]:
 assumes f permutes \{..< length xs\}
 shows set (permute\_list f xs) = set xs
 by (rule mset_eq_setD[OF mset_permute_list]) fact
lemma distinct_permute_list [simp]:
 assumes f permutes \{... < length \ xs\}
 shows distinct (permute\_list\ f\ xs) = distinct\ xs
 by (simp add: distinct_count_atmost_1 assms)
```

```
lemma permute list zip:
 assumes f permutes A A = {... < length xs}
 assumes [simp]: length xs = length ys
 shows permute list f(zip \ xs \ ys) = zip (permute \ list \ f(xs)) (permute \ list \ f(ys))
proof -
  from permutes in image[OF assms(1)] assms(2) have *: f i < length ys \longleftrightarrow
i < length ys  for i
   by simp
 have permute\_list\ f\ (zip\ xs\ ys) = map\ (\lambda i.\ zip\ xs\ ys\ !\ f\ i)\ [0..< length\ ys]
   by (simp_all add: permute_list_def zip_map_map)
 also have ... = map(\lambda(x, y). (xs ! f x, ys ! f y)) (zip [0..< length ys] [0..< length
ys])
   by (intro nth_equalityI) (simp_all add: *)
 also have ... = zip (permute\_list f xs) (permute\_list f ys)
   by (simp_all add: permute_list_def zip_map_map)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma map_of_permute:
 assumes \sigma permutes fst 'set xs
 shows map\_of xs \circ \sigma = map\_of (map (\lambda(x,y), (inv \sigma x, y)) xs)
   (\mathbf{is} \_ = map\_of (map ?f\_))
proof
  from assms have inj \sigma surj \sigma
   by (simp_all add: permutes_inj permutes_surj)
 then show (map\_of xs \circ \sigma) x = map\_of (map ?f xs) x for x
   by (induct xs) (auto simp: inv_f f surj_f inv_f)
qed
lemma list_all2_permute_list_iff:
  \langle list\_all2\ P\ (permute\_list\ p\ xs)\ (permute\_list\ p\ ys) \longleftrightarrow list\_all2\ P\ xs\ ys \rangle
 if \langle p \ permutes \{ ... < length \ xs \} \rangle
 using that by (auto simp add: list_all2_iff simp flip: permute_list_zip)
3.22
         More lemmas about permutations
lemma permutes_in_funpow_image:
 assumes f permutes S x \in S
 shows (f \cap n) \ x \in S
 using assms by (induction n) (auto simp: permutes in image)
lemma permutation_self:
 assumes \langle permutation p \rangle
 obtains n where \langle n > \theta \rangle \langle (p \widehat{\ } n) | x = x \rangle
proof (cases \langle p | x = x \rangle)
 case True
 with that [of 1] show thesis by simp
next
 case False
```

```
from (permutation p) have (inj p)
   by (intro permutation_bijective bij_is_inj)
  moreover from \langle p | x \neq x \rangle have \langle (p \frown Suc n) | x \neq (p \frown n) | x \rangle for n
 proof (induction n arbitrary: x)
   case \theta then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (Suc \ n)
   have p(p x) \neq p x
   proof (rule notI)
     assume p(p x) = p x
     then show False using \langle p | x \neq x \rangle \langle inj | p \rangle by (simp \ add: inj\_eq)
   have (p \cap Suc (Suc n)) x = (p \cap Suc n) (p x)
     by (simp add: funpow_swap1)
   also have \dots \neq (p \ \widehat{\ } n) \ (p \ x)
     by (rule Suc) fact
   also have (p \curvearrowright n) (p x) = (p \curvearrowright Suc n) x
     by (simp add: funpow_swap1)
   finally show ?case by simp
  qed
  then have \{y. \exists n. y = (p \cap n) x\} \subseteq \{x. p x \neq x\}
   by auto
  then have finite \{y. \exists n. y = (p \frown n) x\}
   using permutation_finite_support[OF assms] by (rule finite_subset)
  ultimately obtain n where \langle n \rangle \langle (p \cap n) | x = x \rangle
   by (rule funpow_inj_finite)
  with that [of n] show thesis by blast
qed
The following few lemmas were contributed by Lukas Bulwahn.
{\bf lemma}\ count\_image\_mset\_eq\_card\_vimage:
 assumes finite A
 shows count (image_mset f (mset_set A)) b = card \{a \in A. f a = b\}
 using assms
proof (induct A)
 case empty
 show ?case by simp
next
 case (insert \ x \ F)
 show ?case
 proof (cases f x = b)
   {f case}\ True
   with insert.hyps
   have count (image_mset f (mset_set (insert x F))) b = Suc (card \{a \in F. f\}
a = f x
     by auto
   also from insert.hyps(1,2) have ... = card (insert x {a \in F. f a = f x})
   also from \langle f x = b \rangle have card (insert x \{ a \in F. f a = f x \}) = card \{ a \in insert \}
```

```
x F. f a = b
     by (auto intro: arg\_cong[\mathbf{where}\ f = card])
   finally show ?thesis
     using insert by auto
  next
   case False
   then have \{a \in F. f \mid a = b\} = \{a \in insert \mid x \mid F. f \mid a = b\}
    with insert False show ?thesis
     by simp
  qed
qed
— Prove image_mset_eq_implies_permutes ...
lemma image_mset_eq_implies_permutes:
  fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
  assumes finite A
   and mset\_eq: image\_mset\ f\ (mset\_set\ A) = image\_mset\ f'\ (mset\_set\ A)
  obtains p where p permutes A and \forall x \in A. f x = f'(p x)
  from \langle finite \ A \rangle have [simp]: finite \{ a \in A. \ f \ a = (b::'b) \} for f \ b \ by \ auto
  have f ' A = f' ' A
  proof -
   from \langle finite \ A \rangle have f \cdot A = f \cdot (set\_mset \ (mset\_set \ A))
     by simp
   also have \dots = f' 'set_mset (mset_set A)
     by (metis mset_eq multiset.set_map)
   also from \langle finite \ A \rangle have ... = f' ' A
     by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
  have \forall b \in (f', A). \exists p. bij\_betw p \{a \in A. f a = b\} \{a \in A. f' a = b\}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ b
  from mset\_eq have count (image\_mset f (mset\_set A)) b = count (image\_mset
f' (mset set A)) b
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
   with \langle finite A \rangle have card \{a \in A. f a = b\} = card \{a \in A. f' a = b\}
     by (simp add: count_image_mset_eq_card_vimage)
   then show \exists p. \ bij\_betw \ p \ \{a \in A. \ f \ a = b\} \ \{a \in A. \ f' \ a = b\}
     by (intro finite_same_card_bij) simp_all
  then have \exists p. \forall b \in f 'A. bij\_betw\ (p\ b)\ \{a \in A.\ f\ a = b\}\ \{a \in A.\ f'\ a = b\}
   by (rule bchoice)
  then obtain p where p: \forall b \in f 'A. bij\_betw\ (p\ b)\ \{a \in A.\ f\ a=b\}\ \{a \in A.\ f'
a = b ...
  define p' where p' = (\lambda a. \ if \ a \in A \ then \ p \ (f \ a) \ a \ else \ a)
  have p' permutes A
 proof (rule bij_imp_permutes)
```

```
have disjoint\_family\_on \ (\lambda i. \{a \in A. f' \ a = i\}) \ (f `A)
     by (auto simp: disjoint_family_on_def)
   moreover
   have bij_betw (\lambda a. \ p \ (f \ a) \ a) \ \{a \in A. \ f \ a = b\} \ \{a \in A. \ f' \ a = b\} if b \in f' \ A
     using p that by (subst\ bij\_betw\_cong[where g=p\ b]) auto
   ultimately
   have bij_betw (\lambda a.\ p\ (f\ a)\ a) (\bigcup b \in f ' A.\ \{a \in A.\ f\ a = b\}) (\bigcup b \in f ' A.\ \{a \in A.\ f\ a = b\})
A. f' a = b
     by (rule bij_betw_UNION_disjoint)
   moreover have (\bigcup b \in f ' A. \{a \in A. f a = b\}) = A
   moreover from \langle f ' A = f' ' A \rangle have (\bigcup b \in f ' A . \{a \in A . f' a = b\}) = A
     by auto
   ultimately show bij betw p' A A
     unfolding p' def by (subst bij betw conq[where q=(\lambda a, p(f a) a)]) auto
   show \bigwedge x. \ x \notin A \Longrightarrow p' \ x = x
     by (simp \ add: p'\_def)
 qed
 moreover from p have \forall x \in A. f x = f'(p'x)
   unfolding p'_def using bij_betwE by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis ...
qed
— ... and derive the existing property:
lemma mset_eq_permutation:
 fixes xs ys :: 'a list
 assumes mset\_eq: mset xs = mset ys
 obtains p where p permutes {..<length ys} permute_list p ys = xs
proof -
 from mset\_eq have length\_eq: length xs = length ys
   by (rule mset_eq_length)
 have mset\_set {..<length ys} = mset [0..<length ys]
   by (rule mset_set_upto_eq_mset_upto)
 with mset eq length eq have image mset (\lambda i. xs! i) (mset set \{... < length ys\})
   image\_mset \ (\lambda i. \ ys \ ! \ i) \ (mset\_set \ \{..< length \ ys\})
   by (metis map_nth mset_map)
 from image_mset_eq_implies_permutes[OF _ this]
  obtain p where p: p permutes {..<length ys} and \forall i \in \{.. < length ys\}. xs! i =
ys ! (p i)
   by auto
 with length\_eq have permute\_list p ys = xs
   by (auto intro!: nth_equalityI simp: permute_list_nth)
 with p show thesis ..
ged
lemma permutes_natset_le:
```

```
fixes S :: 'a::wellorder set
 assumes p permutes S
   and \forall i \in S. \ p \ i \leq i
 shows p = id
proof -
 have p \ n = n  for n
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}
  proof (induct n arbitrary: S rule: less_induct)
   case (less n)
   show ?case
   proof (cases n \in S)
     case False
     with less(2) show ?thesis
       unfolding permutes_def by metis
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     with less(3) have p \ n < n \lor p \ n = n
      by auto
     then show ?thesis
     proof
       assume p \ n < n
       with less have p(p n) = p n
        by metis
       with permutes\_inj[OF\ less(2)] have p\ n=n
        unfolding inj_def by blast
       with \langle p | n < n \rangle have False
        by simp
      then show ?thesis ..
     qed
   qed
 qed
 then show ?thesis by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff)
qed
lemma permutes_natset_ge:
 fixes S :: 'a::wellorder set
 assumes p: p permutes S
   and le: \forall i \in S. \ p \ i \geq i
 shows p = id
proof -
 have i \geq inv \ p \ i \ \mathbf{if} \ i \in S \ \mathbf{for} \ i
 proof -
   from that permutes\_in\_image[OF\ permutes\_inv[OF\ p]] have inv\ p\ i\in S
     by simp
   with le have p (inv p i) <math>\geq inv p i
   with permutes_inverses[OF p] show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
 qed
```

```
then have \forall i \in S. inv p i \leq i
   by blast
  from permutes\_natset\_le[OF\ permutes\_inv[OF\ p]\ this] have inv\ p=inv\ id
   by simp
 then show ?thesis
   using p permutes_inv_inv by fastforce
qed
lemma image\_inverse\_permutations: \{inv p | p. p permutes S\} = \{p. p permutes \}
 using permutes_inv permutes_inv_inv by force
{\bf lemma}\ image\_compose\_permutations\_left:
 assumes q permutes S
 shows \{q \circ p \mid p. \ p \ permutes \ S\} = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}
proof -
 have \bigwedge p. p permutes S \Longrightarrow q \circ p permutes S
   by (simp add: assms permutes_compose)
 moreover have \bigwedge x. x permutes S \Longrightarrow \exists p. x = q \circ p \land p permutes S
  by (metis assms id_comp o_assoc permutes_compose permutes_inv permutes_inv_o(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ image\_compose\_permutations\_right:
 assumes q permutes S
 shows \{p \circ q \mid p. \ p \ permutes \ S\} = \{p \ . \ p \ permutes \ S\}
 by (metis (no types, opaque lifting) assms comp id fun.map comp permutes compose
permutes\_inv\_permutes\_inv\_o(2))
lemma permutes_in_seg: p permutes \{1 ..n\} \Longrightarrow i \in \{1..n\} \Longrightarrow 1 \le p \ i \land p \ i
 by (simp add: permutes_def) metis
lemma sum\_permutations\_inverse: sum f \{p. p permutes S\} = sum (\lambda p. f(inv))
p)) \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 let ?S = \{p : p \text{ permutes } S\}
 have *: inj_on inv ?S
 proof (auto simp add: inj_on_def)
   \mathbf{fix}\ q\ r
   assume q: q permutes S
     and r: r permutes S
     and qr: inv q = inv r
   then have inv (inv q) = inv (inv r)
   with permutes\_inv\_inv[OF\ q]\ permutes\_inv\_inv[OF\ r]\ \mathbf{show}\ q=r
     by metis
```

```
qed
  have **: inv \cdot ?S = ?S
   using image_inverse_permutations by blast
  have ***: ?rhs = sum (f \circ inv) ?S
   by (simp add: o_def)
  from sum.reindex[OF *, of f] show ?thesis
   by (simp only: ** ***)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ setum\_permutations\_compose\_left:
  assumes q: q permutes S
  shows sum f \{p. p \text{ permutes } S\} = sum (\lambda p. f(q \circ p)) \{p. p \text{ permutes } S\}
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  let ?S = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}
  have *: ?rhs = sum (f \circ ((\circ) q)) ?S
   by (simp add: o_def)
  have **: inj\_on ((\circ) q) ?S
  proof (auto simp add: inj_on_def)
   fix p r
   assume p permutes S
     and r: r permutes S
     and rp: q \circ p = q \circ r
   then have inv \ q \circ q \circ p = inv \ q \circ q \circ r
     by (simp add: comp_assoc)
   \mathbf{with} \ \mathit{permutes\_inj}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{q},\ \mathit{unfolded}\ \mathit{inj\_iff}]\ \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{p} = \mathit{r}
     by simp
  qed
  have ((\circ) q) '?S = ?S
   \mathbf{using}\ image\_compose\_permutations\_left[OF\ q]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
  with * sum.reindex[OF **, of f] show ?thesis
   by (simp only:)
qed
lemma sum_permutations_compose_right:
  assumes q: q permutes S
 shows sum f \{p. p \text{ permutes } S\} = sum (\lambda p. f(p \circ q)) \{p. p \text{ permutes } S\}
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  let ?S = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}
 have *: ?rhs = sum (f \circ (\lambda p. p \circ q)) ?S
   by (simp add: o_def)
  have **: inj\_on (\lambda p. p \circ q) ?S
  proof (auto simp add: inj_on_def)
   fix p r
   assume p permutes S
     and r: r permutes S
     and rp: p \circ q = r \circ q
   then have p \circ (q \circ inv \ q) = r \circ (q \circ inv \ q)
```

```
by (simp add: o assoc)
   \mathbf{with} \ \mathit{permutes\_surj}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{q},\ \mathit{unfolded}\ \mathit{surj\_iff}]\ \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{p} = \mathit{r}
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
 qed
 from image_compose_permutations_right[OF q] have (\lambda p. p \circ q) '?S = ?S
  with * sum.reindex[OF **, of f] show ?thesis
   by (simp only:)
qed
lemma inv_inj_on_permutes:
  \langle inj\_on\ inv\ \{p.\ p\ permutes\ S\}\rangle
proof (intro inj_onI, unfold mem_Collect_eq)
 \mathbf{fix} p q
 assume p: p permutes S and q: q permutes S and eq: inv p = inv q
 have inv (inv p) = inv (inv q) using eq by simp
 thus p = q
   using inv_inv_eq[OF permutes_bij] p q by metis
qed
lemma permutes_pair_eq:
  \langle \{(p \ s, \ s) \ | s. \ s \in S\} = \{(s, inv \ p \ s) \ | s. \ s \in S\} \rangle \ (\mathbf{is} \ \langle ?L = ?R \rangle) \ \mathbf{if} \ \langle p \ permutes \ S \rangle
proof
 show ?L \subseteq ?R
 proof
   fix x assume x \in ?L
   then obtain s where x: x = (p \ s, s) and s: s \in S by auto
   note x
   also have (p \ s, \ s) = (p \ s, \ Hilbert\_Choice.inv \ p \ (p \ s))
     using permutes_inj [OF that] inv_f_f by auto
   also have ... \in ?R using s permutes_in_image[OF that] by auto
   finally show x \in ?R.
  qed
 show ?R \subseteq ?L
 proof
   fix x assume x \in ?R
   then obtain s
     where x: x = (s, Hilbert\_Choice.inv p s) (is \_ = (s, ?ips))
       and s: s \in S by auto
   note x
   also have (s, ?ips) = (p ?ips, ?ips)
     using inv\_f\_f[OF\ permutes\_inj[OF\ permutes\_inv[OF\ that]]]
     using inv_inv_eq[OF permutes_bij[OF that]] by auto
   also have \dots \in ?L
     using s permutes_in_image[OF permutes_inv[OF that]] by auto
   finally show x \in ?L.
 ged
qed
```

```
context
  fixes p and n i :: nat
 assumes p: \langle p \text{ permutes } \{0... < n\} \rangle and i: \langle i < n \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutes\_nat\_less:
  \langle p | i < n \rangle
proof -
  have \langle ?thesis \longleftrightarrow p \ i \in \{0... < n\} \rangle
    by simp
 also from p have \langle p | i \in \{0... < n\} \longleftrightarrow i \in \{0... < n\} \rangle
    by (rule permutes_in_image)
 finally show ?thesis
    using i by simp
qed
lemma permutes_nat_inv_less:
  \langle inv \ p \ i < n \rangle
proof -
  from p have \langle inv \ p \ permutes \ \{0...< n\} \rangle
    by (rule permutes_inv)
  then show ?thesis
    using i by (rule Permutations.permutes_nat_less)
qed
end
{\bf context}\ comm\_monoid\_set
begin
lemma permutes_inv:
  \langle F (\lambda s. \ g \ (p \ s) \ s) \ S = F (\lambda s. \ g \ s \ (inv \ p \ s)) \ S \rangle \ (is \ \langle ?l = ?r \rangle)
 if \langle p | permutes | S \rangle
proof -
 let ?g = \lambda(x, y). g x y
 let ?ps = \lambda s. (p s, s)
 let ?ips = \lambda s. (s, inv p s)
 have inj1: inj_on ?ps S by (rule inj_onI) auto
  have inj2: inj_on ?ips S by (rule inj_onI) auto
  have ?l = F ?g (?ps `S)
    using reindex [OF inj1, of ?g] by simp
  also have ?ps \cdot S = \{(p \ s, \ s) \mid s. \ s \in S\} by auto
  also have ... = \{(s, inv \ p \ s) \ | s. \ s \in S\}
    unfolding permutes_pair_eq [OF that] by simp
  also have \dots = ?ips 'S by auto
 also have F ?g ... = ?r
   using reindex [OF inj2, of ?g] by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

# 3.23 Sum over a set of permutations (could generalize to iteration)

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{sum\_over\_permutations\_insert} :
  assumes fS: finite S
   and aS: a \notin S
 shows sum f \{p. p permutes (insert a S)\} =
    sum\ (\lambda b.\ sum\ (\lambda q.\ f\ (transpose\ a\ b\circ q))\ \{p.\ p\ permutes\ S\})\ (insert\ a\ S)
proof -
  have *: \bigwedge f \ a \ b \ (\lambda(b, p), f \ (transpose \ a \ b \circ p)) = f \circ (\lambda(b, p), transpose \ a \ b \circ p)
   by (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
  have **: \bigwedge P Q. \{(a, b). a \in P \land b \in Q\} = P \times Q
   by blast
  show ?thesis
   unfolding * ** sum.cartesian_product permutes_insert
  proof (rule sum.reindex)
   let ?f = (\lambda(b, y). transpose \ a \ b \circ y)
   let ?P = \{p. \ p \ permutes \ S\}
    {
     fix b \ c \ p \ q
     assume b: b \in insert \ a \ S
     assume c: c \in insert \ a \ S
     assume p: p permutes S
     assume q: q permutes S
     assume eq: transpose a \ b \circ p = transpose \ a \ c \circ q
     from p \ q \ aS have pa: p \ a = a and qa: q \ a = a
       unfolding permutes_def by metis+
     from eq have (transpose \ a \ b \circ p) \ a = (transpose \ a \ c \circ q) \ a
       by simp
     then have bc: b = c
       by (simp add: permutes_def pa qa o_def fun_upd_def id_def
           cong del: if_weak_cong split: if_split_asm)
     from eq[unfolded bc] have (\lambda p. transpose \ a \ c \circ p) \ (transpose \ a \ c \circ p) =
       (\lambda p. \ transpose \ a \ c \circ p) \ (transpose \ a \ c \circ q) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
     then have p = q
       unfolding o_assoc swap_id_idempotent by simp
     with bc have b = c \land p = q
       by blast
   then show inj\_on ?f (insert \ a \ S \times ?P)
     unfolding inj_on_def by clarify metis
  qed
qed
```

## 3.24 Constructing permutations from association lists

```
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{list\_permutes} :: ('a \times \ 'a) \ \textit{list} \Rightarrow \ 'a \ \textit{set} \Rightarrow \textit{bool}
  where list\_permutes \ xs \ A \longleftrightarrow
   set (map fst xs) \subseteq A \land
   set (map \ snd \ xs) = set (map \ fst \ xs) \land
    distinct (map fst xs) \land
    distinct (map snd xs)
lemma list_permutesI [simp]:
 assumes set (map \ fst \ xs) \subseteq A set (map \ snd \ xs) = set \ (map \ fst \ xs) distinct (map \ fst \ xs)
  shows list_permutes xs A
proof -
  from assms(2,3) have distinct (map snd xs)
   by (intro card distinct) (simp all add: distinct card del: set map)
  with assms show ?thesis
   by (simp add: list_permutes_def)
qed
definition permutation of list :: ('a \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
 where permutation\_of\_list\ xs\ x = (case\ map\_of\ xs\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow x\mid Some\ y \Rightarrow
lemma permutation_of_list_Cons:
 permutation\_of\_list\ ((x, y) \# xs)\ x' = (if\ x = x'\ then\ y\ else\ permutation\_of\_list
  by (simp add: permutation_of_list_def)
fun inverse\_permutation\_of\_list :: ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  where
    inverse permutation of list [] x = x
  | inverse\_permutation\_of\_list ((y, x') \# xs) x =
     (if \ x = x' \ then \ y \ else \ inverse\_permutation\_of\_list \ xs \ x)
declare inverse_permutation_of_list.simps [simp del]
lemma inj_on_map_of:
  assumes distinct (map snd xs)
  shows inj_on (map_of xs) (set (map fst xs))
proof (rule inj_onI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
 assume xy: x \in set (map fst xs) y \in set (map fst xs)
 assume eq: map\_of xs x = map\_of xs y
 from xy obtain x' y' where x'y': map_of xs x = Some x' map_of xs y = Some
  by (cases map_of xs x; cases map_of xs y) (simp_all add: map_of_eq_None_iff)
  moreover from x'y' have *: (x, x') \in set \ xs \ (y, y') \in set \ xs
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{force}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{map\_of\_SomeD}) +
  moreover from * eq x'y' have x' = y'
```

```
by simp
 ultimately show x = y
   using assms by (force simp: distinct_map dest: inj_onD[of_(x,x')])
lemma inj on the: None \notin A \Longrightarrow inj on the A
 by (auto simp: inj_on_def option.the_def split: option.splits)
lemma inj_on_map_of':
 assumes distinct (map snd xs)
 shows inj\_on (the \circ map\_of xs) (set (map\ fst\ xs))
 by (intro comp_inj_on inj_on_map_of assms inj_on_the)
   (force simp: eq_commute[of None] map_of_eq_None_iff)
lemma image_map_of:
 assumes distinct (map fst xs)
 shows map\_of\ xs 'set (map\ fst\ xs) = Some 'set (map\ snd\ xs)
 using assms by (auto simp: rev_image_eqI)
lemma the Some image [simp]: the 'Some' A = A
 by (subst image_image) simp
lemma image_map_of':
 assumes distinct (map fst xs)
 shows (the \circ map_of xs) 'set (map fst xs) = set (map snd xs)
 by (simp only: image_comp [symmetric] image_map_of assms the_Some_image)
lemma permutation of list permutes [simp]:
 assumes list\_permutes \ xs \ A
 shows permutation_of_list xs permutes A
   (is ?f permutes __)
proof (rule permutes_subset[OF bij_imp_permutes])
 from assms show set (map fst xs) \subseteq A
   by (simp add: list_permutes_def)
 from assms have inj\_on (the \circ map\_of xs) (set (map fst xs)) (is ?P)
   by (intro inj_on_map_of') (simp_all add: list_permutes_def)
 also have ?P \longleftrightarrow inj\_on ?f (set (map fst xs))
   by (intro inj_on_cong)
   (auto simp: permutation of list def map of eq None iff split: option.splits)
 finally have bij_betw ?f (set (map fst xs)) (?f 'set (map fst xs))
   by (rule inj_on_imp_bij_betw)
 also from assms have ?f 'set (map\ fst\ xs) = (the\ \circ\ map\_of\ xs) 'set (map\ fst\ sst)
xs
   by (intro image_cong refl)
   (auto\ simp:\ permutation\_of\_list\_def\ map\_of\_eq\_None\_iff\ split:\ option.splits)
 also from assms have ... = set (map fst xs)
   by (subst image map of') (simp all add: list permutes def)
 finally show bij_betw ?f (set (map fst xs)) (set (map fst xs)).
qed (force simp: permutation_of_list_def dest!: map_of_SomeD split: option.splits)+
```

```
lemma eval_permutation_of_list [simp]:
 permutation\_of\_list [] x = x
 x = x' \Longrightarrow permutation of list ((x',y)\#xs) x = y
 x \neq x' \Longrightarrow permutation of list ((x',y')\#xs) x = permutation of list xs x
 by (simp_all add: permutation_of_list_def)
lemma eval_inverse_permutation_of_list [simp]:
  inverse\_permutation\_of\_list [] x = x
 x = x' \Longrightarrow inverse\_permutation\_of\_list ((y,x')\#xs) \ x = y
 x \neq x' \Longrightarrow inverse\_permutation\_of\_list ((y',x')\#xs) \ x = inverse\_permutation\_of\_list
 by (simp_all add: inverse_permutation_of_list.simps)
lemma permutation of list id: x \notin set (map fst xs) \Longrightarrow permutation of list xs
 by (induct xs) (auto simp: permutation of list Cons)
lemma permutation_of_list_unique':
  distinct (map fst xs) \Longrightarrow (x, y) \in set xs \Longrightarrow permutation of list xs x = y
 by (induct xs) (force simp: permutation_of_list_Cons)+
lemma permutation_of_list_unique:
  list\_permutes \ xs \ A \Longrightarrow (x, y) \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow permutation\_of\_list \ xs \ x = y
 by (intro permutation_of_list_unique') (simp_all add: list_permutes_def)
lemma inverse permutation of list id:
  x \notin set \ (map \ snd \ xs) \Longrightarrow inverse \ permutation \ of \ list \ xs \ x = x
 by (induct xs) auto
lemma inverse_permutation_of_list_unique':
  distinct\ (map\ snd\ xs) \Longrightarrow (x,\ y) \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow inverse\_permutation\_of\_list\ xs\ y
 by (induct xs) (force simp: inverse\_permutation\_of\_list.simps(2))+
lemma inverse permutation of list unique:
 list\_permutes \ xs \ A \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow inverse\_permutation\_of\_list \ xs \ y = x
 by (intro inverse_permutation_of_list_unique') (simp_all add: list_permutes_def)
lemma inverse permutation of list correct:
 fixes A :: 'a \ set
 assumes list_permutes xs A
 shows inverse\_permutation\_of\_list xs = inv (permutation\_of\_list xs)
proof (rule ext, rule sym, subst permutes_inv_eq)
  from assms show permutation_of_list xs permutes A
   by simp
 show permutation of list xs (inverse permutation of list xs x) = x for x
 proof (cases x \in set (map snd xs))
   case True
```

```
then obtain y where (y, x) \in set \ xs \ by \ auto with assms \ show \ ?thesis by (simp \ add: inverse\_permutation\_of\_list\_unique \ permutation\_of\_list\_unique) next case False with assms \ show \ ?thesis by (auto \ simp: \ list\_permutes\_def \ inverse\_permutation\_of\_list\_id \ permutation\_of\_list\_id) qed qed end
```

#### 4 Permuted Lists

```
theory List_Permutation imports Permutations begin
```

Note that multisets already provide the notion of permutated list and hence this theory mostly echoes material already logically present in theory *Permutations*; it should be seldom needed.

# 4.1 An existing notion

```
abbreviation (input) perm :: \langle 'a \; list \Rightarrow 'a \; list \Rightarrow bool \rangle (infixr \langle <^{\sim} > \rangle \; 50) where \langle xs <^{\sim} > ys \equiv mset \; ys \rangle
```

# 4.2 Nontrivial conclusions

```
proposition perm\_swap:
\langle xs[i := xs ! j, j := xs ! i] < \sim \sim > xs \rangle
if \langle i < length \ xs \rangle \langle j < length \ xs \rangle
using that by (simp \ add: mset\_swap)

proposition mset\_le\_perm\_append: mset \ xs \subseteq \# \ mset \ ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ zs. \ xs @ \ zs < \sim \sim > ys)
by (auto \ simp \ add: mset\_subset\_eq\_exists\_conv \ ex\_mset \ dest: \ sym)

proposition perm\_set\_eq: xs < \sim \sim > ys \Longrightarrow set \ xs = set \ ys
by (rule \ mset\_eq\_setD) \ simp

proposition perm\_distinct\_iff: xs < \sim \sim > ys \Longrightarrow distinct \ xs \longleftrightarrow distinct \ ys
by (rule \ mset\_eq\_imp\_distinct\_iff) \ simp

theorem eq\_set\_perm\_remdups: set \ xs = set \ ys \Longrightarrow remdups \ xs < \sim \sim > remdups
ys
by (simp \ add: \ set\_eq\_iff\_mset\_remdups\_eq)
```

```
proposition perm\_remdups\_iff\_eq\_set: remdups\ x<^{\sim}> remdups\ y\longleftrightarrow set\ x
= set y
    by (simp add: set_eq_iff_mset_remdups_eq)
theorem permutation Ex bij:
     assumes xs <^{\sim} > ys
    shows \exists f.\ bij\_betw\ f\ \{..< length\ xs\}\ \{..< length\ ys\}\ \land\ (\forall\ i< length\ xs.\ xs\ !\ i=ys
! (f i)
proof -
     from assms have \langle mset \ xs = mset \ ys \rangle \langle length \ xs = length \ ys \rangle
         by (auto simp add: dest: mset_eq_length)
     from \langle mset \ xs = mset \ ys \rangle obtain p where \langle p \ permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ \{... < length \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ ys \} \rangle \langle permutes \ ys \} \langle permutes \ ys \rangle \langle permut
mute\_list \ p \ ys = xs
         by (rule mset_eq_permutation)
     then have \langle bij\_betw \ p \ \{... < length \ xs\} \ \{... < length \ ys\} \rangle
         by (simp add: \langle length \ xs = length \ ys \rangle permutes imp bij)
     moreover have \forall i < length \ xs. \ xs \ ! \ i = ys \ ! \ (p \ i) \rangle
         using \langle permute\_list \ p \ ys = xs \rangle \langle length \ xs = length \ ys \rangle \langle p \ permutes \ \{..< length \ ys \} \rangle
ys} permute\_list\_nth
         by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis
         by blast
\mathbf{qed}
proposition perm_finite: finite \{B. B <^{\sim} > A\}
     using mset_eq_finite by auto
4.3
                     Trivial conclusions:
proposition perm\_empty\_imp: [] <^{\sim} > ys \Longrightarrow ys = []
    by simp
This more general theorem is easier to understand!
proposition perm_length: xs <^{\sim}> ys \Longrightarrow length \ xs = length \ ys
    by (rule mset eq length) simp
proposition perm_sym: xs <^{\sim} > ys \Longrightarrow ys <^{\sim} > xs
    by simp
We can insert the head anywhere in the list.
proposition perm_append_Cons: a \# xs @ ys <^{\sim} > xs @ a \# ys
    by simp
proposition perm\_append\_swap: xs @ ys <^{\sim}> ys @ xs
    by simp
proposition perm_append_single: a \# xs <^{\sim} > xs @ [a]
     by simp
```

```
proposition perm_rev: rev xs <^{\sim} > xs
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
proposition perm_append1: xs <^{\sim} > ys \implies l @ xs <^{\sim} > l @ ys
 by simp
proposition perm\_append2: xs <^{\sim}> ys \Longrightarrow xs @ l <^{\sim}> ys @ l
proposition perm_empty [iff]: [] <^{\sim} > xs \longleftrightarrow xs = []
  by simp
proposition perm\_empty2 [iff]: xs <^{\sim}> [] \longleftrightarrow xs = [
 by simp
proposition perm\_sing\_imp: ys <^{\sim}> xs \Longrightarrow xs = [y] \Longrightarrow ys = [y]
 by simp
\textbf{proposition} \ \textit{perm\_sing\_eq} \ [\textit{iff}] : \ \textit{ys} <^{\sim} > [\textit{y}] \longleftrightarrow \textit{ys} = [\textit{y}]
 by simp
proposition perm\_sing\_eq2 [iff]: [y] <^{\sim}> ys \longleftrightarrow ys = [y]
proposition perm_remove: x \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow ys <^{\sim}> x \# remove1 \ x \ ys
  by simp
Congruence rule
proposition perm\_remove\_perm: xs <^{\sim}> ys \Longrightarrow remove1 \ z \ xs <^{\sim}> remove1
z ys
 by simp
proposition remove_hd [simp]: remove1 z (z \# xs) = xs
 by simp
proposition cons_perm_imp_perm: z \# xs <^{\sim} > z \# ys \Longrightarrow xs <^{\sim} > ys
proposition cons_perm_eq [simp]: z\#xs <^{\sim} > z\#ys \longleftrightarrow xs <^{\sim} > ys
 by simp
proposition append_perm_imp_perm: zs @ xs <^{\sim} > zs @ ys \Longrightarrow xs <^{\sim} > ys
 by simp
proposition perm\_append1\_eq [iff]: zs @ xs <^{\sim} > zs @ ys \longleftrightarrow xs <^{\sim} > ys
proposition perm_append2_eq [iff]: xs @ zs <^{\sim} > ys @ zs \longleftrightarrow xs <^{\sim} > ys
  by simp
```

# 5 Permutations of a Multiset

```
{\bf theory}\ {\it Multiset\_Permutations}
imports
  Complex Main
  Permutations
begin
lemma mset\_tl: xs \neq [] \implies mset (tl xs) = mset xs - \{\#hd xs\#\}
 by (cases xs) simp_all
lemma mset_set_image_inj:
 assumes inj\_on\ f\ A
 shows mset\_set(f'A) = image\_msetf(mset\_setA)
proof (cases finite A)
 case True
 from this and assms show ?thesis by (induction A) auto
qed (insert assms, simp add: finite_image_iff)
{\bf lemma}\ multiset\_remove\_induct\ [case\_names\ empty\ remove]:
 assumes P \{\#\} \land A. A \neq \{\#\} \Longrightarrow (\land x. x \in \#A \Longrightarrow P (A - \{\#x\#\})) \Longrightarrow P
 shows PA
proof (induction A rule: full_multiset_induct)
  case (less\ A)
 hence IH: P B if B \subset \# A for B using that by blast
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ A = \{\#\})
   case True
   thus ?thesis by (simp add: assms)
 next
   case False
   hence P(A - \{\#x\#\}) if x \in \#A for x
     using that by (intro IH) (simp add: mset_subset_diff_self)
   from False and this show P A by (rule assms)
 qed
qed
lemma map\_list\_bind: map\ g\ (List.bind\ xs\ f) = List.bind\ xs\ (map\ g\circ f)
 by (simp add: List.bind_def map_concat)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{mset\_eq\_mset\_set\_imp\_distinct} :
 finite\ A \Longrightarrow mset\_set\ A = mset\ xs \Longrightarrow distinct\ xs
proof (induction xs arbitrary: A)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs \ A)
```

```
from Cons.prems(2) have x \in \# mset\_set A by simp
    with Cons.prems(1) have [simp]: x \in A by simp
    from Cons.prems have x \notin \# mset\_set (A - \{x\}) by simp
    also from Cons.prems have mset\_set\ (A - \{x\}) = mset\_set\ A - \{\#x\#\}
        by (subst mset set Diff) simp all
    also have mset\_set\ A = mset\ (x\#xs) by (simp\ add:\ Cons.prems)
    also have ... -\{\#x\#\} = mset \ xs \ by \ simp
    finally have [simp]: x \notin set \ xs \ by \ (simp \ add: in \ multiset \ in \ set)
      from Cons.prems show ?case by (auto introl: Cons.IH[of A - \{x\}] simp:
mset\_set\_Diff)
qed simp_all
5.1
                 Permutations of a multiset
definition permutations\_of\_multiset :: 'a multiset <math>\Rightarrow 'a list set where
    permutations\_of\_multiset A = \{xs. mset xs = A\}
lemma permutations of multisetI: mset\ xs = A \Longrightarrow xs \in permutations of multiset
    by (simp add: permutations of multiset def)
lemma permutations of multisetD: xs \in permutations of multiset A \Longrightarrow mset
xs = A
    by (simp add: permutations_of_multiset_def)
lemma permutations_of_multiset_Cons_iff:
   x \;\#\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \longleftrightarrow x \in \#\; A \;\land\; xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset \; A \to x \in permutatio
(A - \{\#x\#\})
    by (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
lemma permutations of multiset empty [simp]: permutations of multiset {#}
    unfolding permutations_of_multiset_def by simp
lemma permutations_of_multiset_nonempty:
    assumes nonempty: A \neq \{\#\}
    shows permutations\_of\_multiset A =
                            (||x \in set| mset A. ((#) x) 'permutations of multiset (A - {#x#}))
(is _ = ?rhs)
proof safe
    fix xs assume xs \in permutations of multiset A
    hence mset xs: mset xs = A by (simp add: permutations of multiset def)
    hence xs \neq [] by (auto simp: nonempty)
    then obtain x xs' where xs: xs = x \# xs' by (cases xs) simp\_all
      with mset\_xs have x \in set\_mset A xs' \in permutations\_of\_multiset (A -
\{\#x\#\}
        by (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
    with xs show xs \in ?rhs by auto
qed (auto simp: permutations of multiset def)
```

```
lemma permutations\_of\_multiset\_singleton [simp]: <math>permutations\_of\_multiset \{\#x\#\}
= \{[x]\}
 by (simp add: permutations of multiset nonempty)
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutations\_of\_multiset\_doubleton:
 permutations\_of\_multiset \{\#x,y\#\} = \{[x,y], [y,x]\}
 by (simp add: permutations of multiset nonempty insert commute)
lemma rev_permutations_of_multiset [simp]:
 rev 	ildot permutations\_of\_multiset A = permutations\_of\_multiset A
proof
 have rev 'rev' permutations_of_multiset A \subseteq rev 'permutations_of_multiset
   unfolding permutations_of_multiset_def by auto
 also have rev 'rev' permutations of multiset A = permutations of multiset
A
   by (simp add: image_image)
 finally show permutations_of_multiset A \subseteq rev 'permutations_of_multiset A
next
 show rev 'permutations_of_multiset A \subseteq permutations\_of\_multiset A
   unfolding permutations_of_multiset_def by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ length\_finite\_permutations\_of\_multiset\colon
 xs \in permutations \ of \ multiset \ A \Longrightarrow length \ xs = size \ A
 by (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
lemma permutations of multiset lists: permutations of multiset A \subseteq lists (set mset
 by (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
lemma finite_permutations_of_multiset [simp]: finite (permutations_of_multiset
A)
proof (rule finite subset)
 show permutations_of_multiset A \subseteq \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq set\_mset \ A \land length \ xs =
   by (auto simp: permutations of multiset def)
 show finite \{xs.\ set\ xs\subseteq set\_mset\ A\land length\ xs=size\ A\}
   by (rule finite_lists_length_eq) simp_all
qed
lemma permutations_of_multiset_not_empty [simp]: permutations_of_multiset
A \neq \{\}
proof -
 from ex \ mset[of A] obtain xs where mset \ xs = A..
 thus ?thesis by (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
qed
```

```
lemma permutations_of_multiset_image:
 permutations\_of\_multiset \ (image\_mset \ f \ A) = map \ f \ `permutations\_of\_multiset
proof safe
 fix xs assume A: xs \in permutations\_of\_multiset (image\_mset f A)
 from ex\_mset[of A] obtain ys where ys: mset ys = A..
 with A have mset xs = mset (map f ys)
   by (simp add: permutations_of_multiset_def)
  then obtain \sigma where \sigma: \sigma permutes {..<length (map f ys)} permute_list \sigma
(map \ f \ ys) = xs
   by (rule mset_eq_permutation)
 with ys have xs = map \ f \ (permute\_list \ \sigma \ ys)
   by (simp add: permute_list_map)
 moreover from \sigma ys have permute_list \sigma ys \in permutations_of_multiset A
   by (simp add: permutations of multiset def)
 ultimately show xs \in map \ f 'permutations_of_multiset A by blast
qed (auto simp: permutations_of_multiset_def)
```

## 5.2 Cardinality of permutations

In this section, we prove some basic facts about the number of permutations of a multiset.

```
context
begin
```

```
private lemma multiset_prod_fact_insert:
  (\prod y \in set\_mset \ (A + \{\#x\#\}). \ fact \ (count \ (A + \{\#x\#\}) \ y)) =
    (count\ A\ x+1)*(\prod y \in set\_mset\ A.\ fact\ (count\ A\ y))
proof -
 have (\prod y \in set\_mset (A + \{\#x\#\}). fact (count (A + \{\#x\#\}) y)) =
         (\prod y \in set\_mset \ (A + \{\#x\#\}). \ (if \ y = x \ then \ count \ A \ x + 1 \ else \ 1) * fact
(count\ A\ y))
   by (intro prod.cong) simp_all
 also have ... = (count\ A\ x+1)*(\prod y \in set\_mset\ (A+\{\#x\#\}).\ fact\ (count\ A
y))
   by (simp add: prod.distrib)
  also have (\prod y \in set\_mset (A + \{\#x\#\}). fact (count A y)) = (\prod y \in set\_mset A.
fact (count A y)
   by (intro prod.mono_neutral_right) (auto simp: not_in_iff)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
private lemma multiset_prod_fact_remove:
 x \in \# A \Longrightarrow (\prod y \in set\_mset A. fact (count A y)) =
                 count\ A\ x*(\prod y\in set\_mset\ (A-\{\#x\#\}).\ fact\ (count\ (A-\{\#x\#\})
y))
 using multiset\_prod\_fact\_insert[of A - \{\#x\#\} \ x] by simp
```

```
lemma card_permutations_of_multiset_aux:
 card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ A) * (\prod x \in set\_mset\ A.\ fact\ (count\ A\ x)) = fact
(size A)
proof (induction A rule: multiset_remove_induct)
 case (remove A)
 have card (permutations\_of\_multiset A) =
         card\ (\bigcup x \in set\_mset\ A.\ (\#)\ x\ `permutations\_of\_multiset\ (A-\{\#x\#\}))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ permutations\_of\_multiset\_nonempty\ remove.hyps)
 also have ... = (\sum x \in set\_mset A. card (permutations\_of\_multiset (A - {\#x\#})))
   by (subst card_UN_disjoint) (auto simp: card_image)
 also have ... * (\prod x \in set\_mset \ A. \ fact \ (count \ A \ x)) =
             (\sum x \in set\_mset\ A.\ card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ (A-\{\#x\#\})) *
               (\prod y \in set\_mset \ A. \ fact \ (count \ A \ y)))
   by (subst sum_distrib_right) simp_all
  also have ... = (\sum x \in set\_mset \ A. \ count \ A \ x * fact \ (size \ A - 1))
  proof (intro sum.cong refl)
   fix x assume x: x \in \# A
   have card (permutations_of_multiset (A - \{\#x\#\})) * (\prod y \in set\_mset A. fact
(count\ A\ y)) =
          count\ A\ x*(card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ (A-\{\#x\#\}))*
            (\prod y \in set\_mset \ (A - \{\#x\#\}). \ fact \ (count \ (A - \{\#x\#\}) \ y))) \ (is \ ?lhs)
     by (subst\ multiset\_prod\_fact\_remove[OF\ x])\ simp\_all
   also note remove.IH[OF x]
  also from x have size (A - {\#x\#}) = size A - 1 by (simp \ add: size\_Diff\_submset)
   finally show ?lhs = count \ A \ x * fact \ (size \ A - 1).
  also have (\sum x \in set\_mset \ A. \ count \ A \ x * fact \ (size \ A - 1)) =
              size \ A * fact \ (size \ A - 1)
   by (simp add: sum_distrib_right size_multiset_overloaded_eq)
  also from remove.hyps have ... = fact (size A)
   by (cases size A) auto
 finally show ?case.
qed simp_all
theorem card permutations of multiset:
  card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ A) = fact\ (size\ A)\ div\ (\prod x \in set\_mset\ A.\ fact
(count\ A\ x))
  (\prod x \in set\_mset\ A.\ fact\ (count\ A\ x) :: nat)\ dvd\ fact\ (size\ A)
 by (simp\ all\ flip:\ card\ permutations\ of\ multiset\ aux[of\ A])
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{card\_permutations\_of\_multiset\_insert\_aux}:
  card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ (A + \{\#x\#\})) * (count\ A\ x + 1) =
     (size\ A+1)*card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ A)
proof -
  note card\_permutations\_of\_multiset\_aux[of A + {\#x\#}]
 also have fact (size (A + \{\#x\#\})) = (size A + 1) * fact (size A) by simp
 also note multiset_prod_fact_insert[of A x]
 also note card_permutations_of_multiset_aux[of A, symmetric]
```

```
finally have card (permutations_of_multiset (A + \{\#x\#\})) * (count A \times x + 1)
                 (\prod y \in set\_mset \ A. \ fact \ (count \ A \ y)) =
             (size\ A+1)*card\ (permutations\ of\ multiset\ A)*
                 (\prod x \in set \ mset \ A. \ fact \ (count \ A \ x)) by (simp \ only: \ mult \ ac)
 thus ?thesis by (subst (asm) mult_right_cancel) simp_all
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{card\_permutations\_of\_multiset\_remove\_aux}:
 assumes x \in \# A
 shows card (permutations\_of\_multiset A) * count A x =
           size \ A * card \ (permutations\_of\_multiset \ (A - \{\#x\#\}))
proof -
 from assms have A: A - \{\#x\#\} + \{\#x\#\} = A by simp
 from assms have B: size A = size (A - \{\#x\#\}) + 1
   by (subst A [symmetric], subst size union) simp
 show ?thesis
   using card\_permutations\_of\_multiset\_insert\_aux[of\ A\ -\ \{\#x\#\}\ x,\ unfolded
A assms
   by (simp \ add: B)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma real_card_permutations_of_multiset_remove:
 assumes x \in \# A
 shows real (card (permutations_of_multiset (A - \{\#x\#\}))) =
           real\ (card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ A)*count\ A\ x)\ /\ real\ (size\ A)
 using assms by (subst card permutations of multiset remove aux[OF assms])
lemma real_card_permutations_of_multiset_remove':
 assumes x \in \# A
 shows real (card (permutations\_of\_multiset A)) =
            real\ (size\ A\ *\ card\ (permutations\_of\_multiset\ (A\ -\ \{\#x\#\})))\ /\ real
(count\ A\ x)
 using assms by (subst card_permutations_of_multiset_remove_aux[OF assms,
symmetric]) simp
end
5.3
       Permutations of a set
definition permutations\_of\_set :: 'a set <math>\Rightarrow 'a list set where
 permutations\_of\_set\ A = \{xs.\ set\ xs = A \land distinct\ xs\}
lemma permutations of set altdef:
 finite\ A \Longrightarrow permutations\_of\_set\ A = permutations\_of\_multiset\ (mset\_set\ A)
 \mathbf{by} \ (auto\ simp\ add:\ permutations\_of\_set\_def\ permutations\_of\_multiset\_def\ mset\_set\_set
       in multiset in set [symmetric] mset eq mset set imp distinct)
```

```
lemma permutations_of_setI [intro]:
 assumes set xs = A distinct xs
 shows xs \in permutations\_of\_set A
 using assms unfolding permutations of set_def by simp
lemma permutations_of_setD:
 assumes xs \in permutations\_of\_set A
 shows set xs = A distinct xs
 using assms unfolding permutations_of_set_def by simp_all
lemma permutations_of_set_lists: permutations_of_set A \subseteq lists A
 unfolding permutations_of_set_def by auto
lemma\ permutations\_of\_set\_empty\ [simp]:\ permutations\_of\_set\ \{\} = \{[]\}
 by (auto simp: permutations of set def)
lemma UN_set_permutations_of_set [simp]:
 finite A \Longrightarrow (\bigcup xs \in permutations\_of\_set A. set xs) = A
 using finite distinct list by (auto simp: permutations of set_def)
lemma permutations_of_set_infinite:
 \neg finite \ A \Longrightarrow permutations\_of\_set \ A = \{\}
 by (auto simp: permutations_of_set_def)
lemma permutations_of_set_nonempty:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow permutations\_of\_set A = \{\}
              (\bigcup x \in A. (\lambda xs. x \# xs) 'permutations\_of\_set (A - \{x\}))
 by (cases finite A)
   (simp_all add: permutations_of_multiset_nonempty mset_set_empty_iff mset_set_Diff
                permutations_of_set_altdef permutations_of_set_infinite)
\mathbf{lemma} \ permutations\_of\_set\_singleton \ [simp]: \ permutations\_of\_set \ \{x\} = \{[x]\}
 by (subst permutations_of_set_nonempty) auto
lemma permutations_of_set_doubleton:
 x \neq y \Longrightarrow permutations\_of\_set \{x,y\} = \{[x,y], [y,x]\}
 by (subst permutations of set nonempty)
    (simp\_all\ add:\ insert\_Diff\_if\ insert\_commute)
lemma rev_permutations_of_set [simp]:
 rev 'permutations of set A = permutations of set A
 by (cases finite A) (simp_all add: permutations_of_set_altdef permutations_of_set_infinite)
\mathbf{lemma}\ length\_finite\_permutations\_of\_set:
 xs \in permutations\_of\_set A \Longrightarrow length xs = card A
 by (auto simp: permutations_of_set_def distinct_card)
```

```
lemma finite_permutations_of_set [simp]: finite (permutations_of_set A)
 by (cases finite A) (simp_all add: permutations_of_set_infinite permutations_of_set_altdef)
lemma permutations of set empty iff [simp]:
 permutations of set A = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \neg finite A
 unfolding permutations_of_set_def using finite_distinct_list[of A] by auto
lemma card permutations of set [simp]:
 finite A \Longrightarrow card (permutations\_of\_set A) = fact (card A)
 by (simp add: permutations_of_set_altdef card_permutations_of_multiset del:
One\_nat\_def)
lemma permutations_of_set_image_inj:
 assumes inj: inj_on f A
 shows permutations\_of\_set (f `A) = map f `permutations\_of\_set A
 by (cases finite A)
    (simp\_all\ add:\ permutations\_of\_set\_infinite\ permutations\_of\_set\_altdef
                      permutations_of_multiset_image mset_set_image_inj inj
finite_image_iff)
lemma permutations_of_set_image_permutes:
 \sigma permutes A \Longrightarrow map \ \sigma 'permutations_of_set A = permutations\_of\_set \ A
 by (subst permutations_of_set_image_inj [symmetric])
    (simp_all add: permutes_inj_on permutes_image)
5.4
       Code generation
First, we give code an implementation for permutations of lists.
declare length_remove1 [termination_simp]
fun permutations of list impl where
 permutations of list impl xs = (if xs = [] then [[]] else
   List.bind\ (remdups\ xs)\ (\lambda x.\ map\ ((\#)\ x)\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\ (remove1)
(x \ xs))))
fun permutations_of_list_impl_aux where
 permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux\ acc\ xs = (if\ xs = []\ then\ [acc]\ else
   List.bind (remdups xs) (\lambda x. permutations_of_list_impl_aux (x\#acc) (remove1
(x xs))
declare permutations_of_list_impl_aux.simps [simp del]
declare permutations_of_list_impl.simps [simp del]
lemma permutations_of_list_impl_Nil [simp]:
 permutations\_of\_list\_impl [] = [[]]
 by (simp add: permutations_of_list_impl.simps)
lemma permutations_of_list_impl_nonempty:
 xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow permutations of list impl xs =
```

```
List.bind (remdups xs) (\lambda x. map ((\#) x) (permutations_of_list_impl (remove1)
(x \ xs))
 by (subst permutations_of_list_impl.simps) simp_all
lemma set permutations of list impl:
 set\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\ xs) = permutations\_of\_multiset\ (mset\ xs)
 by (induction xs rule: permutations of list impl.induct)
    (subst permutations of list impl.simps,
    simp_all add: permutations_of_multiset_nonempty_set_list_bind)
lemma distinct_permutations_of_list_impl:
 distinct (permutations_of_list_impl xs)
 by (induction xs rule: permutations_of_list_impl.induct,
    subst permutations_of_list_impl.simps)
   (auto intro!: distinct_list_bind simp: distinct_map o_def disjoint_family_on_def)
lemma permutations_of_list_impl_aux_correct':
 permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux\ acc\ xs =
    map\ (\lambda xs.\ rev\ xs\ @\ acc)\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\ xs)
 by (induction acc xs rule: permutations of list impl aux.induct,
   subst permutations_of_list_impl_aux.simps, subst permutations_of_list_impl.simps)
    (auto simp: map_list_bind intro!: list_bind_cong)
lemma permutations_of_list_impl_aux_correct:
 permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux \mid xs = map\ rev\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\ xs)
 by (simp add: permutations_of_list_impl_aux_correct')
lemma distinct permutations of list impl aux:
 distinct\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux\ acc\ xs)
 by (simp add: permutations_of_list_impl_aux_correct' distinct_map
      distinct_permutations_of_list_impl inj_on_def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ set\_permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux :
  set\ (permutations\_of\_list\_impl\_aux\ []\ xs) = permutations\_of\_multiset\ (mset
 by (simp add: permutations of list impl aux correct set permutations of list impl)
declare set_permutations_of_list_impl_aux [symmetric, code]
value [code] permutations_of_multiset {#1,2,3,4::int#}
Now we turn to permutations of sets. We define an auxiliary version with
an accumulator to avoid having to map over the results.
function permutations of set aux where
 permutations\_of\_set\_aux\ acc\ A =
    (if \negfinite A then {} else if A = {} then {acc} else
      (\bigcup x \in A. permutations\_of\_set\_aux (x\#acc) (A - \{x\})))
by auto
termination by (relation Wellfounded measure (card \circ snd)) (simp\_all\ add:\ card\_gt\_o\_iff)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ permutations\_of\_set\_aux\_altdef\colon
 permutations\_of\_set\_aux\ acc\ A = (\lambda xs.\ rev\ xs\ @\ acc) ' permutations\_of\_set\ A
proof (cases finite A)
 assume finite A
 thus ?thesis
 proof (induction A arbitrary: acc rule: finite_psubset_induct)
   case (psubset A acc)
   show ?case
   proof (cases\ A = \{\})
     {f case} False
     note [simp \ del] = permutations\_of\_set\_aux.simps
     from psubset.hyps False
      \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{permutations\_of\_set\_aux}\ \mathit{acc}\ A =
             (\bigcup y \in A. \ permutations\_of\_set\_aux \ (y \# acc) \ (A - \{y\}))
      by (subst permutations of set aux.simps) simp all
      also have ... = (\bigcup y \in A. \ (\lambda xs. \ rev \ xs \ @ \ acc) ' (\lambda xs. \ y \ \# \ xs) ' permuta-
tions\_of\_set (A - \{y\}))
      apply (rule arg_cong [of _ _ Union], rule image_cong)
       apply (simp_all add: image_image)
      apply (subst psubset)
       apply auto
       done
     also from False have ... = (\lambda xs. \ rev \ xs \ @ \ acc) ' permutations_of_set A
      by (subst (2) permutations_of_set_nonempty) (simp_all add: image_UN)
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed simp_all
 ged
qed (simp_all add: permutations_of_set_infinite)
declare permutations_of_set_aux.simps [simp del]
lemma permutations_of_set_aux_correct:
 permutations\_of\_set\_aux [] A = permutations\_of\_set A
 by (simp add: permutations_of_set_aux_altdef)
In another refinement step, we define a version on lists.
declare length_remove1 [termination_simp]
fun permutations_of_set_aux_list where
 permutations\_of\_set\_aux\_list\ acc\ xs =
    (if xs = [] then [acc] else
        List.bind xs (\lambda x. permutations_of_set_aux_list (x\#acc) (List.remove1 x
xs)))
definition permutations of set list where
 permutations\_of\_set\_list\ xs = permutations\_of\_set\_aux\_list\ []\ xs
declare permutations of set aux list.simps [simp del]
```

```
lemma permutations_of_set_aux_list_refine:
   assumes distinct xs
   shows   set (permutations_of_set_aux_list acc xs) = permutations_of_set_aux
   acc (set xs)
   using assms
   by (induction acc xs rule: permutations_of_set_aux_list.induct)
      (subst permutations_of_set_aux_list.simps,
        subst permutations_of_set_aux.simps,
        simp_all add: set_list_bind)
```

The permutation lists contain no duplicates if the inputs contain no duplicates. Therefore, these functions can easily be used when working with a representation of sets by distinct lists. The same approach should generalise to any kind of set implementation that supports a monadic bind operation, and since the results are disjoint, merging should be cheap.

```
lemma distinct permutations of set aux list:
 distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ (permutations \ of \ set \ aux \ list \ acc \ xs)
 by (induction acc xs rule: permutations of set aux list.induct)
    (subst permutations of set aux list.simps,
     auto intro!: distinct_list_bind simp: disjoint_family_on_def
       permutations_of_set_aux_list_refine permutations_of_set_aux_altdef)
lemma distinct permutations of set list:
   distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ (permutations\_of\_set\_list \ xs)
 by (simp add: permutations_of_set_list_def distinct_permutations_of_set_aux_list)
lemma permutations of list:
   permutations\_of\_set\ (set\ xs) = set\ (permutations\_of\_set\_list\ (remdups\ xs))
 by (simp add: permutations_of_set_aux_correct [symmetric]
      permutations_of_set_aux_list_refine permutations_of_set_list_def)
lemma permutations_of_list_code [code]:
 permutations\_of\_set\ (set\ xs) = set\ (permutations\_of\_set\_list\ (remdups\ xs))
 permutations\_of\_set\ (List.coset\ xs) =
    Code.abort (STR "Permutation of set complement not supported")
     (\lambda \_. permutations\_of\_set (List.coset xs))
 by (simp_all add: permutations_of_list)
value [code] permutations_of_set (set "abcd")
end
theory Cycles
 imports
   HOL-Library.FuncSet
Permutations
```

begin

# 6 Cycles

#### 6.1 Definitions

```
abbreviation cycle :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool where cycle \ cs \equiv distinct \ cs fun cycle\_of\_list :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a where  cycle\_of\_list \ (i \ \# \ j \ \# \ cs) = transpose \ i \ j \circ cycle\_of\_list \ (j \ \# \ cs)  | \ cycle\_of\_list \ cs = id
```

### 6.2 Basic Properties

We start proving that the function derived from a cycle rotates its support list.

```
lemma id_outside_supp:
 assumes x \notin set \ cs \ shows \ (cycle\_of\_list \ cs) \ x = x
 using assms by (induct cs rule: cycle_of_list.induct) (simp_all)
lemma permutation of cycle: permutation (cycle of list cs)
proof (induct cs rule: cycle_of_list.induct)
 case 1 thus ?case
  using permutation_compose[OF permutation_swap_id] unfolding comp_apply
by simp
qed simp_all
lemma cycle_permutes: (cycle_of_list cs) permutes (set cs)
  using permutation_bijective[OF permutation_of_cycle] id_outside_supp[of_
cs
 by (simp add: bij_iff permutes_def)
theorem cyclic rotation:
 assumes cycle cs shows map ((cycle\_of\_list cs) \cap n) cs = rotate n cs
proof -
 { have map\ (cycle\_of\_list\ cs)\ cs = rotate1\ cs\ using\ assms(1)}
   proof (induction cs rule: cycle_of_list.induct)
     case (1 i j cs)
     then have \langle i \notin set \ cs \rangle \ \langle j \notin set \ cs \rangle
     then have \langle map \ (Transposition.transpose \ i \ j) \ cs = cs \rangle
      by (auto intro: map_idI simp add: transpose_eq_iff)
     show ?case
     proof (cases)
      assume cs = Nil thus ?thesis by simp
      assume cs \neq Nil hence ge\_two: length (j \# cs) \geq 2
        using not_less by auto
      have map (cycle\_of\_list\ (i \# j \# cs))\ (i \# j \# cs) =
```

```
map (transpose i j) (map (cycle_of_list (j \# cs)) (i \# j \# cs)) by
simp
       also have \dots = map \ (transpose \ i \ j) \ (i \ \# \ (rotate1 \ (j \ \# \ cs)))
        by (metis\ 1.IH\ 1.prems\ distinct.simps(2)\ id\ outside\ supp\ list.simps(9))
       also have ... = map (transpose i j) (i # (cs @ [j])) by simp
       also have ... = j \# (map (transpose \ i \ j) \ cs) @ [i] by simp
       also have \dots = j \# cs @ [i]
        using \langle map \mid Transposition.transpose \mid j \rangle cs = cs \rangle by simp
       also have ... = rotate1 (i \# j \# cs) by simp
       finally show ?thesis.
     qed
   qed simp_all }
 note \ cyclic\_rotation' = this
 show ?thesis
  using cyclic rotation' by (induct n) (auto, metis map map rotate1 rotate swap
rotate map)
qed
corollary cycle_is_surj:
 assumes cycle \ cs \ \mathbf{shows} \ (cycle\_of\_list \ cs) \ `(set \ cs) = (set \ cs)
 using cyclic_rotation[OF assms, of Suc 0] by (simp add: image_set)
corollary cycle_is_id_root:
 assumes cycle cs shows (cycle\_of\_list cs) \curvearrowright (length cs) = id
proof -
 have map ((cycle\_of\_list cs) \frown (length cs)) cs = cs
   unfolding cyclic rotation[OF assms] by simp
 hence ((cycle\_of\_list\ cs) \curvearrowright (length\ cs))\ i = i\ \mathbf{if}\ i \in set\ cs\ \mathbf{for}\ i
   using that map_eq_conv by fastforce
 moreover have ((cycle\_of\_list\ cs) \ ^\frown n)\ i=i\ \text{if}\ i\notin set\ cs\ \text{for}\ i\ n
   using id_outside_supp[OF that] by (induct n) (simp_all)
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by fastforce
qed
corollary cycle_of_list_rotate_independent:
 assumes cycle cs shows (cycle\_of\_list\ cs) = (cycle\_of\_list\ (rotate\ n\ cs))
proof -
  { fix cs :: 'a list assume cs: cycle cs
   have (cycle\_of\_list\ cs) = (cycle\_of\_list\ (rotate1\ cs))
   proof -
     from cs have rotate1_cs: cycle (rotate1 cs) by simp
     hence map\ (cycle\_of\_list\ (rotate1\ cs))\ (rotate1\ cs) = (rotate\ 2\ cs)
     using cyclic_rotation[OF rotate1_cs, of 1] by (simp add: numeral_2_eq_2)
     moreover have map (cycle\_of\_list cs) (rotate1 cs) = (rotate 2 cs)
       using cyclic rotation[OF cs]
      by (metis One_nat_def Suc_1 funpow.simps(2) id_apply map_map rotate0
rotate\_Suc)
```

```
ultimately have (cycle\_of\_list\ cs)\ i = (cycle\_of\_list\ (rotate1\ cs))\ i\ \mathbf{if}\ i \in
set cs  for i
            using that map_eq_conv unfolding sym[OF set_rotate1[of cs]] by fastforce
            moreover have (cycle_of_list cs) i = (cycle_of_list_orange for list_orange for list_orange
set cs for i
              using that by (simp add: id_outside_supp)
           ultimately show (cycle\_of\_list\ cs) = (cycle\_of\_list\ (rotate1\ cs))
              by blast
       qed } note rotate1_lemma = this
   show ?thesis
         using rotate1\_lemma[of\ rotate\ n\ cs] by (induct\ n)\ (auto,\ metis\ assms\ dis-
tinct_rotate rotate1_lemma)
qed
6.3
               Conjugation of cycles
lemma conjugation_of_cycle:
   assumes cycle cs and bij p
   shows p \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ cs) \circ (inv\ p) = cycle\_of\_list\ (map\ p\ cs)
    using assms
proof (induction cs rule: cycle_of_list.induct)
    case (1 i j cs)
   have p \circ cycle\_of\_list (i \# j \# cs) \circ inv p =
            (p \circ (transpose \ i \ j) \circ inv \ p) \circ (p \circ cycle\_of\_list \ (j \# cs) \circ inv \ p)
       by (simp add: assms(2) bij_is_inj fun.map_comp)
   also have ... = (transpose (p i) (p j)) \circ (p \circ cycle\_of\_list (j \# cs) \circ inv p)
         using 1.prems(2) by (simp add: bij_inv_eq_iff transpose_apply_commute
fun eq iff bij betw inv into left)
   finally have p \circ cycle\_of\_list (i \# j \# cs) \circ inv p =
                          (transpose\ (p\ i)\ (p\ j))\circ (cycle\_of\_list\ (map\ p\ (j\ \#\ cs)))
       using 1.IH 1.prems(1) assms(2) by fastforce
    thus ?case by (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
next
    case 2_1 thus ?case
       by (metis bij_is_surj comp_id cycle_of_list.simps(2) list.simps(8) surj_iff)
   case 2_2 thus ?case
    by (metis\ bij\ is\ surj\ comp\ id\ cycle\ of\ list.simps(3)\ list.simps(8)\ list.simps(9)
surj\_iff)
qed
               When Cycles Commute
6.4
lemma cycles_commute:
   assumes cycle p cycle q and set p \cap set q = \{\}
   \mathbf{shows}\ (cycle\_of\_list\ p) \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ q) = (cycle\_of\_list\ q) \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ q)
proof
```

```
{ fix p :: 'a \text{ list and } q :: 'a \text{ list and } i :: 'a
    assume A: cycle p cycle q set p \cap set q = \{\} i \in set p i \notin set q
    have ((cycle\_of\_list\ p) \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ q))\ i =
          ((cycle \ of \ list \ q) \circ (cycle \ of \ list \ p)) \ i
    proof -
      have ((cycle\_of\_list\ p) \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ q))\ i = (cycle\_of\_list\ p)\ i
        using id\_outside\_supp[OF\ A(5)] by simp
      also have ... = ((cycle\_of\_list\ q) \circ (cycle\_of\_list\ p))\ i
           using id\_outside\_supp[of\ (cycle\_of\_list\ p)\ i]\ cycle\_is\_surj[OF\ A(1)]
A(3,4) by fastforce
     finally show ?thesis.
    qed \} note aui\_lemma = this
 fix i consider i \in set \ p \ i \notin set \ q \mid i \notin set \ p \ i \in set \ q \mid i \notin set \ p \ i \notin set \ q
    using \langle set \ p \cap set \ q = \{\} \rangle by blast
 \mathbf{thus}\;((\mathit{cycle\_of\_list}\;p)\circ(\mathit{cycle\_of\_list}\;q))\;i=((\mathit{cycle\_of\_list}\;q)\circ(\mathit{cycle\_of\_list}\;q)
p)) i
  proof cases
    case 1 thus ?thesis
      using aui_lemma[OF assms] by simp
    case 2 thus ?thesis
      using aui\_lemma[OF\ assms(2,1)]\ assms(3) by (simp\ add:\ ac\_simps)
  next
    case 3 thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: id_outside_supp)
 qed
qed
```

#### 6.5 Cycles from Permutations

### 6.5.1 Exponentiation of permutations

Some important properties of permutations before defining how to extract its cycles.

```
lemma permutation_funpow:
    assumes permutation p shows permutation (p ^ n)
    using assms by (induct n) (simp_all add: permutation_compose)

lemma permutes_funpow:
    assumes p permutes S shows (p ^ n) permutes S
    using assms by (induct n) (simp add: permutes_def, metis funpow_Suc_right permutes_compose)

lemma funpow_diff:
    assumes inj p and i \le j (p ^ i) a = (p ^ j) a shows (p ^ (j - i)) a = a
    proof -
    have (p ^ i) ((p ^ (j - i)) a) = (p ^ i) a
    using assms(2-3) by (metis (no_types) add_diff_inverse_nat funpow_add)
```

```
not\_le\ o\_def)
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding inj\_eq[OF\ inj\_fn[OF\ assms(1)],\ of\ i].
lemma permutation is nilpotent:
 assumes permutation p obtains n where (p \cap n) = id and n > 0
 obtain S where finite S and p permutes S
   using assms unfolding permutation_permutes by blast
 hence \exists n. (p \curvearrowright n) = id \land n > 0
 proof (induct S arbitrary: p)
   case empty thus ?case
     using id_funpow[of 1] unfolding permutes_empty by blast
 next
   case (insert s S)
   have (\lambda n. (p \stackrel{\frown}{n}) s) \cdot UNIV \subseteq (insert s S)
     using permutes_in_image[OF permutes_funpow[OF insert(4)], of _ s] by
auto
   hence \neg inj\_on (\lambda n. (p \frown n) s) UNIV
   using insert(1) infinite_iff_countable_subset unfolding sym[OF finite_insert,
of S[s] by metis
   then obtain i j where ij: i < j (p \stackrel{\frown}{} i) s = (p \stackrel{\frown}{} j) s
     unfolding inj_on_def by (metis nat_neq_iff)
   hence (p \frown (j-i)) s=s
      using funpow_diff[OF permutes_inj[OF insert(4)]] le_eq_less_or_eq by
blast
   hence p \curvearrowright (j-i) permutes S
    using permutes\_superset[OF\ permutes\_funpow[OF\ insert(4),\ of\ j-i],\ of\ S]
   then obtain n where n: ((p \frown (j-i)) \frown n) = id n > 0
     using insert(3) by blast
   thus ?case
    using ij(1) nat_0_less_mult_iff zero_less_diff unfolding funpow_mult by
metis
 qed
 thus thesis
   using that by blast
qed
lemma permutation_is_nilpotent':
 assumes permutation p obtains n where (p \cap n) = id and n > m
proof -
 obtain n where (p \cap n) = id and n > 0
   using permutation_is_nilpotent[OF assms] by blast
 then obtain k where n * k > m
   by (metis dividend_less_times_div mult_Suc_right)
 from \langle (p \curvearrowright n) = id \rangle have p \curvearrowright (n * k) = id
   by (induct k) (simp, metis funpow_mult id_funpow)
```

```
using that by blast
qed
        Extraction of cycles from permutations
6.5.2
definition least power :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat
 where least_power f x = (LEAST \ n. \ (f \cap n) \ x = x \land n > 0)
abbreviation support :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list
 where support p \ x \equiv map \ (\lambda i. \ (p \frown i) \ x) \ [\theta .. < (least\_power \ p \ x)]
lemma least_powerI:
 assumes (f \cap n) x = x and n > 0
 shows (f \cap (least\_power f x)) x = x and least\_power f x > 0
 using assms unfolding least_power_def by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) LeastI)+
lemma least_power_le:
 assumes (f \cap n) x = x and n > 0 shows least\_power f x \le n
 using assms unfolding least_power_def by (simp add: Least_le)
lemma least_power_of_permutation:
 assumes permutation p shows (p \cap (least\_power p a)) a = a and least\_power
p \ a > 0
 using permutation_is_nilpotent[OF assms] least_powerI by (metis id_apply)+
lemma least_power_gt_one:
 assumes permutation p and p a \neq a shows least_power p a > Suc \ \theta
 using least power of permutation [OF \ assms(1)] \ assms(2)
 by (metis Suc_lessI funpow.simps(2) funpow_simps_right(1) o_id)
lemma least_power_minimal:
 assumes (p \cap n) a = a shows (least\_power p \ a) dvd \ n
proof (cases \ n = 0, simp)
```

with  $\langle n * k > m \rangle$  show thesis

 $let ?lpow = least\_power p$ 

assume  $n \neq 0$  then have n > 0 by simp

**by** (induct k) (simp\_all add: funpow\_add)

hence  $(p \cap (?lpow a)) a = a$  and least power p a > 0

hence  $aux\_lemma$ :  $(p \curvearrowright ((?lpow a) * k)) a = a$  for k :: nat

```
have (p \ \widehat{\ } (n \ mod \ ?lpow \ a)) \ ((p \ \widehat{\ } (n - (n \ mod \ ?lpow \ a))) \ a) = (p \ \widehat{\ } n) \ a
by (metis \ add\_diff\_inverse\_nat \ funpow\_add \ mod\_less\_eq\_dividend \ not\_less
o\_apply)
with \langle (p \ \widehat{\ } n) \ a = a \rangle have (p \ \widehat{\ } (n \ mod \ ?lpow \ a)) \ a = a
using aux\_lemma by (simp \ add: minus\_mod\_eq\_mult\_div)
hence ?lpow \ a \le n \ mod \ ?lpow \ a \ if \ n \ mod \ ?lpow \ a > 0
```

using assms unfolding least\_power\_def by (metis (mono\_tags, lifting) LeastI)+

```
using least_power_le[OF _ that, of p a] by simp
  with \langle least\_power \ p \ a > 0 \rangle show (least\_power \ p \ a) \ dvd \ n
   using mod_less_divisor not_le by blast
qed
lemma least_power_dvd:
 assumes permutation p shows (least_power p a) dvd n \longleftrightarrow (p ^n) a = a
 show (p \cap n) a = a \Longrightarrow (least\_power p a) dvd n
   using least_power_minimal[of _ p] by simp
\mathbf{next}
 have (p \cap ((least\_power \ p \ a) * k)) \ a = a \ \mathbf{for} \ k :: nat
   using least_power_of_permutation(1)[OF assms(1)] by (induct k) (simp_all
add: funpow_add)
 thus (least_power p a) dvd n \Longrightarrow (p \curvearrowright n) a = a by blast
qed
theorem cycle_of_permutation:
 assumes permutation p shows cycle (support p a)
proof -
 have (least_power p a) dvd (j - i) if i \le j j < least_power p a and (p \cap i) a
= (p \stackrel{\frown}{} j) a  for i j
   using funpow_diff[OF bij_is_inj that(1,3)] assms by (simp add: permutation
least_power_dvd)
 moreover have i = j if i \le j j < least\_power p a and (least\_power p a) dvd
(j-i) for i j
   using that le eq less or eq nat dvd not less by auto
  ultimately have inj\_on\ (\lambda i.\ (p \frown i)\ a)\ \{..< (least\_power\ p\ a)\}
   unfolding inj_on_def by (metis le_cases lessThan_iff)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: atLeast_upt distinct_map)
qed
```

#### 6.6 Decomposition on Cycles

We show that a permutation can be decomposed on cycles

#### 6.6.1 Preliminaries

```
lemma support\_set:
   assumes permutation\ p shows set\ (support\ p\ a) = range\ (\lambda i.\ (p\ ^{\ }i)\ a)
   proof
   show set\ (support\ p\ a) \subseteq range\ (\lambda i.\ (p\ ^{\ }i)\ a)
   by auto
   next
   show range\ (\lambda i.\ (p\ ^{\ }i)\ a) \subseteq set\ (support\ p\ a)
   proof (auto)
   fix i
```

```
have (p \stackrel{\frown}{} i) \ a = (p \stackrel{\frown}{} (i \ mod \ (least\_power \ p \ a))) \ ((p \stackrel{\frown}{} (i - (i \ mod \ a))))
(least\_power p \ a)))) \ a)
      by (metis add_diff_inverse_nat funpow_add mod_less_eq_dividend not_le
    also have ... = (p \cap (i \mod (least\_power p \ a))) \ a
      using least_power_dvd[OF assms] by (metis dvd_minus_mod)
    also have \dots \in (\lambda i. \ (p \ \widehat{\ } i) \ a) \ (o... < (least\_power \ p \ a)) using least\_power\_of\_permutation(2)[OF \ assms] by fastforce
     \textbf{finally show} \ (p \ ^{\frown} i) \ a \in (\lambda i. \ (p \ ^{\frown} i) \ a) \ `\{\theta..< (least\_power \ p \ a)\} \ . 
  qed
qed
lemma disjoint_support:
 assumes permutation p shows disjoint (range (\lambda a. set (support p a))) (is disjoint
?A)
proof (rule disjointI)
  \{ \text{ fix } i j a b \}
    assume set (support p a) \cap set (support p b) \neq {} have set (support p a) \subseteq
set (support p b)
      unfolding support_set[OF assms]
    proof (auto)
      from \langle set (support \ p \ a) \cap set (support \ p \ b) \neq \{\} \rangle
      obtain i j where ij: (p \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} i) \ a = (p \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} j) \ b
        by auto
      \mathbf{fix} \ k
      have (p \curvearrowright k) a = (p \curvearrowright (k + (least\_power p a) * l)) a for l
        using least power dvd[OF assms] by (induct l) (simp, metis dvd_triv_left
funpow add o def
      then obtain m where m \geq i and (p \stackrel{\frown}{} m) a = (p \stackrel{\frown}{} k) a
        using least\_power\_of\_permutation(2)[OF\ assms]
            by (metis dividend_less_times_div le_eq_less_or_eq mult_Suc_right
trans\_less\_add2)
      hence (p \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) \ a = (p \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} (m-i)) \ ((p \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} i) \ a)
        by (metis Nat.le_imp_diff_is_add funpow_add o_apply)
      with \langle (p \curvearrowright m) | a = (p \curvearrowright k) | a \rangle have (p \curvearrowright k) | a = (p \curvearrowright ((m-i)+j)) | b
        unfolding ij by (simp add: funpow_add)
      thus (p \curvearrowright k) a \in range (\lambda i. (p \curvearrowright i) b)
        by blast
    qed \} note aux lemma = this
  \mathbf{fix} \ supp\_a \ supp\_b
  assume supp_a \in ?A and supp_b \in ?A
  then obtain a b where a: supp\_a = set (support p a) and b: supp\_b = set
(support p b)
    by auto
  assume supp_a \neq supp_b thus supp_a \cap supp_b = \{\}
    using aux lemma unfolding a b by blast
qed
```

```
lemma disjoint_support':
 assumes permutation p
  shows set (support p a) \cap set (support p b) = {} \longleftrightarrow a \notin set (support p b)
proof -
  have a \in set (support p a)
    using least_power_of_permutation(2)[OF assms] by force
  show ?thesis
  proof
   assume set (support p a) \cap set (support p b) = {}
   with \langle a \in set \ (support \ p \ a) \rangle show a \notin set \ (support \ p \ b)
  next
   assume a \notin set (support \ p \ b) show set (support \ p \ a) \cap set (support \ p \ b) = \{\}
   proof (rule ccontr)
     assume set (support p(a) \cap set (support p(b) \neq \{\}
     hence set (support p a) = set (support p b)
         using disjoint_support[OF assms] by (meson UNIV_I disjoint_def im-
age\_iff)
     with \langle a \in set \ (support \ p \ a) \rangle and \langle a \notin set \ (support \ p \ b) \rangle show False
       by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
  qed
qed
lemma support_coverture:
 assumes permutation p shows \bigcup { set (support p a) | a. p a \neq a } = { a. p a
\neq a
proof
  show \{a. p a \neq a\} \subseteq \bigcup \{set (support p a) \mid a. p a \neq a\}
  proof
   fix a assume a \in \{a. p a \neq a\}
   have a \in set (support p \ a)
     using least_power_of_permutation(2)[OF assms, of a] by force
   with \langle a \in \{ a. p \ a \neq a \} \rangle show a \in \bigcup \{ set (support \ p \ a) \mid a. p \ a \neq a \}
     by blast
  qed
next
  show \bigcup { set (support p a) | a. p a \neq a } \subseteq { a. p a \neq a }
   fix b assume b \in \bigcup \{ set (support p a) \mid a. p a \neq a \}
   then obtain a i where p a \neq a and (p \stackrel{\frown}{\ } i) a = b
   have p \ a = a \ \text{if} \ (p \ \widehat{} \ a) \ a = (p \ \widehat{} \ Suc \ i) \ a
      using funpow_diff[OF bij_is_inj _ that] assms unfolding permutation by
   with \langle p \ a \neq a \rangle and \langle (p \cap a) \ a = b \rangle show b \in \{a. p \ a \neq a\}
     by auto
  qed
```

```
qed
```

```
theorem cycle_restrict:
     assumes permutation p and b \in set (support p a) shows p b = (cycle\_of\_list
(support p a)) b
proof -
     note least power props [simp] = least power of permutation [OF\ assms(1)]
     have map(cycle\_of\_list(support\ p\ a))(support\ p\ a) = rotate1(support\ p\ a)
        \mathbf{using}\ cyclic\_rotation[OF\ cycle\_of\_permutation[OF\ assms(1)],\ of\ 1\ a]\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
    hence map\ (cycle\_of\_list\ (support\ p\ a))\ (support\ p\ a) = tl\ (support\ p\ a)\ @\ [\ a\ ]
          by (simp add: hd_map rotate1_hd_tl)
     also have \dots = map \ p \ (support \ p \ a)
    proof (rule nth_equalityI, auto)
         \mathbf{fix}\ i\ \mathbf{assume}\ i < least\_power\ p\ a\ \mathbf{show}\ (tl\ (support\ p\ a)\ @\ [a])\ !\ i = p\ ((p\ ^\frown)\ \mathsf{fix}\ \mathsf{f
i) a)
          proof (cases)
               assume i: i = least\_power p \ a - 1
               hence (tl (support p a) @ [a]) ! i = a
                         by (metis (no_types, lifting) diff_zero length_map length_tl length_upt
nth_append_length)
               also have \dots = p((p \widehat{i}) a)
                         by (metis (mono_tags, opaque_lifting) least_power_props i Suc_diff_1
funpow\_simps\_right(2) \ funpow\_swap1 \ o\_apply)
               finally show ?thesis.
          next
              assume i \neq least\_power p \ a - 1
               with \langle i < least\_power \ p \ a \rangle have i < least\_power \ p \ a - 1
                   by simp
               hence (tl \ (support \ p \ a) \ @ \ [a]) \ ! \ i = (p \ ^ (Suc \ i)) \ a
                 by (metis One_nat_def Suc_eq_plus1 add.commute length_map length_upt
map_tl nth_append nth_map_upt tl_upt)
               thus ?thesis
                    by simp
          qed
    qed
    finally have map (cycle\_of\_list (support p a)) (support p a) = map p (support p a)
p(a).
     thus ?thesis
          using assms(2) by auto
qed
                          Decomposition
6.6.2
inductive cycle\_decomp :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool
     where
           empty: cycle_decomp {} id
     | comp: [ cycle\_decomp \ I \ p; \ cycle \ cs; \ set \ cs \cap I = \{ \} ] ] \Longrightarrow
                                 cycle\_decomp\ (set\ cs\cup I)\ ((cycle\_of\_list\ cs)\circ p)
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ semide composition:
 assumes p permutes S and finite S
  shows (\lambda y. if y \in (S - set (support p a)) then p y else y) permutes <math>(S - set (support p a)) then p y else y)
(support p a)
\mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{bij}\_\mathit{imp}\_\mathit{permutes})
 show (if b \in (S - set (support p a)) then p \ b \ else \ b) = b \ \textbf{if} \ b \notin S - set (support p a)
p a) for b
   using that by auto
\mathbf{next}
 have is_permutation: permutation p
   using assms unfolding permutation_permutes by blast
 let ?q = \lambda y. if y \in (S - set (support p a)) then p y else y
 show bij\_betw ?q (S - set (support p a)) (S - set (support p a))
 proof (rule bij_betw_imageI)
   show inj_on ?q (S - set (support p a))
     using permutes_inj[OF assms(1)] unfolding inj_on_def by auto
    have aux\_lemma: set (support p s) \subseteq (S - set (support p a)) if s \in S - set
(support p \ a) for s
   proof -
     have (p \stackrel{\frown}{} i) s \in S for i
     using that unfolding permutes in image[OF permutes_funpow[OF assms(1)]]
by simp
       using that disjoint_support'[OF is_permutation, of s a] by auto
   qed
   have (p \curvearrowright 1) s \in set (support p s) for s
     unfolding support_set[OF is_permutation] by blast
   hence p \ s \in set \ (support \ p \ s) for s
     by simp
   hence p '(S - set (support p a)) \subseteq S - set (support p a)
     using aux_lemma by blast
   moreover have (p \cap ((least\_power \ p \ s) - 1)) \ s \in set \ (support \ p \ s) for s
     unfolding support_set[OF is_permutation] by blast
   hence \exists s' \in set \ (support \ p \ s). \ p \ s' = s \ \mathbf{for} \ s
    \mathbf{using}\ least\_power\_of\_permutation[OF\ is\_permutation]\ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ Suc\_diff\_1
funpow.simps(2) o\_apply
   hence S - set (support p a) \subseteq p '(S - set (support p a))
     using aux lemma
     by (clarsimp simp add: image iff) (metis image subset iff)
   ultimately show ?q \cdot (S - set (support p \ a)) = (S - set (support p \ a))
     by auto
 qed
qed
theorem cycle_decomposition:
```

```
assumes p permutes S and finite S shows cycle_decomp S p
 using assms
proof(induct card S arbitrary: S p rule: less_induct)
 case less show ?case
 proof (cases)
   assume S = \{\} thus ?thesis
     using empty less(2) by auto
   have is permutation: permutation p
     using less(2-3) unfolding permutation\_permutes by blast
   assume S \neq \{\} then obtain s where s \in S
     by blast
   define q where q = (\lambda y. if y \in (S - set (support p s)) then p y else y)
   have (cycle\_of\_list\ (support\ p\ s)\circ q)=p
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     consider a \in S - set (support p s) | a \in set (support p s) | a \notin S a \notin set
(support p s)
      by blast
     thus ((cycle\_of\_list\ (support\ p\ s)\circ q))\ a=p\ a
     proof cases
      case 1
      have (p \curvearrowright 1) a \in set (support p a)
        unfolding support_set[OF is_permutation] by blast
      with \langle a \in S - set \ (support \ p \ s) \rangle have p \ a \notin set \ (support \ p \ s)
        using disjoint_support'[OF is_permutation, of a s] by auto
      with \langle a \in S - set \ (support \ p \ s) \rangle show ?thesis
        using id_outside_supp[of _ support p s] unfolding q_def by simp
     next
       case 2 thus ?thesis
        using cycle_restrict[OF is_permutation] unfolding q_def by simp
     next
      case 3 thus ?thesis
          using id_outside_supp[OF 3(2)] less(2) permutes_not_in unfolding
q_def by fastforce
     qed
   qed
   moreover from \langle s \in S \rangle have (p \ \widehat{\ } i) \ s \in S for i
     unfolding \ permutes\_in\_image[OF \ permutes\_funpow[OF \ less(2)]].
   hence set (support p s) \cup (S - set (support p s)) = S
     by auto
   moreover have s \in set (support p \ s)
     using least_power_of_permutation[OF is_permutation] by force
   with \langle s \in S \rangle have card (S - set (support p s)) < card S
     using less(3) by (metis DiffE card_seteq linorder_not_le subsetI)
   hence cycle\_decomp (S - set (support p s)) q
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{using } less(1)[OF\_semidecomposition[OF\,less(2-3)], of \,s] \ less(3) \ \textbf{unfolding} \\ q\_def \ \textbf{by} \ blast \\ \\ \textbf{moreover show} \ ?thesis \\ \textbf{using } comp[OF\ calculation(3)\ cycle\_of\_permutation[OF\ is\_permutation], of \\ s] \\ \textbf{unfolding } calculation(1-2) \ \textbf{by} \ blast \\ \textbf{qed} \\ \textbf{qed} \\ \textbf{end} \\ \end{array}
```

# 7 Permutations as abstract type

```
theory Perm
imports
Transposition
begin
```

This theory introduces basics about permutations, i.e. almost everywhere fix bijections. But it is by no means complete. Grieviously missing are cycles since these would require more elaboration, e.g. the concept of distinct lists equivalent under rotation, which maybe would also deserve its own theory. But see theory  $src/HOL/ex/Perm\_Fragments.thy$  for fragments on that.

#### 7.1 Abstract type of permutations

```
typedef 'a perm = \{f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a. \ bij \ f \land finite \ \{a. \ f \ a \neq a\}\}
  morphisms apply Perm
proof
  show id \in ?perm by simp
qed
setup_lifting type_definition_perm
notation apply (infixl \langle \langle \$ \rangle \rangle 999)
lemma bij_apply [simp]:
  bij (apply f)
  using apply [of f] by simp
lemma perm_eqI:
  assumes \bigwedge a. f \langle \$ \rangle \ a = g \langle \$ \rangle \ a
  shows f = g
  using assms by transfer (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
lemma perm_eq_iff:
  f = g \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. f \langle \$ \rangle \ a = g \langle \$ \rangle \ a)
```

```
by (auto intro: perm_eqI)
lemma apply_inj:
  f \langle \$ \rangle \ a = f \langle \$ \rangle \ b \longleftrightarrow a = b
  by (rule inj_eq) (rule bij_is_inj, simp)
lift_definition affected :: 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a set
  is \lambda f. \{a, f a \neq a\}.
\mathbf{lemma} \ in\_affected:
  a \in affected f \longleftrightarrow f \langle \$ \rangle \ a \neq a
  by transfer simp
lemma finite_affected [simp]:
  finite (affected f)
  by transfer simp
lemma apply_affected [simp]:
  f \ \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in affected \ f \longleftrightarrow a \in affected \ f
proof transfer
  \mathbf{fix}\ f :: \ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'a \ \mathbf{and}\ \ a :: \ 'a
  assume bij f \land finite \{b. f b \neq b\}
  then have bij f by sim p
  interpret bijection f by standard (rule \langle bij f \rangle)
  have f a \in \{a. f a = a\} \longleftrightarrow a \in \{a. f a = a\} (is ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q)
    by auto
  then show f a \in \{a. f a \neq a\} \longleftrightarrow a \in \{a. f a \neq a\}
    by simp
qed
lemma card_affected_not_one:
  card (affected f) \neq 1
proof
  interpret bijection apply f
    by standard (rule bij_apply)
  assume card (affected f) = 1
  then obtain a where *: affected f = \{a\}
    by (rule card_1_singletonE)
  then have **: f \langle \$ \rangle \ a \neq a
    by (simp flip: in_affected)
  with * have f \langle \$ \rangle a \notin affected f
    by simp
  then have f \langle \$ \rangle (f \langle \$ \rangle a) = f \langle \$ \rangle a
    by (simp add: in_affected)
  then have inv\ (apply\ f)\ (f\ \langle\$\rangle\ (f\ \langle\$\rangle\ a)) = inv\ (apply\ f)\ (f\ \langle\$\rangle\ a)
    by simp
  with ** show False by simp
qed
```

#### 7.2 Identity, composition and inversion

```
instantiation Perm.perm :: (type) {monoid_mult, inverse}
begin
lift_definition one_perm :: 'a perm
 is id
 by simp
lemma apply_one [simp]:
  apply 1 = id
 by (fact one_perm.rep_eq)
lemma affected_one [simp]:
  affected 1 = \{\}
 by transfer simp
lemma affected_empty_iff [simp]:
  affected f = \{\} \longleftrightarrow f = 1
  by transfer auto
lift_definition times\_perm :: 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a perm
 is comp
proof
  \mathbf{fix}\ f\ g\ ::\ 'a\ \Rightarrow\ 'a
 assume bij f \wedge finite \{a. f a \neq a\}
   bij g \land finite \{a. g \ a \neq a\}
  then have finite (\{a. f a \neq a\} \cup \{a. g a \neq a\})
   by simp
  moreover have \{a. (f \circ g) \ a \neq a\} \subseteq \{a. f \ a \neq a\} \cup \{a. g \ a \neq a\}
  ultimately show finite \{a. (f \circ g) \ a \neq a\}
   by (auto intro: finite_subset)
qed (auto intro: bij_comp)
lemma apply_times:
  apply \ (f \, * \, g) \, = \, apply \ f \, \circ \, apply \ g
 by (fact times_perm.rep_eq)
{\bf lemma}\ apply\_sequence:
 f \langle \$ \rangle (g \langle \$ \rangle a) = apply (f * g) a
 by (simp add: apply_times)
lemma affected_times [simp]:
  affected (f * g) \subseteq affected f \cup affected g
 by transfer auto
lift_definition inverse\_perm :: 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a perm
 is inv
proof transfer
```

```
fix f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a and a
 assume bij f \land finite \{b. f b \neq b\}
 then have bij f and fin: finite \{b. f b \neq b\}
 interpret bijection f by standard (rule \langle bij f \rangle)
 from fin show bij (inv f) \land finite \{a. inv f a \neq a\}
   by (simp add: bij_inv)
qed
instance
 by standard (transfer; simp add: comp_assoc)+
end
lemma apply_inverse:
 apply (inverse f) = inv (apply f)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fact\ inverse\_perm.rep\_eq})
lemma affected_inverse [simp]:
  affected (inverse f) = affected f
proof transfer
 fix f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a and a
 assume bij f \land finite \{b. f b \neq b\}
 then have bij f by sim p
 interpret bijection f by standard (rule \langle bij f \rangle)
 show \{a. \ inv \ f \ a \neq a\} = \{a. \ f \ a \neq a\}
   by simp
\mathbf{qed}
global_interpretation perm: group times 1::'a perm inverse
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ f :: 'a \ perm
 \mathbf{show} \ 1 * f = f
   by transfer simp
 show inverse f * f = 1
 proof transfer
   fix f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a and a
   assume bij f \land finite \{b. f b \neq b\}
   then have bij f by simp
   interpret bijection f by standard (rule \langle bij f \rangle)
   show inv f \circ f = id
     by simp
 qed
qed
declare perm.inverse_distrib_swap [simp]
\mathbf{lemma}\ perm\_mult\_commute:
 assumes affected f \cap affected g = \{\}
```

```
shows g * f = f * g
proof (rule perm_eqI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ a
  from assms have *: a \in affected f \implies a \notin affected g
    a \in affected \ g \Longrightarrow a \notin affected \ f \ \mathbf{for} \ a
    by auto
  consider a \in affected f \land a \notin affected g
        \land f \ \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in affected f
    \mid a \notin affected \ f \land a \in affected \ g
        \land f \ \langle \$ \rangle \ a \notin affected f
    \mid a \notin affected \ f \land a \notin affected \ g
    using assms by auto
  then show (g*f) \langle\$\rangle a=(f*g) \langle\$\rangle a
  proof cases
    case 1
    with * have f \langle \$ \rangle a \notin affected g
     by auto
    with 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: in_affected apply_times)
  next
    case 2
    with * have g \langle \$ \rangle a \notin affected f
    with 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: in_affected apply_times)
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: in_affected apply_times)
 qed
qed
lemma apply_power:
  apply\ (f\ \widehat{\ }\ n)\ =\ apply\ f\ \widehat{\ }\ \widehat{\ }\ n
 by (induct n) (simp_all add: apply_times)
lemma perm_power_inverse:
  inverse\ f \cap n = inverse\ ((f :: 'a\ perm) \cap n)
proof (induct n)
  case \theta then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc \ n)
  then show ?case
    unfolding power_Suc2 [of f] by simp
qed
        Orbit and order of elements
7.3
definition orbit :: 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
where
  orbit f a = range (\lambda n. (f \hat{\ } n) \langle \$ \rangle a)
```

```
lemma in_orbitI:
 assumes (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = b
 shows b \in orbit f a
 using assms by (auto simp add: orbit_def)
lemma apply_power_self_in_orbit [simp]:
  (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in orbit f a
 by (rule in_orbitI) rule
lemma in_orbit_self [simp]:
  a \in orbit f a
 using apply_power_self_in_orbit [of _ 0] by simp
lemma apply_self_in_orbit [simp]:
 f \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in orbit f a
 using apply_power_self_in_orbit [of _ 1] by simp
lemma orbit_not_empty [simp]:
  orbit f a \neq \{\}
 using in_orbit_self [of a f] by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ not\_in\_affected\_iff\_orbit\_eq\_singleton:
  a \notin affected \ f \longleftrightarrow orbit \ f \ a = \{a\} \ (is \ ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q)
proof
 assume ?P
 then have f \langle \$ \rangle \ a = a
   by (simp add: in_affected)
 then have (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = a \text{ for } n
   by (induct n) (simp_all add: apply_times)
 then show ?Q
   by (auto simp add: orbit_def)
next
 assume ?Q
 then show ?P
    by (auto simp add: orbit_def in_affected dest: range_eq_singletonD [of _ _
1])
qed
definition order :: 'a perm \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat
 order f = card \circ orbit f
lemma orbit_subset_eq_affected:
 assumes a \in affected f
 shows orbit f a \subseteq affected f
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg orbit f a \subseteq affected f
 then obtain b where b \in orbit f a and b \notin affected f
   by auto
```

```
then have b \in range (\lambda n. (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a)
   by (simp add: orbit_def)
 then obtain n where b = (f \hat{n}) \langle \$ \rangle a
   by blast
  with \langle b \notin affected f \rangle
 have (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a \notin affected f
   by simp
  then have f \langle \$ \rangle a \notin affected f
   by (induct n) (simp_all add: apply_times)
 with assms show False
   by simp
qed
lemma finite_orbit [simp]:
 finite (orbit f a)
proof (cases a \in affected f)
 case False then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: not_in_affected_iff_orbit_eq_singleton)
 case True then have orbit f \ a \subseteq affected f
   by (rule orbit_subset_eq_affected)
 then show ?thesis using finite_affected
   by (rule finite_subset)
qed
lemma orbit_1 [simp]:
  orbit 1 a = \{a\}
 by (auto simp add: orbit_def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{order}\_1 \ [\mathit{simp}] :
  order\ 1\ a=1
 unfolding order_def by simp
lemma card_orbit_eq [simp]:
 card (orbit f a) = order f a
 by (simp add: order def)
lemma order_greater_zero [simp]:
  order f a > 0
 by (simp only: card_gt_0_iff order_def comp_def) simp
lemma order_eq_one_iff:
  order f \ a = Suc \ 0 \longleftrightarrow a \notin affected \ f \ (is ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q)
proof
 assume ?P then have card (orbit f a) = 1
   by simp
 then obtain b where orbit f a = \{b\}
   by (rule card_1_singletonE)
 with in_orbit_self [of a f]
```

```
have b = a by simp
  with \langle orbit f a = \{b\} \rangle show ?Q
   by (simp add: not_in_affected_iff_orbit_eq_singleton)
 assume ?Q
 then have orbit f a = \{a\}
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ not\_in\_affected\_iff\_orbit\_eq\_singleton)
  then have card (orbit f a) = 1
   by simp
 then show ?P
   by simp
qed
lemma order_greater_eq_two_iff:
  order f \ a \ge 2 \longleftrightarrow a \in affected f
 using order_eq_one_iff [of f a]
 apply (auto simp add: neq_iff)
 using order_greater_zero [of f a]
 apply simp
 done
{\bf lemma} \ order\_less\_eq\_affected :
 assumes f \neq 1
 shows order f a \leq card (affected f)
proof (cases a \in affected f)
 from assms have affected f \neq \{\}
   by simp
 then obtain B b where affected f = insert \ b \ B
   by blast
  with finite_affected [of f] have card (affected f) \geq 1
   by (simp add: card.insert_remove)
 case False then have order f = 1
   by (simp add: order_eq_one_iff)
  with \langle card \ (affected \ f) \geq 1 \rangle show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case True
 have card (orbit f a) \leq card (affected f)
  by (rule card mono) (simp all add: True orbit subset eq affected card mono)
 then show ?thesis
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
lemma affected_order_greater_eq_two:
 assumes a \in affected f
 shows order f a \geq 2
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg 2 \leq order f a
 then have order f a < 2
```

```
by (simp add: not_le)
  with order\_greater\_zero [of f a] have order f a = 1
    by arith
  with assms show False
    by (simp add: order_eq_one_iff)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma order_witness_unfold:
 assumes n > 0 and (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a = a
 shows order f = card ((\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) ` \{0... < n\})
proof -
  have orbit f = (\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) ` \{0..< n\} (is <math>\_ = ?B)
  proof (rule set_eqI, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ b
    assume b \in orbit f a
    then obtain m where (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a = b
      by (auto simp add: orbit_def)
    then have b = (f \cap (m \mod n + n * (m \operatorname{div} n))) \langle \$ \rangle a
      by simp
    also have ... = (f \cap (m \bmod n)) \langle \$ \rangle ((f \cap (n * (m \operatorname{div} n))) \langle \$ \rangle a)
      by (simp only: power_add apply_times) simp
    also have (f \cap (n * q)) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = a \text{ for } q
      by (induct \ q)
        (simp_all add: power_add apply_times assms)
    finally have b = (f \cap (m \bmod n)) \langle \$ \rangle a.
    moreover from \langle n > \theta \rangle
    have m \mod n < n
      by simp
    ultimately show b \in ?B
      \mathbf{by} auto
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ b
    assume b \in ?B
    then obtain m where (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a = b
      by blast
    then show b \in orbit f a
      by (rule in_orbitI)
  qed
  then have card (orbit f a) = card ?B
    by (simp only:)
  then show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ inj\_on\_apply\_range:
  inj\_on (\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) \{..< order f a\}
proof -
 have inj\_on (\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) {..<n}
   if n \leq order f a for n
```

```
using that proof (induct n)
   case \theta then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc \ n)
    then have prem: n < order f a
      by simp
    with Suc.hyps have hyp: inj on (\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) \{... < n\}
   have (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a \notin (\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) '\{... < n\}
    proof
      assume (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in (\lambda m. \ (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle \ a) \ ` \{.. < n\}
      then obtain m where *: (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a and m < n
        by auto
      interpret bijection apply (f \cap m)
        by standard simp
      from \langle m < n \rangle have n = m + (n - m)
        and nm: 0 < n - m \ n - m \le n
        by arith+
      with * have (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap (m + (n - m))) \langle \$ \rangle a
        by simp
      then have (f \hat{\ } m) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = (f \hat{\ } m) \langle \$ \rangle \ ((f \hat{\ } (n-m)) \langle \$ \rangle \ a)
        by (simp add: power_add apply_times)
      then have (f \cap (n-m)) \langle \$ \rangle a = a
        by simp
      with \langle n - m > \theta \rangle
      have order f = card ((\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) ` \{0..< n-m\})
         by (rule order_witness_unfold)
      also have card ((\lambda m. (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) ` \{ \theta ... < n - m \}) \le card \{ \theta ... < n - m \}
        by (rule card_image_le) simp
      finally have order f \ a \le n - m
        by simp
      with prem show False by simp
    qed
    with hyp show ?case
      by (simp add: lessThan_Suc)
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ orbit\_unfold\_image:
  orbit\ f\ a = (\lambda n.\ (f\ \widehat{\ } n)\ \langle\$\rangle\ a)\ `\{... < order\ f\ a\}\ (\mathbf{is}\ \_ =\ ?A)
proof (rule sym, rule card_subset_eq)
  show finite (orbit f a)
   by simp
  show ?A \subseteq orbit f a
   by (auto simp add: orbit_def)
  from inj_on_apply_range [of f a]
  have card ?A = order f a
    by (auto simp add: card_image)
```

```
then show card ?A = card (orbit f a)
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
lemma in_orbitE:
  assumes b \in orbit f a
 obtains n where b = (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a and n < order f a
 using assms unfolding orbit_unfold_image by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ apply\_power\_order\ [simp]:
  (f \cap order f a) \langle \$ \rangle a = a
proof -
 have (f \cap order f a) \langle \$ \rangle \ a \in orbit f a
   by simp
  then obtain n where
   *: (f \cap order f a) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a
   and n < order f a
   by (rule in_orbitE)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases n)
   case 0 with * show ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Suc\ m)
   from order_greater_zero [of f a]
     have Suc\ (order\ f\ a\ -\ 1) = order\ f\ a
     by arith
   from Suc \langle n < order f a \rangle
     have m < order f a
     by simp
   with Suc *
   have (inverse f) \langle \$ \rangle ((f \widehat{\ } Suc (order f a-1)) \langle \$ \rangle a) =
     (inverse f) \langle \$ \rangle ((f \widehat{\ } Suc m) \langle \$ \rangle a)
     by simp
   then have (f \cap (order f a - 1)) \langle \$ \rangle a =
     (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a
     by (simp only: power_Suc apply_times)
        (simp add: apply_sequence mult.assoc [symmetric])
   with inj_on_apply_range
   have order f a - 1 = m
     by (rule inj_onD)
        (simp\_all\ add: \langle m < order\ f\ a \rangle)
   with Suc have n = order f a
     by auto
   with \langle n < order f a \rangle
   show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
lemma apply_power_left_mult_order [simp]:
```

```
(f \cap (n * order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle a = a
  by (induct n) (simp_all add: power_add apply_times)
lemma apply power right mult order [simp]:
  (f \cap (order \ f \ a * n)) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = a
  by (simp add: ac_simps)
lemma apply_power_mod_order_eq [simp]:
  (f \cap (n \bmod order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a
proof -
 have (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap (n \text{ mod order } f \text{ } a + \text{ order } f \text{ } a * (n \text{ div order } f \text{ } a))) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap (n \text{ mod order } f \text{ } a + \text{ order } f \text{ } a * (n \text{ div order } f \text{ } a))) \langle \$ \rangle
  also have ... = (f \cap (n \bmod order f a) * f \cap (order f a * (n \operatorname div order f a))) (\$) a
    by (simp flip: power_add)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: apply times)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma apply_power_eq_iff:
  (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a \longleftrightarrow m \ mod \ order \ f \ a = n \ mod \ order \ f \ a \ ?P
\longleftrightarrow ?Q)
proof
  assume ?Q
  then have (f \cap (m \bmod order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = (f \cap (n \bmod order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle \ a
    by simp
  then show ?P
    by simp
next
  assume ?P
  then have (f \cap (m \bmod order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap (n \bmod order f a)) \langle \$ \rangle a
    by simp
  with inj_on_apply_range
  show ?Q
    by (rule inj_onD) simp_all
qed
lemma apply_inverse_eq_apply_power_order_minus_one:
  (inverse f) \langle \$ \rangle a = (f \cap (order f a - 1)) \langle \$ \rangle a
proof (cases order f a)
  case 0 with order_greater_zero [of f a] show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc \ n)
  moreover have (f \cap order f a) \langle \$ \rangle a = a
    by simp
  then have *: (inverse f) \langle \$ \rangle ((f ^ order f a) \langle \$ \rangle a) = (inverse f) \langle \$ \rangle a
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: apply_sequence mult.assoc [symmetric])
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma apply_inverse_self_in_orbit [simp]:
 (inverse\ f)\ \langle\$\rangle\ a\in orbit\ f\ a
 {\bf using} \ apply\_inverse\_eq\_apply\_power\_order\_minus\_one \ [symmetric]
 by (rule in_orbitI)
lemma apply_inverse_power_eq:
  (inverse\ (f\widehat{\ }n))\ \langle\$\rangle\ a=(f\widehat{\ }(order\ f\ a-n\ mod\ order\ f\ a))\ \langle\$\rangle\ a
proof (induct n)
 case \theta then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc \ n)
 define m where m = order f a - n \mod order f a - 1
 moreover have order f a - n \mod order f a > 0
   by simp
 ultimately have *: order f a - n \mod order f a = Suc m
   by arith
 moreover from * have m2: order f a - Suc n mod order f a = (if m = 0 then
order\ f\ a\ else\ m)
   by (auto simp add: mod_Suc)
  ultimately show ?case
   using Suc
    by (simp_all add: apply_times power_Suc2 [of _ n] power_Suc [of _ m] del:
power\_Suc)
       (simp\ add:\ apply\_sequence\ mult.assoc\ [symmetric])
qed
lemma apply_power_eq_self_iff:
 (f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = a \longleftrightarrow order \ f \ a \ dvd \ n
 using apply_power_eq_iff [of f n a 0]
   by (simp\ add:\ mod\_eq\_0\_iff\_dvd)
lemma orbit_equiv:
 assumes b \in orbit f a
 shows orbit f b = orbit f a (is ?B = ?A)
proof
  from assms obtain n where n < order f a and b: b = (f \hat{\ } n) \langle \$ \rangle a
   by (rule\ in\_orbitE)
 then show ?B \subseteq ?A
    by (auto simp add: apply_sequence power_add [symmetric] intro: in_orbitI
elim!: in\_orbitE)
 from b have (inverse (f \hat{n})) \langle \$ \rangle b = (inverse (f \hat{n})) \langle \$ \rangle ((f \hat{n}) \langle \$ \rangle a)
   by simp
  then have a: a = (inverse (f \cap n)) \langle \$ \rangle b
   by (simp add: apply_sequence)
  then show ?A \subseteq ?B
   apply (auto simp add: apply_sequence power_add [symmetric] intro: in_orbitI
elim!: in_orbitE)
```

```
unfolding apply_times comp_def apply_inverse_power_eq
   unfolding apply_sequence power_add [symmetric]
   apply (rule in_orbitI) apply rule
   done
qed
lemma orbit_apply [simp]:
  orbit f(f \ \langle \$ \rangle \ a) = orbit f a
 by (rule orbit_equiv) simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ order\_apply \ [simp]:
  order f (f \langle \$ \rangle a) = order f a
 by (simp only: order_def comp_def orbit_apply)
lemma orbit_apply_inverse [simp]:
  orbit f (inverse f \langle \$ \rangle a) = orbit f a
 by (rule orbit_equiv) simp
lemma order_apply_inverse [simp]:
  order\ f\ (inverse\ f\ \langle\$\rangle\ a) = order\ f\ a
 by (simp only: order_def comp_def orbit_apply_inverse)
lemma orbit_apply_power [simp]:
  orbit f ((f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a) = orbit f a
 by (rule orbit_equiv) simp
lemma order_apply_power [simp]:
  order f ((f \cap n) \langle \$ \rangle a) = order f a
 by (simp only: order_def comp_def orbit_apply_power)
lemma orbit_inverse [simp]:
  orbit (inverse f) = orbit f
proof (rule ext, rule set_eqI, rule)
 \mathbf{fix} \ b \ a
 assume b \in orbit f a
 then obtain n where b: b = (f \hat{n}) \langle \$ \rangle a n < order f a
   by (rule in_orbitE)
  then have b = apply (inverse (inverse f) ^n) a
   by simp
  then have b = apply (inverse (inverse f ^n)) a
   by (simp add: perm_power_inverse)
  then have b = apply (inverse f \cap (n * (order (inverse f \cap n) a - 1))) a
  by (simp add: apply_inverse_eq_apply_power_order_minus_one power_mult)
  then show b \in orbit (inverse f) a
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} \ b \ a
 assume b \in orbit (inverse f) a
 then show b \in orbit f a
```

```
by (rule in_orbitE)
     (simp\ add:\ apply\_inverse\_eq\_apply\_power\_order\_minus\_one
     perm_power_inverse power_mult [symmetric])
qed
lemma order_inverse [simp]:
 order (inverse f) = order f
 by (simp add: order_def)
lemma orbit_disjoint:
 assumes orbit f a \neq orbit f b
 shows orbit f a \cap orbit f b = \{\}
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume orbit f \ a \cap orbit \ f \ b \neq \{\}
 then obtain c where c \in orbit\ f\ a \cap orbit\ f\ b
 then have c \in orbit \ f \ a \ and \ c \in orbit \ f \ b
   by auto
 then obtain m n where c = (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a
   and c = apply (f \cap n) b by (blast elim!: in\_orbitE)
 then have (f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle \ a = apply \ (f \cap n) \ b
   by simp
 then have apply (inverse f \cap m) ((f \cap m) \langle \$ \rangle a) =
   apply (inverse f \cap m) (apply (f \cap n) b)
   by simp
 then have *: apply (inverse f \cap m * f \cap n) b = a
   by (simp add: apply_sequence_perm_power_inverse)
 have a \in orbit f b
 proof (cases n m rule: linorder_cases)
   case equal with * show ?thesis
     by (simp add: perm_power_inverse)
 next
   case less
   moreover define q where q = m - n
   ultimately have m = q + n by arith
   with * have apply (inverse f \cap q) b = a
     by (simp add: power_add mult.assoc perm_power_inverse)
   then have a \in orbit (inverse f) b
     by (rule in_orbitI)
   then show ?thesis
     by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case greater
   moreover define q where q = n - m
   ultimately have n = m + q by arith
   with * have apply (f \hat{\ } q) \ b = a
     by (simp add: power_add mult.assoc [symmetric] perm_power_inverse)
   then show ?thesis
     by (rule in_orbitI)
```

```
qed
   with assms show False
     by (auto dest: orbit_equiv)
7.4
           Swaps
lift_definition swap :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ perm \ (\langle \langle \_ \leftrightarrow \_ \rangle \rangle)
   is \lambda a b. transpose a b
proof
   fix a \ b :: 'a
   have \{c. \ transpose \ a \ b \ c \neq c\} \subseteq \{a, \ b\}
     by (auto simp add: transpose_def)
   then show finite \{c. transpose \ a \ b \ c \neq c\}
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{finite}\_\mathit{subset})\ \mathit{simp}
qed simp
lemma apply_swap_simp [simp]:
   \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle \langle \$ \rangle \ a = b
   \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle \langle \$ \rangle \ b = a
  by (transfer; simp)+
lemma apply_swap_same [simp]:
   c \neq a \Longrightarrow c \neq b \Longrightarrow \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle \langle \$ \rangle \ c = c
  by transfer simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ apply\_swap\_eq\_iff \ [simp]:
   \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle \langle \$ \rangle \ c = a \longleftrightarrow c = b
   \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle \langle \$ \rangle \ c = b \longleftrightarrow c = a
  by (transfer; auto simp add: transpose_def)+
lemma swap\_1 [simp]:
   \langle a \leftrightarrow a \rangle = 1
  by transfer simp
lemma swap_sym:
   \langle b \leftrightarrow a \rangle = \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle
  by (transfer; auto simp add: transpose_def)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ swap\_self\ [simp]:
   \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle * \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle = 1
  by transfer simp
lemma affected_swap:
   a \neq b \Longrightarrow affected \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle = \{a, b\}
   by transfer (auto simp add: transpose_def)
lemma inverse_swap [simp]:
   inverse \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle = \langle a \leftrightarrow b \rangle
```

by transfer (auto intro: inv\_equality)

# 7.5 Permutations specified by cycles

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ \ cycle :: \ 'a \ list \Rightarrow \ 'a \ perm \ \ ( < \langle \_ \rangle > ) \\ \mathbf{where} \\ \langle [] \rangle = 1 \\ | \ \langle [a] \rangle = 1 \\ | \ \langle a \ \# \ b \ \# \ as \rangle = \langle a \ \# \ as \rangle * \langle a {\leftrightarrow} b \rangle \end{array}
```

We do not continue and restrict ourselves to syntax from here. See also introductory note.

#### 7.6 Syntax

```
bundle permutation\_syntax begin notation swap \quad (\langle \langle \_ \leftrightarrow \_ \rangle \rangle) notation cycle \quad (\langle \langle \_ \rangle \rangle) notation apply \ (infixl \ \langle \langle \$ \rangle \rangle \ 999) end unbundle no \ permutation\_syntax
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## 8 Permutation orbits

```
theory Orbits
imports
HOL-Library.FuncSet
HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
begin
```

# 8.1 Orbits and cyclic permutations

```
inductive_set orbit :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set for } f x \text{ where}
base: f x \in orbit f x \mid
step: y \in orbit f x \Longrightarrow f y \in orbit f x
definition \ cyclic\_on :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \text{where}
cyclic\_on f S \longleftrightarrow (\exists s \in S. \ S = orbit f s)
lemma \ orbit\_altdef: \ orbit f x = \{(f \ \widehat{} \ n) \ x \mid n. \ 0 < n\} \ (\text{is } ?L = ?R)
proof \ (intro \ set\_eqI \ iffI)
fix \ y \ assume \ y \in ?L \ then \ show \ y \in ?R
by \ (induct \ rule: \ orbit.induct) \ (auto \ simp: \ exI[\text{where } x=1] \ exI[\text{where } x=Suc \ n \ for \ n])
next
```

```
fix y assume y \in ?R
 then obtain n where y = (f ^{n} n) x \theta < n by blast
 then show y \in ?L
 proof (induction n arbitrary: y)
   case (Suc n) then show ?case by (cases n = 0) (auto intro: orbit.intros)
  qed simp
qed
lemma orbit_trans:
 assumes s \in orbit f t t \in orbit f u shows s \in orbit f u
 using assms by induct (auto intro: orbit.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ orbit\_subset:
 assumes s \in orbit f (f t) shows s \in orbit f t
 using assms by (induct) (auto intro: orbit.intros)
lemma orbit_sim_step:
 assumes s \in orbit\ f\ t shows f\ s \in orbit\ f\ (f\ t)
 using assms by induct (auto intro: orbit.intros)
lemma orbit_step:
 assumes y \in orbit f x f x \neq y shows y \in orbit f (f x)
  using assms
proof induction
 case (step y) then show ?case by (cases x = y) (auto intro: orbit.intros)
qed simp
lemma self in orbit trans:
 assumes s \in orbit f s t \in orbit f s shows t \in orbit f t
 using assms(2,1) by induct (auto intro: orbit\_sim\_step)
lemma orbit_swap:
 assumes s \in orbit f s t \in orbit f s shows s \in orbit f t
 using assms(2,1)
proof induction
 case base then show ?case by (cases f s = s) (auto intro: orbit step)
  case (step x) then show ?case by (cases f x = s) (auto intro: orbit_step)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{permutation} \underline{\mathit{self}} \underline{\mathit{in}} \underline{\mathit{orbit}} :
 assumes permutation f shows s \in orbit f s
 unfolding orbit_altdef using permutation_self[OF assms, of s] by simp metis
lemma orbit_altdef_self_in:
 assumes s \in orbit\ f\ s shows orbit\ f\ s = \{(f ^n n)\ s \mid n.\ True\}
\mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{set}\_\mathit{eqI}\ \mathit{iffI})
 fix x assume x \in \{(f \cap n) \ s \mid n. \ True\}
 then obtain n where x = (f^{n}) s by auto
```

```
then show x \in orbit\ f\ s\ using\ assms\ by\ (cases\ n=0)\ (auto\ simp:\ orbit\_altdef)
qed (auto simp: orbit_altdef)
lemma orbit_altdef_permutation:
 assumes permutation f shows orbit f s = \{(f \cap n) \ s \mid n. \ True\}
 using assms by (intro orbit_altdef_self_in permutation_self_in_orbit)
lemma orbit_altdef_bounded:
 assumes (f \cap n) s = s \ 0 < n shows orbit f s = \{(f \cap m) \ s | m. m < n\}
proof -
 from assms have s \in orbit f s
   by (auto simp add: orbit_altdef) metis
 then have orbit f s = \{(f \cap m) \ s | m. \ True\} by (rule \ orbit\_altdef\_self\_in)
 also have \dots = \{(f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) \ s | \ m. \ m < n\}
   using assms
   by (auto simp: funpow mod eq intro: exI[where x=m \mod n \text{ for } m])
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma funpow_in_orbit:
 assumes s \in orbit f t shows (f \cap n) s \in orbit f t
 using assms by (induct n) (auto intro: orbit.intros)
lemma finite_orbit:
 assumes s \in orbit f s shows finite (orbit f s)
proof -
 from assms obtain n where n: 0 < n \ (f^{n} \ n) \ s = s
   by (auto simp: orbit_altdef)
 then show ?thesis by (auto simp: orbit_altdef_bounded)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{self\_in\_orbit\_step} \text{:}
 assumes s \in orbit\ f\ s shows orbit\ f\ (f\ s) = orbit\ f\ s
proof (intro set_eqI iffI)
 fix t assume t \in orbit f s then show t \in orbit f (f s)
   using assms by (auto intro: orbit step orbit sim step)
qed (auto intro: orbit_subset)
lemma permutation_orbit_step:
 assumes permutation f shows orbit f(f s) = orbit f s
 using assms by (intro self_in_orbit_step permutation_self_in_orbit)
lemma orbit_nonempty:
 orbit f s \neq \{\}
 using orbit.base by fastforce
lemma orbit_inv_eq:
 assumes permutation f
 shows orbit (inv f) x = orbit f x (is ?L = ?R)
```

```
proof -
  { fix g \ y assume A: permutation g \ y \in orbit \ (inv \ g) \ x
   have y \in orbit \ g \ x
   proof -
     have inv\_g: \bigwedge y. \ x = g \ y \Longrightarrow inv \ g \ x = y \bigwedge y. \ inv \ g \ (g \ y) = y
      by (metis A(1) bij_inv_eq_iff permutation_bijective)+
     { fix y assume y \in orbit\ q\ x
       then have inv \ g \ y \in orbit \ g \ x
        by (cases) (simp_all add: inv_g A(1) permutation_self_in_orbit)
     } note inv\_g\_in\_orb = this
     from A(2) show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ induct\ (simp\_all\ add:\ inv\_g\_in\_orb\ A\ permutation\_self\_in\_orbit)
   qed
  } note orb inv ss = this
 have inv(inv f) = f
   by (simp add: assms inv_inv_eq permutation_bijective)
  then show ?thesis
   using \ orb\_inv\_ss[OF \ assms] \ orb\_inv\_ss[OF \ permutation\_inverse[OF \ assms]]
by auto
qed
lemma cyclic_on_alldef:
  cyclic\_on\ f\ S \longleftrightarrow S \neq \{\} \land (\forall\ s{\in}S.\ S = orbit\ f\ s)
  unfolding cyclic on def by (auto intro: orbit.step orbit swap orbit trans)
lemma cyclic_on_funpow_in:
 assumes cyclic\_on\ f\ S\ s\in S shows (f^{n})\ s\in S
 using assms unfolding cyclic_on_def by (auto intro: funpow_in_orbit)
lemma finite_cyclic_on:
 assumes cyclic_on f S shows finite S
 using assms by (auto simp: cyclic_on_def finite_orbit)
lemma cyclic_on_singleI:
 assumes s \in S S = orbit f s shows cyclic\_on f S
 using assms unfolding cyclic_on_def by blast
lemma cyclic_on_inI:
 assumes cyclic\_on\ f\ S\ s\in S shows f\ s\in S
 using assms by (auto simp: cyclic_on_def intro: orbit.intros)
lemma orbit_inverse:
 assumes self: a \in orbit \ g \ a
   and eq: \bigwedge x. x \in orbit\ g\ a \Longrightarrow g'(f\ x) = f(g\ x)
 shows f ' orbit g a = orbit g' (f a) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro set_eqI iffI)
```

```
fix x assume x \in ?L
 then obtain x\theta where x\theta \in orbit\ g\ a\ x = f\ x\theta by auto
 then show x \in ?R
 proof (induct arbitrary: x)
   case base then show ?case by (auto simp: self orbit.base eq[symmetric])
 next
   case step then show ?case by cases (auto simp: eq[symmetric] orbit.intros)
  qed
next
 fix x assume x \in ?R
 then show x \in ?L
 proof (induct arbitrary: )
   case base then show ?case by (auto simp: self orbit.base eq)
 next
   case step then show ?case by cases (auto simp: eq orbit.intros)
 qed
qed
lemma cyclic_on_image:
 assumes cyclic_on f S
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow g \ (h \ x) = h \ (f \ x)
 shows cyclic\_on\ g\ (h\ `S)
 using assms by (auto simp: cyclic_on_def) (meson orbit_inverse)
lemma cyclic_on_f_in:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ f\ permutes\ S\ cyclic\_on\ f\ A\ f\ x\in A
 shows x \in A
proof -
 from assms have fx_in\_orb: fx \in orbit f(fx) by (auto simp: cyclic\_on\_alldef)
 from assms have A = orbit f(f x) by (auto simp: cyclic_on_alldef)
 moreover
 then have ... = orbit fx using \langle fx \in A \rangle by (auto intro: orbit_step orbit_subset)
 ultimately
  show ?thesis by (metis (no\_types) \ orbit.simps \ permutes\_inverses(2)[OF \ assms(1)])
qed
lemma orbit_cong0:
 assumes x \in A f \in A \rightarrow A \bigwedge y. y \in A \Longrightarrow f y = g y shows orbit f x = orbit g
proof -
  { fix n have (f \cap n) x = (g \cap n) x \land (f \cap n) x \in A
     by (induct n rule: nat.induct) (insert assms, auto)
 } then show ?thesis by (auto simp: orbit_altdef)
qed
lemma orbit_cong:
 assumes self_in: t \in orbit\ f\ t and eq: \bigwedge s. s \in orbit\ f\ t \Longrightarrow g\ s = f\ s
 shows orbit g t = orbit f t
 using assms(1) \_ assms(2) by (rule\ orbit\_cong\theta)\ (auto\ simp:\ orbit.step\ eq)
```

```
lemma cyclic_cong:
 assumes \bigwedge s. \ s \in S \Longrightarrow f \ s = g \ s \ \text{shows} \ cyclic\_on \ f \ S = cyclic\_on \ g \ S
proof -
 have (\exists s \in S. \ orbit \ f \ s = orbit \ g \ s) \implies cyclic\_on \ f \ S = cyclic\_on \ g \ S
   by (metis cyclic_on_alldef cyclic_on_def)
  then show ?thesis by (metis assms orbit_cong cyclic_on_def)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ permutes\_comp\_preserves\_cyclic1\colon
 assumes g permutes B cyclic_on f C
 assumes A \cap B = \{\}\ C \subseteq A
 shows cyclic_on (f o g) C
proof -
 have *: \bigwedge c. \ c \in C \Longrightarrow f(g \ c) = f \ c
   using assms by (subst permutes not in [of q]) auto
  with assms(2) show ?thesis by (simp cong: cyclic_cong)
qed
lemma permutes_comp_preserves_cyclic2:
 assumes f permutes A cyclic_on g C
 assumes A \cap B = \{\}\ C \subseteq B
 shows cyclic\_on (f o g) C
proof -
  obtain c where c: c \in C C = orbit g c c \in orbit g c
   using \langle cyclic\_on \ g \ C \rangle by (auto simp: cyclic\_on\_def)
  then have \bigwedge c. \ c \in C \Longrightarrow f(g|c) = g|c
   using assms c by (subst permutes_not_in [of f]) (auto intro: orbit.intros)
 with assms(2) show ?thesis by (simp cong: cyclic_cong)
qed
lemma permutes_orbit_subset:
 assumes f permutes S x \in S shows orbit f x \subseteq S
proof
 fix y assume y \in orbit f x
 then show y \in S by induct (auto simp: permutes in image assms)
qed
lemma cyclic_on_orbit':
 assumes permutation f shows cyclic on f (orbit f x)
 unfolding cyclic_on_alldef using orbit_nonempty[of f x]
 by (auto intro: assms orbit_swap orbit_trans permutation_self_in_orbit)
lemma cyclic_on_orbit:
 assumes f permutes S finite S shows cyclic_on f (orbit f x)
 using assms by (intro cyclic_on_orbit') (auto simp: permutation_permutes)
lemma orbit_cyclic_eq3:
 assumes cyclic\_on\ f\ S\ y\in S shows orbit\ f\ y=S
```

```
using assms unfolding cyclic_on_alldef by simp
lemma orbit\_eq\_singleton\_iff: orbit <math>f x = \{x\} \longleftrightarrow f x = x \text{ (is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)
proof
 assume A: ?R
  { fix y assume y \in orbit f x \text{ then have } y = x
     by induct (auto simp: A)
 } then show ?L by (metis orbit_nonempty singletonI subsetI subset_singletonD)
next
  assume A: ?L
  then have \bigwedge y. y \in orbit\ f\ x \Longrightarrow f\ x = y
   \mathbf{by} - (erule\ orbit.cases,\ simp\_all)
 then show ?R using A by blast
qed
lemma eq on cyclic on iff1:
  assumes cyclic\_on\ f\ S\ x\in S
  obtains f x \in S f x = x \longleftrightarrow card S = 1
  from assms show f x \in S by (auto simp: cyclic_on_def intro: orbit.intros)
  from assms have S = orbit f x by (auto simp: cyclic_on_alldef)
  then have f x = x \longleftrightarrow S = \{x\} by (metis\ orbit\_eq\_singleton\_iff)
 then show f x = x \longleftrightarrow card S = 1 using \langle x \in S \rangle by (auto simp: card_Suc_eq)
qed
lemma orbit_eqI:
  y = f x \Longrightarrow y \in orbit f x
 z = f y \Longrightarrow y \in orbit f x \Longrightarrow z \in orbit f x
 by (metis orbit.base) (metis orbit.step)
8.2
        Decomposition of arbitrary permutations
definition perm\_restrict :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) where
  perm\_restrict\ f\ S\ x \equiv if\ x \in S\ then\ f\ x\ else\ x
\mathbf{lemma} \ perm\_restrict\_comp:
 assumes A \cap B = \{\} cyclic_on f B
  shows perm_restrict f A o perm_restrict f B = perm_restrict f (A \cup B)
proof -
  have \bigwedge x. \ x \in B \Longrightarrow f \ x \in B \ using \langle cyclic\_on \ f \ B \rangle \ by \ (rule \ cyclic\_on\_inI)
  with assms show ?thesis by (auto simp: perm_restrict_def fun_eq_iff)
qed
lemma perm_restrict_simps:
 x \in S \Longrightarrow perm\_restrict\ f\ S\ x = f\ x
  x \notin S \Longrightarrow perm\_restrict \ f \ S \ x = x
 by (auto simp: perm_restrict_def)
lemma perm restrict perm restrict:
```

```
perm\_restrict\ (perm\_restrict\ f\ A)\ B = perm\_restrict\ f\ (A\cap B)
 by (auto simp: perm_restrict_def)
lemma perm_restrict_union:
 assumes perm restrict f A permutes A perm restrict f B permutes B A \cap B =
 shows perm_restrict f A o perm_restrict f B = perm_restrict f (A \cup B)
 using assms by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff perm_restrict_def permutes_def) (metis
Diff_iff Diff_triv)
lemma perm_restrict_id[simp]:
 assumes f permutes S shows perm\_restrict\ f\ S = f
 using assms by (auto simp: permutes_def perm_restrict_def)
lemma cyclic_on_perm_restrict:
 cyclic on (perm restrict f S) S \longleftrightarrow cyclic on f S
 by (simp add: perm restrict def conq: cyclic conq)
lemma perm_restrict_diff_cyclic:
 assumes f permutes S cyclic_on f A
 shows perm_restrict f(S-A) permutes (S-A)
proof -
 \{ \mathbf{fix} \ y \}
   have \exists x. perm\_restrict f (S - A) x = y
   proof cases
    assume A: y \in S - A
    with \langle f \text{ permutes } S \rangle obtain x where f x = y x \in S
      unfolding permutes_def by auto metis
    moreover
    with A have x \notin A by (metis\ Diff\_iff\ assms(2)\ cyclic\_on\_inI)
    have perm\_restrict\ f\ (S-A)\ x=y by (simp\ add:\ perm\_restrict\_simps)
    then show ?thesis ..
    assume y \notin S - A
   then have perm restrict f(S-A) y=y by (simp add: perm restrict simps)
    then show ?thesis ..
   qed
 } note X = this
 { fix x y assume perm\_restrict f(S - A) x = perm\_restrict f(S - A) y
   with assms have x = y
   by (auto simp: perm_restrict_def permutes_def split: if_splits intro: cyclic_on_f_in)
 \} note Y = this
 show ?thesis by (auto simp: permutes_def perm_restrict_simps X intro: Y)
lemma permutes_decompose:
```

```
assumes f permutes S finite S
  shows \exists C. (\forall c \in C. cyclic\_on f c) \land \bigcup C = S \land (\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq C)
c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = \{\}
  using assms(2,1)
proof (induction arbitrary: f rule: finite_psubset_induct)
  case (psubset S)
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ S = \{\})
    case True then show ?thesis by (intro exI[where x={}]) auto
  next
    then obtain s where s \in S by auto
    with \langle f \ permutes \ S \rangle have orbit \ f \ s \subseteq S
      by (rule permutes_orbit_subset)
    have cyclic orbit: cyclic on f (orbit f s)
      using \langle f \ permutes \ S \rangle \ \langle finite \ S \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ (rule \ cyclic\_on\_orbit)
    let ?f' = perm\_restrict f (S - orbit f s)
   have f s \in S using \langle f \text{ permutes } S \rangle \langle s \in S \rangle by (auto simp: permutes_in_image)
    then have S - orbit f s \subset S using orbit.base[of f s] \langle s \in S \rangle by blast
    moreover
    have ?f' permutes (S - orbit f s)
      using \(\forall \) permutes S\(\circ\) cyclic orbit by (rule perm_restrict_diff_cyclic)
    ultimately
    obtain C where C: \bigwedge c. \ c \in C \Longrightarrow cyclic\_on ?f' \ c \ \bigcup C = S - orbit f \ s
        \forall c1 \in C. \ \forall c2 \in C. \ c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = \{\}
      using psubset.IH by metis
    { fix c assume c \in C
      then have *: \bigwedge x. x \in c \Longrightarrow perm\_restrict f (S - orbit f s) <math>x = f x
        using C(2) \triangleleft f permutes S \triangleright \mathbf{by} (auto simp add: perm_restrict_def)
     then have cyclic\_onf\ c using C(1)[OF \ \langle c \in C \rangle] by (simp\ cong:\ cyclic\_cong
add: *)
    } note in\_C\_cyclic = this
    have Un_ins: \bigcup (insert (orbit f s) C) = S
      using \langle \bigcup C = \_ \rangle \ \langle orbit \ f \ s \subseteq S \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
    have Disj\_ins: (\forall c1 \in insert (orbit f s) C. \forall c2 \in insert (orbit f s) C. c1 \neq
c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = \{\}
      using C by auto
    show ?thesis
      by (intro conjI Un_ins Disj_ins exI[where x=insert (orbit f s) C])
        (auto simp: cyclic orbit in C cyclic)
  qed
qed
```

#### 8.3 Function-power distance between values

```
definition funpow\_dist :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat where
 funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y \equiv LEAST\ n.\ (f^n)\ x = y
abbreviation funpow\_dist1 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat where
 funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \equiv Suc \ (funpow\_dist \ f \ (f \ x) \ y)
lemma funpow\_dist\_\theta:
 assumes x = y shows funpow\_dist f x y = 0
 using assms unfolding funpow_dist_def by (intro Least_eq_0) simp
lemma funpow dist least:
 assumes n < funpow\_dist f x y shows (f \cap n) x \neq y
proof (rule notI)
 assume (f \cap n) x = y
 then have funpow\_dist f x y \le n \text{ unfolding } funpow\_dist\_def \text{ by } (rule \ Least\_le)
 with assms show False by linarith
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{funpow\_dist1\_least} \colon
 assumes 0 < n n < funpow_dist1 f x y shows (f^{n} n) x \neq y
proof (rule notI)
 assume (f \stackrel{\frown}{n}) x = y
then have (f \stackrel{\frown}{n} (n-1)) (f x) = y
   \mathbf{using} \ \langle \textit{0} < \textit{n} \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{cases n}) \ (\textit{simp\_all add: funpow\_swap1})
 then have funpow\_dist\ f\ (f\ x)\ y \le n-1\ unfolding\ funpow\_dist\_def\ by\ (rule
Least le)
 with assms show False by simp
qed
lemma funpow_dist_prop:
  y \in orbit\ f\ x \Longrightarrow (f \cap funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y)\ x = y
 unfolding funpow_dist_def by (rule LeastI_ex) (auto simp: orbit_altdef)
lemma funpow dist 0 eq:
 assumes y \in orbit\ f\ x\ shows\ funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = y
 using assms by (auto simp: funpow_dist_0 dest: funpow_dist_prop)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{funpow\_dist\_step} \colon
 (x) (y)
proof -
 from \langle y \in \_\rangle obtain n where (f \cap n) x = y by (auto simp: orbit\_altdef)
 with \langle x \neq y \rangle obtain n' where [simp]: n = Suc \ n' by (cases n) auto
 show ?thesis
   unfolding funpow_dist_def
  proof (rule Least_Suc2)
   show (f \cap n) x = y by fact
```

```
then show (f \cap n') (f x) = y by (simp \ add: funpow\_swap1)
   show (f \cap \theta) x \neq y using (x \neq y) by simp
   show \forall k. ((f \cap Suc \ k) \ x = y) = ((f \cap k) \ (f \ x) = y)
      by (simp add: funpow_swap1)
  ged
qed
lemma funpow_dist1_prop:
  assumes y \in orbit \ f \ x \ shows \ (f \frown funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x = y
  by (metis assms funpow_dist_prop funpow_dist_step funpow_simps_right(2)
o_apply self_in_orbit_step)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{funpow\_neq\_less\_funpow\_dist} \colon
  assumes y \in orbit\ f\ x\ m \le funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y\ n \le funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y\ m \ne n
 shows (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) \ x \neq (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} n) \ x
proof (rule notI)
 assume A: (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) \ x = (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} n) \ x
 define m' n' where m' = min m n and n' = max m n
  with A assms have A': m' < n' (f \curvearrowright m') x = (f \curvearrowright n') x n' \le funpow\_dist f x
    by (auto simp: min_def max_def)
 have y = (f \cap funpow\_dist f x y) x
   using \langle y \in \_\rangle by (simp\ only: funpow\_dist\_prop)
  also have ... = (f \curvearrowright ((funpow \ dist \ f \ x \ y - n') + n')) \ x
    using \langle n' \leq \underline{\hspace{0.5cm}} \rangle by simp
  also have ... = (f \cap ((funpow\_dist f x y - n') + m')) x
   \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ \widetilde{funpow\_add} \ \langle (f \ \widehat{\ \ } \ m') \ x = \_ \rangle)
  also have (f \cap ((funpow\_dist f x y - n') + m')) x \neq y
    using A' by (intro funpow_dist_least) linarith
  finally show False by simp
qed
lemma funpow_neq_less_funpow_dist1:
  assumes y \in orbit\ f\ x\ m < funpow\_dist1\ f\ x\ y\ n < funpow\_dist1\ f\ x\ y\ m \neq n
 shows (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) \ x \neq (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} n) \ x
proof (rule notI)
 assume A: (f \curvearrowright m) x = (f \curvearrowright n) x
 define m' n' where m' = min m n and n' = max m n
  with A assms have A': m' < n' (f \curvearrowright m') x = (f \curvearrowright n') \times n' < funpow_dist1 f
   by (auto simp: min_def max_def)
 have y = (f ^ funpow_dist1 f x y) x
    using \langle y \in \_\rangle by (simp\ only: funpow\_dist1\_prop)
```

```
also have ... = (f \cap ((funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y - n') + n')) \ x
   using \langle n' < \_ \rangle by simp
 also have ... = (f \cap ((funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y - n') + m')) \ x
   by (simp\ add: funpow\_add < (f ^ m') x = _)
 also have (f \cap ((funpow \ dist1 \ f \ x \ y - n') + m')) \ x \neq y
   using A' by (intro funpow_dist1_least) linarith+
  finally show False by simp
qed
lemma inj_on_funpow_dist:
 assumes y \in orbit\ f\ x\ shows\ inj\_on\ (\lambda n.\ (f^n)\ x)\ \{0..funpow\_dist\ f\ x\ y\}
 using funpow_neq_less_funpow_dist[OF assms] by (intro inj_onI) auto
lemma inj_on_funpow_dist1:
 assumes y \in orbit\ f\ x\ shows\ inj\_on\ (\lambda n.\ (f^n)\ x)\ \{0..< funpow\_dist1\ f\ x\ y\}
 using funpow neg less funpow dist1 [OF assms] by (intro inj onI) auto
lemma orbit_conv_funpow_dist1:
 assumes x \in orbit f x
 shows orbit f x = (\lambda n. (f \cap n) x) \cdot \{0..< funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ x\} \ (is ?L = ?R)
 using funpow_dist1_prop[OF assms]
 by (auto simp: orbit_altdef_bounded[where n=funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ x])
lemma funpow_dist1_prop1:
 assumes (f \cap n) x = y \ 0 < n \text{ shows } (f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x = y
proof -
 from assms have y \in orbit\ f\ x by (auto simp: orbit_altdef)
 then show ?thesis by (rule funpow_dist1_prop)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma funpow_dist1_dist:
 assumes funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y < funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z
 assumes \{y,z\} \subseteq orbit f x
 shows funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z = funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y + funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z (is ?L =
?R)
proof -
 define n where \langle n = funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z - funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y - 1 \rangle
  with assms have *: \langle funpow \ dist1 \ f \ x \ z = Suc \ (funpow \ dist1 \ f \ x \ y + n) \rangle
   by simp
  have x = z: (f \cap funpow dist1 \ f \ x \ z) \ x = z  using assms by (blast intro: fun-
pow\_dist1\_prop)
  have x_y: (f \cap funpow_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x = y \ using \ assms \ by (blast intro: fun-
pow_dist1_prop)
 have (f \cap (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z - funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y)) \ y
     = (f \land (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z - funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y)) \ ((f \land funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x))
y) x)
   using x_y by simp
 also have \dots = z
```

```
using assms x_z by (simp add: * funpow_add ac_simps funpow_swap1)
 finally have y\_z\_diff: (f \frown (funpow\_dist1 f x z - funpow\_dist1 f x y)) y = z.
  then have (f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z) \ y = z
   using assms by (intro funpow_dist1_prop1) auto
  then have (f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z) \ ((f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x) = z
   using x_y by simp
  then have (f \cap (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z + funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y)) \ x = z
   by (simp add: * funpow_add funpow_swap1)
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule antisym)
   from y\_z\_diff have (f \frown funpow\_dist1 f y z) y = z
     using assms by (intro funpow_dist1_prop1) auto
   then have (f \frown funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z) \ ((f \frown funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x) = z
     using x_y by simp
   then have (f \cap (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z + funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y)) \ x = z
     by (simp add: * funpow add funpow swap1)
   then have funpow\_dist1\ f\ x\ z \le funpow\_dist1\ f\ y\ z + funpow\_dist1\ f\ x\ y
     using funpow_dist1_least not_less by fastforce
   then show ?L \le ?R by presburger
  \mathbf{next}
   have funpow\_dist1 \ f \ y \ z \le funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ z - funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y
   using y_z_diff assms(1) by (metis not_less zero_less_diff funpow_dist1_least)
   then show ?R \le ?L by linarith
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma funpow dist1 le self:
 assumes (f \cap m) x = x \theta < m y \in orbit f x
 shows funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \le m
proof (cases \ x = y)
 case True with assms show ?thesis by (auto dest!: funpow_dist1_least)
next
 {f case} False
 have (f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x = (f \cap (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \ mod \ m)) \ x
   using assms by (simp add: funpow_mod_eq)
 with False \langle y \in orbit \ f \ x \rangle have funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \le funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \ mod \ m
   by auto (metis (f \cap funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y) \ x = (f \cap (funpow\_dist1 \ f \ x \ y \ mod)
m)) x> funpow_dist1_prop funpow_dist_least funpow_dist_step leI)
 with \langle m > \theta \rangle show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: order_trans)
qed
end
```

# 9 Basic combinatorics in Isabelle/HOL (and the Archive of Formal Proofs)

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Combinatorics}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{imports} \\ \mathit{Transposition} \end{array}$ StirlingPermutations $List\_Permutation$  $Multiset\_Permutations$ Cycles

Perm

Orbits $\mathbf{begin}$ 

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$